

#### © UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI

Prof. Ravindra Kulkarni
Vice-Chancellor,
University of Mumbai,

**Prin. Dr. Ajay Bhamare** Pro Vice-Chancellor, University of Mumbai, **Prof. Shivaji Sargar** Director, CDOE, University of Mumbai,

Programme Co-ordinator : & Editor	<b>Dr. Rajashri Pandit</b> Asst. Prof. in Economics, Incharge Head Faculty of Commerce, CDOE, University of Mumbai, Mumbai
Course Co-ordinator : & Course Writer	<b>Dr. Gopal Eknath Ghumatkar</b> Asst. Prof. in Economics, CDOE, University of Mumbai, Mumbai
Course Writer :	<b>Dr. Balaji Survase</b> Arts and Commerce College, Phondaghat, Tal. Kankvali, Dist. Sindhudurg
:	<b>Ms. Rupali Patil</b> Viva College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Virar (West), Dist. Palghar
:	<b>Ms. Harshavardhini Arvind Borwankar</b> Viva College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Virar (West), Dist. Palghar
:	<b>Dr. Pratiksha narayan Tikar</b> Asst. Prof. in Economics, CDOE, University of Mumbai, Mumbai

#### April 2025, Print - 1, ISBN-978-93-6728-625-8

Published by	: Director, Centre for Distance and Online Education, University of Mumbai, Vidyanagari, Mumbai - 400 098.
DTP Composed &	: Mumbai University Press,
Printed by	Vidyanagari, Santacruz (E), Mumbai

Unit No.	Title	Page No.
1	Trade Theories I	1
2.	Trade Theories II	22
3.	Trade Policy I	45
4.	Trade Policy II	70
5.	Trade Under Imperfect Competition - I	89
6.	Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II	107
7.	Trade and Technology I	122
8.	Trade and Technology I	135

### CONTENTS

\*\*\*\*

#### Name of the Course INTERNATIONAL TRADE: THEORY AND POLICY

#### Semester-II

#### Module 1: Trade Theories

Production function, cost function, Comparative advantage, Ricardian trade model, gains from trade with homogenous and heterogeneous agents, Hecksher-Ohlin model, Stolper-Samuelson, Rybcznski theorem and factor-price equalization theorem, Leontief paradox, empirical validity, specific-factor model as a short run approximation

#### Module 2: Trade Policy

Normative issues of welfare, policy interventions in terms of tariffs (Metzler paradox), taxes, and subsidies. Trade and growth, Multilateral trade agreements and political economy: World Trade Organization.

#### Module 3: Trade under Imperfect Competition

Monopolistic competition models of trade, Love-for-Variety preferences, Gains from trade, Tariff versus quota under monopoly, Strategic trade policy: Cournot and Bertrand competition, Voluntary import expansion and export restrictions

#### **Module 4: Trade and Technology**

Alternatives to the standard trade theories include the product cycle and technology gap models and intra-industry trade. Impact of trade on the environment; globalization and trade- Problem of pollution in an open economy; endogenous pollution policy

#### **References:**

- 1) Feenstra. R., (2009), Advanced International Trade: Theory and Evidence, Princeton University Press, 2009
- 2) Bhagwati, J., A. Panagariya, and T. Srinivasan. (1998), Lectures on International Trade (2<sup>nd</sup> edition), MIT Press
- 3) Van Marrewijk, C., (2007), International Economics, Oxford University Press
- 4) Copeland, B.R. and M.S. Taylor, (2005), Trade and the Environment: Theory and Evidence, Princeton University Press.
- 5) Hunter, D., J. Salzman, and D. Zaelke, (2006), International Environmental Law and Policy, Foundation Press.

#### (15 Hours)

#### (15 Hours)

Credit-4

### (15 Hours)

(15 Hours)

### Module 1

# 1

### **TRADE THEORIES I**

#### **Unit Structure :**

1.0 Objectives	5
----------------	---

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Production Function
- 1.3 Cost Function
- 1.4 Comparative Advantage
- 1.5 Ricardian Trade Model
- 1.6 Gains from Trade with Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Agents
- 1.7 Summary
- 1.8 Questions
- 1.9 References

#### **1.0 OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To understand the key concepts of production and cost functions in economics.
- 2. To learn about the theory of comparative advantage and how it drives trade.
- 3. To explore the Ricardian trade model and its application to international trade.
- 4. To analyze gains from trade with both homogeneous and heterogeneous agents.

#### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

International trade and production decisions play a vital role in economic theory, shaping the way nations and businesses engage on a global scale. Countries must determine how best to allocate their scarce resources, decide which products to specialize in, and find ways to reduce production costs while increasing output. Concepts like production and cost functions provide essential guidance in making these choices, enabling more effective use of resources. Trade theories, such as comparative advantage and models like the Ricardian trade model, offer insights into the logic

behind international trade. These frameworks demonstrate why and how nations gain from exchanging goods and services, even when one country is capable of producing everything more efficiently. By focusing on goods with lower opportunity costs, nations can achieve shared benefits through trade. Exploring these economic principles is critical for understanding the complexities of global trade, helping economists analyze its effects on resource allocation, production patterns, and overall economic growth.

#### **1.2 PRODUCTION FUNCTION**

In economics, the concept of a production function establishes a relationship between the physical output generated by a production process and the physical inputs or factors of production utilized. Essentially, it is a mathematical representation that indicates the maximum level of output achievable with a specific quantity of inputs, which typically includes capital and labor. Consequently, the production function delineates a boundary or frontier that signifies the maximum output attainable from various feasible combinations of these inputs. This framework helps in understanding how different input combinations can affect overall production efficiency and capacity.

Firms utilize the production function to ascertain the optimal level of output they should produce based on the price of a good and to determine the most efficient combination of inputs, considering the costs of capital and labor. When firms evaluate their production levels, they often observe that as production increases, their marginal costs start to rise. This phenomenon is referred to as diminishing returns to scale, where an increase in the quantity of inputs results in a less-than-proportional increase in output. Without diminishing returns to scale, it would be possible for supply to grow indefinitely without causing an increase in the price of a good.

The production function illustrates a functional relationship between the physical inputs and outputs of a firm during a specific time frame. Therefore, output can be seen as a function of the inputs used. Mathematically, the production function can be expressed as:

$$Q=f(A, B, C, D)$$

Where "Q" stands for the quantity of output and A, B, C, and D are various input factors such as land, labour, capital, and organization. Here output is the function of inputs. Hence output becomes the dependent variable and inputs are the independent variables.

The above function does not state how much the output of "Q" changes as a consequence of the change of variable inputs. In order to express the quantitative relationship between inputs and output, the Production function has been expressed in a precise mathematical equation i.e.

$$Y = a + b(x)$$

This shows that there is a constant relationship between applications of input (the only factor input 'X' in this case) and the amount of output (y) produced.

Increasing marginal costs can be identified using the production function. If a firm has a production function

Q=F(K,L) (that is, the quantity of output (Q) is some function of capital (K) and labor (L)), then if 2Q < F(2K,2L), the production function has increasing marginal costs and diminishing returns to scale. Similarly, if 2Q > F(2K,2L)

there are increasing returns to scale, and if 2Q = F(2K,2L) there are constant returns to scale.

One very simple example of a production function might be

Q=K+L, where Q is the quantity of output, K is the amount of capital, and L is the amount of labor used in production. This production function says that a firm can produce one unit of output for every unit of capital or labor it employs. From this production function, we can see that this industry has constant returns to scale – that is, the amount of output will increase proportionally to any increase in the amount of inputs.

#### Short Run and Long Run Production Function:

In the short run, certain factors of production remain fixed while others are variable. Conversely, in the long run, all factors can be adjusted. This distinction leads to a short-run production function characterized by inelastic capital—meaning that capital remains constant—and elastic labor, indicating that labor can be varied. Essentially, this means that output can only be increased by adjusting the amount of labor since capital is held constant.

In contrast, the long-run production function allows for all factors of production to be variable. This flexibility means that output can be enhanced in the long run by increasing either labor, capital, or both. Consequently, both labor and capital supply are considered elastic in nature during this period.

As a result, short-run production is often referred to as a single-variable production function, which can be mathematically represented as:

$$Q=f(K,L)$$

Here a bar on K represents that capital is fixed and the producer cannot infuse more capital into the production in the short run, whereas labour, L, is variable and changeable.

#### **Importance:**

1. When inputs are specified in physical units, the production function helps to estimate the level of production.

- 2. It becomes equates when different combinations of inputs yield the same level of output.
- 3. It indicates the manner in which the firm can substitute on input for another without altering the total output.
- 4. When price is taken into consideration, the production function helps to select the least combination of inputs for the desired output.
- 5. It considers two types of input-output relationships namely 'law of variable proportions' and 'law of returns to scale'. The law of variable propositions explains the pattern of output in the short run as the units of variable inputs are increased to increase the output. On the other hand, the law of returns to scale explains the pattern of output in the long run as all the units of inputs are increased.
- 6. The production function explains the maximum quantity of output, which can be produced, from any chosen quantities of various inputs or the minimum quantities of various inputs that are required to produce a given quantity of output.

Production function can be fitted to the particular firm or industry or for the economy as a whole. Production function will change with an improvement in technology.

#### Assumptions:

Production function has the following assumptions.

- 1. The production function is related to a particular period.
- 2. There is no change in technology.
- 3. The producer is using the best techniques available.
- 4. The factors of production are divisible.
- 5. Production function can be fitted to a short run or to a long run.

#### **1.3 COST FUNCTION**

**Cost Function** refers to the relationship between input costs and output. In simple terms, the functional relationship between cost and output is referred to as the cost function. It is written as:

#### C = f(q)

The cost function is a crucial concept in microeconomics, serving to examine the relationship between the production of goods and services and the associated costs incurred during their production. The production process involves various factors of production, which can be categorized as either fixed or variable. Producers compensate these factors for their contributions, and these expenditures are referred to as the costs of production. Fixed factors of production remain constant regardless of the level of output, such as machinery or buildings, while variable factors can change with the level of production, like labor and raw materials. Understanding the cost function allows firms to analyze how changes in production levels affect overall costs, enabling them to make informed decisions about pricing, output levels, and resource allocation. By evaluating these costs, businesses can optimize their operations and enhance profitability.

The cost function shows the relationship between the firm's cost and its output.

$$C = f(Q, P, T...)$$

where C is the cost, Q is the level of output, P is the prices of inputs, and T is technology. Since the cost function combines the information given by the production function with the input prices, the cost functions are called as 'derived functions'. Depending upon the requirements of the firm and upon the time element, the cost function can also be 'short run or long run'.

Three different types of costs are incurred during the production of a good or service. These are Total Cost, Average Cost, and Marginal Cost.

Firms use cost functions to make decisions regarding production including output level, pricing, and resource allocation. The aim of using the cost function is to minimize costs and maximize the profits of the firm.

The theory of costs revolves around different concepts of cost functions. Since cost functions are derived functions, therefore any change in production function has an impact on the cost.

#### **Components of the Cost Function:**

### The total cost (TC) of production can generally be divided into fixed costs and variable costs:

1. Fixed Costs (FC): Fixed costs are expenses that remain constant regardless of the level of output produced. Even if a firm does not produce anything, these costs still need to be paid. Examples include rent for facilities, salaries of permanent staff, depreciation of machinery, and insurance premiums. Mathematically, fixed costs are represented as a constant value:

FC=c (where c is a constant value)

2. Variable Costs (VC): Variable costs change with the level of output. As production increases, variable costs increase, and as production decreases, variable costs decrease. Examples include raw materials, hourly wages, and utility costs that fluctuate with production. The function for variable costs depends on the level of output, denoted as Q: VC=f(Q)

Trade Theories I

- **3. Total Cost (TC):** The sum of fixed and variable costs at a given output level. Total cost changes with output because of the variable costs, while fixed costs remain constant. TC(Q)=FC+VC(Q)
- 4. Marginal Cost (MC): The additional cost incurred to produce one more unit of output. It is derived from the total cost function and reflects how much total cost increases when output rises by one unit. MC(Q)=d(TC) /dQ

Marginal cost is a critical concept because it helps firms decide whether to increase or decrease production.

#### 5. Average Costs:

- Average Fixed Cost (AFC): Fixed cost per unit of output. AFC(Q)=FC/Q
- Average Variable Cost (AVC): Variable cost per unit of output. AVC(Q)=VC(Q)/Q
- Average Total Cost (ATC): Total cost per unit of output, which is the sum of AFC and AVC. ATC(Q)=TC(Q)/ Q = AFC(Q)+AVC(Q)

#### **Types of Costs:**

#### **PRIVATE COSTS:**

The process of production involves two types of costs- private and social. Private costs refer to costs incurred on the purchase of inputs or the factors of production and also the implicit costs borne by the producers include the following:

- 1. The costs incurred on the factors of production
- 2. Implicit/imputed costs on the resources provided by the producer/ entrepreneur
- 3. Normal profits

#### SOCIAL COSTS:

Social costs encompass both private costs and external costs (negative externalities) that affect third parties not directly involved in the activity. These external costs arise from unintended consequences of production or consumption, such as pollution or health impacts on society. For example, a chemical factory may impose social costs through environmental degradation and public health issues, which are not reflected in its private production costs.

#### EXPLICIT COSTS:

Explicit cost is the most widely used concept of costs. It refers to the

costs incurred by a firm on the purchase of factors of production. It refers to the expenditure on raw materials, wages, rent, interest payments, and so on. It is also known as 'MONEY COSTS' or 'ACCOUNTING COSTS'.

#### **IMPLICIT COSTS:**

The costs are related to the factor inputs owned by the firm. These costs are also known as 'ECONOMIC COSTS'. The Economist has a wider view of costs in comparison to an accountant. Since such costs do not involve any monetary payments, therefore the Accountant does not take them into account. But if such resources are employed elsewhere, they could have earned returns for themselves. So such resources have an imputed or implicit cost. An entrepreneur who runs his factory on his own land is forgoing the returns he could have gotten if he had rented it out at market rate. The entrepreneur can work as a manager or a consultant and earn wages.

#### **OPPORTUNITY COSTS:**

As we all know resources are not only scarce but have alternate uses, thus the concept of 'OPPORTUNITY COSTS' arises. Opportunity costs form the basis of the concept of cost. Also known as the Alternative costs. It is the cost linked with the prospects that have been foregone by not putting the firm's resources to the best possible uses. For example, a given amount of resources can produce 1000 kg of rice or 500 kg of sugar and the producer decides to produce one of the options and foregoes the other option. The decision of the producer depends upon many factors like the prices of factors of production, the price of the goods, and so on.

#### Short Run vs. Long Run Cost Functions:

- Short Run: In the short run, at least one input (like capital) is fixed, meaning the firm cannot adjust all factors of production. The cost function includes both fixed and variable costs.
- Long Run: In the long run, firms have the ability to adjust all factors of production, eliminating the presence of fixed costs. This flexibility allows them to modify input combinations and production processes to optimize efficiency. The long-run cost function is used to determine the minimum cost required to produce a specific level of output when all inputs, such as labor, capital, and technology, can be varied.

This concept is essential for businesses as it provides insights into achieving cost-effective production over time. By evaluating the long-run cost function, firms can make strategic decisions about resource allocation, production methods, and expansion plans to minimize expenses while maximizing output.

#### International Trade Importance of Cost Function

Theory and Policy

The cost function is crucial for businesses as it helps in:

- Determining the cost-efficiency of production processes.
- Pricing products appropriately to ensure profitability.
- Making informed decisions on production levels.
- Identifying cost-saving opportunities.

#### **Applications of Cost Function**

#### 1. Break-Even Analysis

The cost function is essential in break-even analysis to determine the production level at which total revenue equals total cost.

#### 2. Marginal Cost

Marginal cost, the cost of producing one additional unit, can be derived from the cost function.

#### **Conclusion:**

A cost function is a critical tool for understanding a firm's production and cost structure. It helps managers make decisions on pricing, output levels, and resource allocation. By analyzing the marginal and average costs associated with different production levels, firms can optimize operations, minimize costs, and maximize profitability.

The cost function is a fundamental concept in both mathematics and economics, providing essential insights into the relationship between production costs and output levels. By understanding and utilizing cost functions, businesses can optimize their production processes, set competitive prices, and enhance profitability.

#### **1.4 COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE**

The theory of comparative advantage, developed by economist David Ricardo in his 1817 book *Principles of Political Economy and Taxation*, is a cornerstone of international trade theory. It explains how countries or economic entities can benefit from trade by specializing in the production of goods for which they have the lowest opportunity cost, even if one country is more efficient in producing all goods compared to another.

#### **Core Concepts of Comparative Advantage:**

**1. Opportunity Cost**: Opportunity cost refers to the value of what must be sacrificed to produce one good instead of another. This concept is fundamental to understanding comparative advantage. A country holds a comparative advantage in producing a good if the opportunity cost of producing that good is lower than in other countries. For instance, if Country A can produce either 10 cars or 5 computers, and Country B can produce 10 cars or 20 computers, then Country A has a comparative advantage in car production because it sacrifices only 0.5 computers for each car produced. In contrast, Country B has a comparative advantage in computer production, as it sacrifices only 0.5 cars for each computer produced. Therefore, both countries should specialize in the goods where they have the lowest opportunity cost and engage in trade with one another.

#### 2. Absolute Advantage vs. Comparative Advantage:

#### a. Absolute Advantage

A country possesses an absolute advantage if it can produce more of a good using the same resources compared to another country. However, having an absolute advantage does not automatically imply that the country should focus on producing that good.

#### b. Comparative Advantage

A country has a comparative advantage when it can produce a good at a lower opportunity cost than another country. This concept emphasizes relative efficiency rather than just overall productivity.

Even if one country holds an absolute advantage in producing both goods, both countries can still benefit from trade as long as their relative opportunity costs differ. This principle underlines the importance of specialization and trade in maximizing economic benefits for all parties involved.

**3. Specialization**: According to the theory of comparative advantage, countries should specialize in producing goods for which they have the lowest opportunity cost. This specialization enables them to produce more efficiently and trade for other goods that may have a higher opportunity cost. By concentrating on their strengths, countries can enhance overall productivity and output, leading to greater economic benefits through trade.

**4. Gains from Trade**: The central implication of comparative advantage is that trade allows all countries to consume beyond their own production possibilities. Even if a country is less efficient in producing all goods (has no absolute advantage), it can still benefit from trade by specializing in goods where it has a comparative advantage.Gains from trade are realized when countries exchange goods in which they have a comparative advantage. These gains result in lower costs, increased variety, and higher overall consumption.

#### **Example of Comparative Advantage:**

Suppose we have two countries-Country A and Country B—and two products, wheat and cloth.

- Country A can produce either 100 units of wheat or 50 units of cloth.
- Country B can produce either 80 units of wheat or 40 units of cloth.

Country A is more productive than Country B in producing both goods, meaning it has an **absolute advantage** in both wheat and cloth production. However, let's consider opportunity costs:

- In Country A, producing 1 unit of cloth costs 2 units of wheat (since 100 units of wheat = 50 units of cloth).
- In Country B, producing 1 unit of cloth costs 2 units of wheat (since 80 units of wheat = 40 units of cloth).

Now, what about wheat?

- In Country A, producing 1 unit of wheat costs 0.5 units of cloth (since 100 wheat = 50 cloth).
- In Country B, producing 1 unit of wheat costs 0.5 units of cloth (since 80 wheat = 40 cloth).

#### How Trade Works with Comparative Advantage:

Let's suppose these two countries specialize:

- Country A specializes in producing wheat, where it has the lower opportunity cost.
- Country B specializes in producing cloth, where it has a comparative advantage.

By specializing and trading, both countries end up with more goods than if they attempted to produce both on their own.

#### **Comparative Advantage in Real-World Economics:**

- 1. Labor Costs and Specialization: Countries with lower labor costs, such as China and Vietnam, tend to specialize in producing laborintensive goods like textiles and electronics assembly. This specialization is driven by their comparative advantage in these sectors, where production relies heavily on affordable labor. On the other hand, nations like Germany and the United States, which have advanced industries and skilled labor forces, focus on high-tech products, automobiles, and specialized machinery. This reflects their comparative advantage in capital-intensive and skill-intensive sectors.
- **2. Technological Innovation and Comparative Advantage**: The principle of comparative advantage isn't static. As countries innovate and develop new technologies, their comparative advantages can shift. A country that once had a comparative advantage in textiles may transition to high-tech manufacturing if it invests in education, infrastructure, and innovation.

**3. Trade Patterns**: Comparative advantage is instrumental in explaining trade patterns and the reasons why countries import certain goods while exporting others. Countries that specialize in producing goods for which they have a comparative advantage can engage in trade with other nations to fulfill their domestic needs for products they do not produce as efficiently. This specialization allows countries to maximize their production capabilities and benefit from trading with others, leading to a more efficient allocation of global resources and increased overall economic welfare.

#### **Conclusion:**

Comparative advantage remains a powerful concept that explains why trade is mutually beneficial for countries. It underpins much of modern trade theory and helps countries understand how to allocate resources efficiently and gain from specialization. However, real-world complexities such as changing comparative advantages, externalities, and uneven distribution of gains must be considered for a comprehensive understanding of its implications.

#### **1.5 RICARDIAN TRADE MODEL**

According to David Ricardo, trade relations between two countries are influenced not only by absolute costs but also by comparative differences in production costs. These production cost variations arise from the geographical division of labor and specialization in production. Factors such as climate, natural resources, geographical location, and labor efficiency enable a country to produce certain commodities at lower costs than others due to these comparative advantages.

As a result, each country tends to specialize in the production of commodities for which it has the lowest comparative production costs. When engaging in trade with another country, it will export those goods that have lower comparative production costs and import those that have higher comparative production costs. This principle is fundamental to Ricardo's understanding of international trade.

It follows that each country will focus on producing commodities where it holds the greatest comparative advantage or the least comparative disadvantage. Consequently, a country will export goods in which its comparative advantage is most significant and import goods where its comparative disadvantage is minimal.

#### Assumptions

The Ricardian theory of comparative advantage is built on several simplifying assumptions to explain international trade patterns effectively:

The Ricardian model of international trade operates under the following assumptions:

- **1. Two Countries and Two Goods:** The model involves trade between two countries producing two goods, such as wine and cloth.
- **2.** Labour as the Sole Factor of Production: Labour is the only input used in production, with no consideration for capital or other factors.
- **3. Homogeneous Labour:** All units of labor within a country are identical in productivity and skill.
- **4. Fixed Labor Supply:** Each country has a fixed supply of labor, which is fully employed.
- **5. Perfect Mobility of Labour Within a Country:** Labour can move freely between industries within a country but is immobile across countries.
- **6.** Constant Returns to Scale: Production processes exhibit constant returns to scale, meaning output increases proportionally with input.
- 7. Technological Differences: Technology varies between countries, influencing labor productivity and driving comparative advantage.
- **8. Perfect Competition:** All markets operate under perfect competition, ensuring efficient allocation of resources.
- **9. Barter System:** Trade occurs through direct exchange of goods without the use of currency.
- **10. No Transportation Costs:** Trade is assumed to take place without any transportation costs or barriers.
- **11. Similar Tastes and Preferences:** Consumers in both countries have identical preferences for goods.
- **12. International Market Equilibrium:** The exchange ratio for goods is uniform across countries due to perfect competition.

#### **Explanation of the Theory**

David Ricardo's example of trade between England and Portugal demonstrates the concept of comparative advantage, showing that trade is possible even when one country has an absolute advantage in producing both goods. In his illustration, England and Portugal produce two commodities: cloth and wine. While Portugal has an absolute advantage in producing both goods due to lower labour requirements, the relative opportunity costs differ between the two countries.

Portugal can produce wine at a lower opportunity cost compared to cloth, while England can produce cloth at a lower opportunity cost compared to wine. Therefore, Portugal specializes in wine production and exports it to England, while England specializes in cloth production and exports it to Portugal. This specialization and trade allow both countries to benefit by consuming more of both goods than they could without trade, highlighting the principle that comparative advantage, not absolute advantage, drives international trade.

#### Table 1.1: Labour Required For Producing One Unit

Country	Wine	Cloth
England	120	100
Portugal	80	90

Table 1.1 illustrates Ricardo's concept of comparative advantage using the trade relationship between England and Portugal. In this example:

- England requires 120 men per year to produce one unit of wine and 100 men per year to produce one unit of cloth.
- Portugal, on the other hand, needs 80 men per year for wine production and 90 men per year for cloth production.

Portugal uses less labor than England for both goods, showcasing its absolute advantage in production. Portuguese labor is more efficient in producing both wine and cloth. However, Ricardo demonstrated that trade remains beneficial because of differences in *comparative advantage*.

#### Analysis of Comparative Advantage

- Portugal has a greater comparative advantage in wine production because its cost (80/120 men) is lower compared to cloth (90/100 men).
- England, despite its absolute disadvantage, should specialize in cloth production since its cost (100/90 men) is lower relative to wine (120/80 men).

#### Specialization and Trade

Portugal benefits more by specializing in wine production and exporting it to England. England gains by focusing on cloth production, where its comparative disadvantage is least. Through specialization based on comparative advantage, both countries can engage in trade and achieve mutual benefits, consuming more of both goods than they could produce independently.



The production possibility curves of Portugal (PL) and England (EG) illustrate their respective production capabilities for wine and cloth. Portugal has an absolute advantage in producing both goods, as it produces OL of wine and OP of cloth compared to England's OG of wine and OE of cloth. However, the slope of ER (parallel to PL) demonstrates Portugal's greater comparative advantage in wine production. If Portugal reallocates resources from producing OE of cloth, it can produce OR of wine, which exceeds England's OG of wine.

On the other hand, England faces the least comparative disadvantage in cloth production. Its opportunity cost for producing OE of cloth is lower relative to wine production. Consequently, Portugal specializes in wine production and exports OR of wine to England, while England specializes in cloth production and exports OE of cloth to Portugal. This trade arrangement benefits both countries by leveraging their comparative advantages.

#### Gains from Trade and their Distribution

Ricardo does not discuss the actual ratio at which wine and cloth would be exchanged and how much the two countries gain from trade. Before trade, the domestic trade ratios in the two countries for wine and cloth are shown in Table 1.2. The cost of production of one unit of wine in England is 120 men and that of producing one unit of cloth is 100 men. It shows that the cost of producing wine is more than cloth because one unit of wine can be exchanged for 1.2 units of cloth. On the other hand, the cost of producing one unit of wine in Portugal is 80 men and that of producing one unit of cloth is 90 men. It is clear that the cost of producing cloth is more than that of wine because one unit of wine can be exchanged for 0.89 units of cloth. Suppose trade begins between the two countries. England will gain if it imports one unit of wine from Portugal in exchange for less than 1.2 units of cloth. Portugal will also gain if it imports more than 0.89 units of cloth from England in exchange for 1 unit of wine.

England	Portugal
Wine 120: 100 Cloth (6/5)	Wine 80 : 90 Cloth (8/9)
1: 1.2	1: 0. 89
Cloth 100: 120 Wine(5/6)	Cloth 90: 80 Wine (9/8)
1: 0.83	1: 1.125

**Table 1.2: Domestic Exchange Ratios** 

The table shows that the domestic exchange ratio in England is one unit of cloth= 0.83 units of wine and in Portugal one unit of wine 0.89 units of cloth. If we assume the exchange ratio between the two countries to be 1 unit of cloth = 1 unit of wine, England would gain 0.17 (1-0.83) units of wine by exporting one unit of cloth to Portugal. Similarly, the gain to Portugal by exporting one unit of wine to England will be 0.11(1-0.89) units of cloth. Thus, trade is beneficial for both countries.

Trade Theories I

The gains from trade and their distribution are shown in Figure 1.2 where line C1 W2 depicts the domestic exchange ratio of 1 unit of cloth= 0.83 unit of wine in England, and line W1 C2 that of Portugal at the domestic exchange ratio of 1 unit of wine= 0.89 unit of cloth. Line C1 W1 shows the exchange rate of trade of 1 unit of cloth =1 unit of wine between the two countries. At this exchange rate, England gains W2W1 (0.17 unit) of wine, while Portugal gains C2 C1 (0.11 unit) of cloth.



Thus, both England and Portugal specialise in the production of one commodity based on comparative costs. Each reallocates its factors accordingly and exports that commodity in which it has a comparative advantage and imports that commodity in which it has a comparative disadvantage. Both gain through trade and can increase the consumption of the two commodities.

#### Criticisms

#### Criticisms of the Theory of Comparative Advantage

The theory of comparative advantage, although influential in the field of international trade, has faced several criticisms over time. These criticisms primarily focus on its assumptions and applicability to real-world trade scenarios. Below are the key criticisms:

#### 1. Unrealistic Assumption of Labour Cost

One of the most significant criticisms is that the theory is based on the labor theory of value. It considers only labor costs when calculating production costs, neglecting non-labor costs involved in the production of commodities. This perspective is unrealistic because actual national and international transactions are based on money costs rather than solely on labor costs. Furthermore, the labor cost theory assumes that labor is homogeneous, which is also unrealistic since labor varies in types and skills.

#### 2. No Similar Tastes

The assumption that tastes are similar across countries is another point of criticism. In reality, consumer preferences differ significantly based on

income levels and can change as economies grow and develop trade relations with other countries.

#### **3. Assumption of Fixed Proportions**

The theory assumes that labor is used in fixed proportions for the production of all commodities, which makes it static and unrealistic. In practice, labor is utilized in varying proportions across different industries, and there is often the possibility of substituting labor for capital in production processes.

#### 4. Unrealistic Assumption of Constant Costs

The theory also relies on the weak assumption that increases in output due to international specialization will be accompanied by constant costs. If large-scale production leads to reduced costs, then comparative advantage may increase. Conversely, if increased output results from rising production costs, then comparative advantage may diminish or even disappear.

#### **5. Ignores Transport Costs**

Ricardo's model overlooks transport costs when determining comparative advantage in trade. This omission is significant because transport costs play a crucial role in shaping the patterns of world trade. High transport costs can negate comparative advantages and reduce potential gains from international trade.

#### 6. Mobility of Factors

The doctrine assumes that factors of production are perfectly mobile within countries but wholly immobile between them. This assumption is unrealistic because even within a country, factors do not move freely from one industry to another or from one region to another. The degree of specialization within an industry often limits factor mobility.

#### 7. Two-Country Two-Commodity Model

The Ricardian model simplifies international trade to a scenario involving only two countries and two commodities. This simplification is unrealistic because actual international trade involves multiple countries trading a wide variety of goods.

#### 8. Unrealistic Assumption of Free Trade

Another serious weakness of the theory is its assumption of perfect and free world trade. In reality, world trade is subject to various restrictions, including tariffs and quotas that affect imports and exports. Additionally, products are not homogeneous but differentiated, further complicating trade dynamics.

#### 9. Unrealistic Assumptions of Full Employment

Like many classical theories, the theory of comparative advantage is based on the assumption of full employment in both countries. This assumption has been challenged by Keynesian economics, which demonstrated that underemployment can exist in an economy.

#### **10. Self-Interest Hinders Its Operation**

The doctrine may not operate effectively if a country with a comparative disadvantage chooses not to import a commodity from another country due to strategic or military considerations. In such cases, self-interest can obstruct the application of the theory.

#### 11. Neglects the Role of Technology

The theory neglects to consider the role of technological innovation in international trade. This oversight is significant because technological advancements can enhance supply for both domestic and international markets.

#### 12. One-Sided Theory

The Ricardian theory is criticized for being one-sided because it focuses solely on the supply side of international trade while neglecting demandside factors such as consumer preferences and market conditions.

#### 13. Impossibility of Complete Specialization

Professor Frank Graham has pointed out that complete specialization based on comparative advantage may be impossible for certain scenarios, such as when comparing a large country with a small country or when dealing with commodities that have high-value differences.

#### 1.6 GAINS FROM TRADE WITH HOMOGENEOUS AND HETEROGENEOUS AGENTS

Gains from Trade with Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Agents refer to analyzing the benefits of trade in economic models where agents (individuals, firms, or countries) are either identical (homogeneous) or different (heterogeneous) in terms of their preferences, production capabilities, or resource endowments.

This distinction is important because the nature of agents influences how trade benefits are distributed, and the mechanisms by which gains from trade are realized.

#### Homogeneous Agents and Gains from Trade

In a world with **homogeneous agents**, all participants in the economy have the same preferences, technology, and resource endowments. Therefore, they are identical in terms of what they can produce and consume. In such a scenario:

#### 1. No Trade Incentive Without External Differences:

If two countries or agents are perfectly homogeneous, there is no basis for trade because each country can produce the same goods with identical efficiency.

Gains from trade in a world with homogeneous agents would typically arise only from **external differences** such as access to resources or economies of scale.

#### 2. Economies of Scale:

Homogeneous agents can still benefit from trade if economies of scale are present. By specializing in certain goods, each agent or country can reduce the average cost of production and gain from increased efficiency, even if they are identical in production capabilities.

#### 3. Trade under Homogeneous Conditions:

Gains from trade occur because of the ability to exploit economies of scale, technological advances, or access to a wider market.

In a perfectly competitive market with homogeneous agents, trade leads to lower prices and increased production, benefitting all parties involved.

#### Heterogeneous Agents and Gains from Trade

In contrast, **heterogeneous agents** are characterized by differences in terms of production technology, resource endowments, skills, or preferences. These differences provide a strong foundation for trade and allow for more complex and significant gains.

#### 1. Comparative Advantage:

- The most fundamental reason for gains from trade in a heterogeneous world is **comparative advantage**. Even if one agent or country is better at producing all goods, differences in opportunity costs lead to specialization. Each agent focuses on producing goods for which they have a lower opportunity cost, resulting in mutual benefits.
- Heterogeneity allows countries or agents to specialize based on their strengths, improving overall efficiency and output.

#### 2. Diversity of Preferences:

• With heterogeneous preferences, trade allows agents to consume a wider variety of goods than they could produce domestically. For example, different tastes for specific products (e.g., food, clothing) create incentives to trade, ensuring that all agents can access a broader array of goods.

#### 3. Heterogeneous Production Technologies:

• Differences in production technologies across countries or firms result in different costs for producing the same goods. Trade allows each country or agent to exploit their most efficient technologies and export goods they produce at the lowest cost, thereby increasing global output.

#### 4. Distribution of Gains:

Gains from trade in a heterogeneous world are distributed unevenly. Agents or countries that have more pronounced comparative advantages or access to more advanced technologies may benefit more from trade than those with fewer advantages.

The extent of the gains also depends on the elasticity of demand for traded goods and the relative factor intensities of production (e.g., capital-intensive vs. labor-intensive goods).

#### 5. Imperfect Competition and Product Differentiation:

Heterogeneity can lead to **imperfect competition** and product differentiation, where firms trade not only based on price but also on product variety and quality. This gives rise to intra-industry trade, where countries import and export different versions of similar goods (e.g., cars, electronics).

Aspect	Homogeneous Agents	Heterogeneous Agents
Basis for Trade	Economies of scale, external differences	Comparative advantage, differences in preferences and technologies
Nature of Gains	Gains arise from scale efficiency and competition	Gains arise from specialization, comparative advantage, and diversity
Product Variety	Limited variety, as all agents produce similarly	Greater variety due to specialization and preference differences
Distribution of Gains	More evenly distributed among agents	Uneven, depending on differences in technology and endowments
Market Structure	Often perfect competition	Can involve imperfect competition with product differentiation
Trade Mechanism	Lower prices due to scale	Specialization based on cost-efficiency differences

Gains from Trade with Homogeneous vs. Heterogeneous Agents: A Comparison

#### **Examples:**

#### 1. Homogeneous Agents:

Imagine two countries with identical technologies and resources but different production scales. Both countries can produce cars, but due to economies of scale, if each country specializes in car production, they can lower production costs and export cars to each other, benefitting from reduced costs.

#### 2. Heterogeneous Agents:

Suppose one country is rich in skilled labor and specializes in high-tech industries like software development, while another has abundant land and specializes in agriculture. Through trade, both countries focus on what they do best, exporting their specialized products and importing what they lack. Both benefit from the increased efficiency and broader access to goods.

#### **Conclusion:**

**Homogeneous agents** derive gains from trade primarily through economies of scale and access to larger markets.

**Heterogeneous agents** experience more profound gains due to differences in production capabilities, comparative advantages, and preferences, leading to specialization, increased variety, and efficiency.

In practice, most real-world trade involves heterogeneous agents, where differences in skills, resources, and technology are the driving force behind trade benefits. However, even in markets with seemingly homogeneous agents, trade can still lead to efficiency gains through economies of scale and competitive pressures.

#### **1.7 SUMMARY**

This unit has explored key concepts in production and cost functions, comparative advantage, and trade theory. The Ricardian model demonstrates how trade arises from differences in labor productivity, and the analysis of homogeneous and heterogeneous agents shows how trade benefits are distributed. Understanding these principles is essential for analyzing real-world trade and production decisions.

#### **1.8 QUESTIONS**

- 1. Define a production function and explain its importance in economics.
- 2. What is the difference between fixed and variable costs in the cost function?
- 3. Explain the concept of comparative advantage with an example.
- 4. Describe the Ricardian trade model and its assumptions.
- 5. How do homogeneous and heterogeneous agents impact gains from trade?

#### **1.9 REFERENCES**

• Krugman, P. R., Obstfeld, M., & Melitz, M. J. (2018). International economics: Theory and policy (11th ed.). Pearson Education.

- Mankiw, N. G. (2021). Principles of economics (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Ruffin, R. J. (2002). David Ricardo's discovery of comparative advantage. History of Political Economy, 34(4), 727–748. https://doi.org/10.1215/00182702-34-4-727
- Samuelson, P. A., & Nordhaus, W. D. (2010). Economics (19th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Varian, H. R. (2019). Intermediate microeconomics: A modern approach (9th ed.). W.W. Norton & Company.

\*\*\*\*

### **TRADE THEORIES II**

#### **Unit Structure :**

- 2.0 Objectives
- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Hecksher-Ohlin Model
- 2.3 Stolper-Samuelson Model
- 2.4 Rybczynski Theorem
- 2.5 Factor-price Equalization Theorem
- 2.6 Leontief Paradox,
- 2.7 Empirical validity, specific-factor model as a short-run approximation
- 2.8 Summary
- 2.9 Questions
- 2.10 References

#### **2.0 OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To understand the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) model and its impact on international trade.
- 2. To explore the Stolper-Samuelson model and its relationship with trade and income distribution.
- 3. To analyze the Rybczynski theorem and the factor-price equalization theorem.
- 4. To assess the Leontief paradox and its challenge to the H-O model.
- 5. To examine the empirical relevance of these trade theories and the specific-factor model as a short-run framework.

#### **2.1 INTRODUCTION**

In international economics, various models explain the movement of goods and services across nations. While early theories, such as the Ricardian model, emphasize differences in labor productivity, more advanced models account for variations in factor endowments to explain trade patterns. The Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) model is a key example,

proposing that countries specialize in exporting goods that intensively use their abundant factors of production. These models generate critical insights into income distribution and global trade dynamics, leading to concepts such as the Stolper-Samuelson and Rybczynski theorems. However, empirical challenges—most notably the Leontief paradox have questioned some predictions of the H-O model. To bridge these gaps, the specific-factor model provides a short-run perspective that complements the long-run framework of Heckscher-Ohlin theory.

#### 2.2 HECKSCHER-OHLIN MODEL

#### Heckscher-Ohlin Theory of International Trade

According to Ricardo and other classical economists, international trade arises from differences in comparative costs. Heckscher and Ohlin accepted this fundamental principle but expanded upon it by identifying the underlying factors that cause variations in comparative costs across regions or countries. Ricardo and his followers attributed these differences primarily to variations in labor skill and efficiency. However, this explanation alone is insufficient. Ohlin emphasized more significant determinants—specifically, differences in nations' factor endowments and the varying factor proportions required to produce different commodities. These factors ultimately shape comparative costs and form the foundation of inter-regional and international trade.

Thus, Heckscher-Ohlin theory does not contradict and supplant the comparative cost theory but supplements it by offering sufficiently satisfactory explanation of what causes differences in comparative costs.

According to Ohlin, the underlying forces behind differences in comparative costs are two-fold:

- 1. The different regions or countries have different factor endowments.
- 2. The different goods require different factor proportions for their production.

It is a well-known fact that various countries (regions) are differently endowed with productive factors required for the production of goods. Some countries possess relatively more capital, some relatively more labour, and some relatively more land.

The factor that is relatively abundant in a country will generally have a lower price, while the factor that is relatively scarce will command a higher price. Thus, according to Ohlin, there is a close relationship between a nation's factor endowments and its factor prices.

Suppose K stands for the availability or supply of capital in a country, L for that of labour PK for the price of capital and PL for the price of labour. Further, take two countries A and B; in country A capital is relatively abundant and labour is relatively scarce. The reverse is the case in country

B. Given these factor-endowments, in country A capital will be relatively cheaper.

#### In symbolic terms:

Since (K/L) A > (K/L) B

Since (PK/PL) A < (PK/PL) B

Thus, differences in factor endowments lead to variations in factor prices, which in turn influence the comparative costs of producing different commodities. Alongside factor endowments, the varying factor proportions required for the production of different goods also play a crucial role in shaping differences in comparative costs across countries.

Some commodities are such that their production requires relatively more capital than other factors; they are therefore called capital-intensive commodities. Still, other commodities require relatively more land than capital and labour and are therefore called land-intensive commodities.

These differences in factor proportions (also referred to as differences in factor intensities) required for the production of various commodities contribute to variations in comparative costs. Consequently, these differences in comparative costs lead to disparities in market prices of commodities across different countries.

It follows from this that countries tend to have a comparative advantage in producing commodities that require factors they possess in abundance, while they face a comparative disadvantage in producing goods that rely on factors that are relatively scarce.

Thus, a country like A, which has a relative abundance of capital and a relative scarcity of labor, will have a comparative advantage in producing capital-intensive commodities. Consequently, it will specialize in such production and import labor-intensive goods in exchange. This is because (PK/PL) A < (PK/PL) B.

On the other hand, a labour-abundant country like B, which faces a scarcity of capital, will have a comparative advantage in specializing in the production of labor-intensive commodities. As a result, it will export these goods while importing capital-intensive commodities. This occurs because, in country B, labour is relatively cheaper due to its abundance, making labour-intensive production more cost-effective.

(PL/PK) B < (PL/PK) A.

If factor endowments in both countries are identical and the factor proportions used in the production of different commodities do not vary, then relative factor prices will also be the same. In this case, there will be no differences in comparative costs between the two countries, eliminating the basis for mutually beneficial trade [i.e. (PK/PL) A < (PK/PL) B] which will mean differences in comparative costs of producing commodities in the two countries will be non-existent. In this situation, the countries will not gain from entering into trade with each other.

Trade Theories II

To graphically explain the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) theory of international trade, we consider two countries: the U.S.A. and India. The U.S.A. has a relative abundance of capital and a relative scarcity of labor, while India has a relative abundance of labor and a relative scarcity of capital. This reflects the real-world distribution of resources.

Given these factor endowments, we have drawn the production possibility curves (also known as transformation curves) between two commodities, cloth, and machines of the two countries, the U.S.A. and India in Fig. 2.1 and 2.2 respectively.



Exports and Imports of U.S.A

Exports and Imports of USA

Figure 2.1



Exports and Imports of India

Exports and Imports of India

#### Figure 2.2

Since the two countries have different factor endowments, their production possibility curves (PPCs) will differ in shape. As illustrated in **Fig. 2.1**, the production possibility curve **AB** of the U.S.A. reflects its relative abundance of capital. This means that, given its factor endowments, the U.S.A. can efficiently produce a larger quantity of the capital-intensive commodity (e.g., machines) while producing a relatively smaller quantity of the labor-intensive commodity (e.g., cloth).

On the contrary, as illustrated in **Fig. 2.2**, India's production possibility curve reflects its relative abundance of labor. Given its factor endowments, India can efficiently produce a larger quantity of the labor-intensive commodity (e.g., cloth) while producing a relatively smaller quantity of the capital-intensive commodity (e.g., machines).

In the absence of foreign trade, equilibrium in each country would be determined by the following rule:

 $MRT_{MC} = MRS_{MC} = PM/PC$ 

Where  $MRS_{MC}$  stands for the marginal rate of transformation of machines into cloth,  $MRS_{MC}$  for the marginal rate of substitution of machines for cloth, and PM/PC for the price ratio between the two commodities.

In geometric terms, this rule implies that in the absence of foreign trade, each country's production and consumption will be determined by the tangency point of its production possibility curve (PPC) with the highest possible community indifference curve (CIC). This point represents the optimal allocation of resources within the country, where the relative prices of goods are determined solely by domestic factor endowments and preferences.

As shown in Figure 2.1, in the absence of trade, the U.S.A. will reach equilibrium at point R, where its production possibility curve (PPC) AB is tangent to its community indifference curve (CIC) II. At this point, the U.S.A. allocates its resources efficiently based on its factor endowments, producing and consuming a mix of goods that maximizes national welfare without engaging in international trade.

The tangent pp to the production possibility curve (PPC) AB and the community indifference curve (CIC) II at point R represents the domestic price ratio of the two commodities in the U.S.A. before trade. This ratio reflects the opportunity cost of producing one good in terms of the other, determining the domestic rate of exchange between the two goods in the absence of international trade.

As depicted in Figure 2.2, before engaging in trade, India will be in equilibrium at point Q, where its production possibility curve (PPC) EF is tangent to its community indifference curve (CIC) II. This point represents India's optimal allocation of resources in the absence of international trade.

Trade Theories II

The tangent pp at point Q to both the PPC EF and the CIC II reflects India's domestic rate of exchange between the two commodities. This rate indicates the relative prices of the goods in India before trade, determined by its factor endowments and production capabilities.

As illustrated in **Figures 2.1 and 2.2**, the **price ratio (rate of exchange)** of the two commodities differs between the **U.S.A.** and **India** (indicated by the differing slopes of the tangents **pp** in each country). This difference in relative prices provides an incentive for both countries to engage in international trade.

When trade is introduced, the **terms of trade**—the ratio at which goods are exchanged between the two countries—is represented by the line **tt**. This new exchange ratio allows each country to specialize in the production of the good in which it has a comparative advantage and trade for the other good, leading to gains from trade.

It will be observed that with terms of trade line tt, U.S.A. will be in equilibrium from the viewpoint of production at point R' at which the terms of trade line tt is tangent to its production possibility curve AB. However, its consumption point after trade is C which is determined by the tangency of the terms of trade line tt with the community indifference curve III.

As shown in Figure 2.1, after engaging in trade, the U.S.A.'s consumption point C lies on a higher community indifference curve (CIC) than before trade, indicating the gains from trade.

By comparing the post-trade production point R' with the consumption point C, we can observe that:

- The U.S.A. produces HR' more of machines than it consumes domestically.
  - The U.S.A. consumes HC more of cloth than it produces.

This implies that the U.S.A. exports HR' of machines and imports HC of cloth, aligning with the predictions of the Heckscher-Ohlin theorem, as the U.S.A. specializes in capital-intensive goods and trades them for labor-intensive goods.

As illustrated in Figure 2.2, after engaging in trade, India's production point shifts to Q', where its production possibility curve (PPC) EF is tangent to the terms of trade line tt. This shift indicates that India is now specializing in the production of the good in which it has a comparative advantage—labor-intensive commodities like cloth.

After trade, India's consumption occurs at point C, where the terms of trade line tt is tangent to its higher community indifference curve (CIC) III. This signifies that India is now able to consume more than it could in autarky, thereby gaining from trade.

As a result of trade, India has also gained as she has reached a higher community indifference curve. Thus, after trade with the production point Q' and consump-tion point C, India will produce SQ' more cloth and SC less machines than it consumes at home. Thus, India will export SQ' of cloth and import SC of machines.

It follows from the above analysis that differences in factor endowments between the U.S.A. and India, along with differences in factor proportions required for producing various commodities, establish the foundation for trade between these two countries.

By specializing in the production of goods that intensively use their abundant factors, each country can produce more efficiently and trade for goods that require factors in which they are relatively scarce. As a result:

- The U.S.A. specializes in capital-intensive goods (e.g., machines) and exports them while importing labor-intensive goods.
- India specializes in labor-intensive goods (e.g., cloth) and exports them while importing capital-intensive goods.

Through this specialization and exchange, both countries gain from trade, as they achieve higher levels of consumption and economic welfare compared to a no-trade scenario.

## Critical Evaluation of Heckscher-Ohlin Theory of International Trade:

The **Heckscher-Ohlin theory** has significantly contributed to the understanding of international trade. While it upholds the principle of **comparative costs** as the foundation of trade, it introduces several refinements to the **classical comparative cost theory**.

- 1. Shift from the Labour Theory of Value to General Equilibrium Theory: The theory moves beyond the labour theory of value, which was central to earlier trade models. Instead, it adopts the general equilibrium approach, where both demand and supply factors play a role in determining the prices of goods and factors of production.
- 2. Elimination of the Distinction Between International and Inter-Regional Trade: The Heckscher-Ohlin model argues that the same factors determine both international and inter-regional trade, thereby removing any fundamental distinction between the two.
- **3. Improved Explanation of Comparative Cost Differences:** Unlike Ricardo's model, which attributes differences in comparative costs solely to labour efficiency, Heckscher and Ohlin provide a broader explanation. They emphasize differences in factor endowments (availability of capital, labour, and other resources) and variations in factor proportions required for producing different goods as key determinants of comparative costs.

4. Realistic Outlook on the Future of Trad: According to Ricardo's theory, international trade exists only due to differences in labour skills and efficiency. This suggests that with the global transfer of knowledge and technology, comparative cost differences would disappear, eventually leading to the end of international trade. However, Heckscher and Ohlin reject this notion, arguing that trade persists due to differences in factor endowments beyond just labour efficiency. Factors such as land and natural resources, which are not mobile, ensure that international trade continues despite knowledge diffusion.

#### Despite the above merits of Heckscher-Ohlin theory, it has some shortcomings which are briefly discussed below:

**1. Leontief Paradox:** In the Heckscher-Ohlin theory it has been assumed that relative factor prices reflect the relative supplies of factors. That is, a factor that is found in abundance in a country will have a lower price and vice versa. This means that in the determination of factor prices supply outweighs demand.

But if demand for factors prevails oversupply, then factor prices so determined would not conform to the supplies of factors. Thus, if in a country there is an abundance of capital and scarcity of labour in physical terms but there is a relatively much greater demand for capital, then the price of capital would be relatively higher than that of labour.

Then, under these circumstances, contrary to its factor endowments, the country many export labour-intensive goods and import capital-intensive goods. Perhaps it is this which lies behind the empirical findings by Leontief that though America is a capital-abundant and labour-scarce country, in the structure of its imports capital-intensive goods are relatively greater whereas in the structure of its exports labour- intensive goods are relatively greater. As this is contrary to the popularly held view, this is known as Leontief Paradox.

2. Difference in Preferences or Demands for Goods: Against Heckscher-Ohlin theorem, it has also been pointed out that differences in tastes and preferences for goods or, to put it in other words, differences in the pattern of demand also give rise to trade between the countries. This is because, under differences in demand or preferences for goods, the commodity price ratios would not conform to the cost ratios based on factor endowments.

This implies that if countries A and B have identical factor endowments, their cost ratios for producing different goods would be the same. Consequently, the relative prices of commodities in both countries would also be identical.

As a result, there would be no basis for international trade between them, as trade occurs only when there are differences in relative prices due to variations in factor endowments. This highlights a key limitation of the Heckscher-Ohlin theory—it assumes that factor endowments are the sole

determinant of trade patterns, disregarding other potential influences such as technology, economies of scale, consumer preferences, and government policies.

According to the Heckscher-Ohlin theorem, when two countries have identical factor endowments, there is no basis for trade, as the relative prices of goods remain the same. However, trade can still take place if the demand patterns or consumer preferences in the two countries differ significantly.

For example, if country A has a higher preference for wheat and country B favors rice, they may engage in trade even though their factor endowments are identical. This highlights a limitation of the Heckscher-Ohlin model, as it primarily focuses on supply-side factors while overlooking the role of demand-side influences in shaping international trade.

#### **2.3 STOLPER-SAMUELSON MODEL**

The Stolper-Samuelson theorem suggests that, under specific restrictive conditions, imposing a tariff can increase both the relative and absolute income of the scarce factor of production, while simultaneously reducing the income of the abundant factor.

This indicates that trade protection benefits the owners of the scarce factor but comes at the expense of those who own the abundant factor. The theorem underscores the distributional effects of trade policies, showing that while tariffs may safeguard certain industries, they also create economic disparities within a country.

#### Assumptions:

This theorem is based on several assumptions. These are:

- 1. The theorem is formulated within a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  model, which consists of two countries (home and foreign), two commodities (X and Y), and two factors of production (labor and capital).
- 2. The production functions for both commodities are linear and homogeneous of degree one, implying constant returns to scale.
- 3. Good X is labor-intensive, while Good Y is capital-intensive in production.
- 4. The total supply of both factors (labor and capital) remains fixed within the model.
- 5. Perfect competition exists in both the goods and factor markets, ensuring no individual buyer or seller can influence prices.
- 6. The terms of trade between the two countries are assumed to remain constant throughout the analysis.

#### **Explanation:**

Based on these assumptions, the impact of a tariff imposed by the home country on income distribution can be analyzed using the Edgeworth Box diagram in Figure 2.3. In this diagram:

- Labor is represented along the horizontal axis, while capital is plotted along the vertical axis.
- The dimensions of the Edgeworth Box reflect the total available supply of labor and capital within the home country.
- It is assumed that the home country specializes in and exports the labor-intensive good X, while it imports the capital-intensive good Y.





In the Edgeworth Box diagram, the origin for commodity X is represented as O, while the origin for commodity Y is denoted as  $O\square$ . The line  $OO\square$ represents the contract curve, which shows efficient factor allocation. The isoquant for good X is labeled as aa, while the isoquant for good Y is represented as bb. Under free trade, these isoquants are tangent at point N along the factor price line pp, indicating an optimal allocation of labor and capital.

When the home country imposes a tariff on the capital-intensive good Y, the domestic price of Y increases, leading to a reduction in imports of Y. As a result: Higher prices for Y encourage domestic producers to increase its production. The home country reallocates resources, leading to an increase in Y production and a decline in X production. Capital and labor shift from X to Y, causing a downward shift in the isoquant as to  $a \square a \square$  and an upward shift in the isoquant bb to  $b \square b \square$ .

After the imposition of the tariff, the new production point shifts to M, where the isoquants  $a \square a \square$  and  $b \square b \square$  are tangent along the new factor price line  $P \square P \square$ . Since good Y is capital intensive, the relative demand for capital rises. Since there is perfect mobility of factors in the country, both labor and capital will move from the industry of good X to the industry of good Y. But the relative demand for capital is greater than that of labor, since Y is more capital intensive than X, this tends to bid up the relative price of capital. This leads to substitution in both industries of labor for capital. It means that the capital-labor ratio falls in the production of both commodities. This is shown by the less steep slope of the factor price line  $P \square P \square$  as against the pp line before the tariff is imposed. As more labor is used with each unit of capital, the marginal productivity of labor and its real wages fall. Conversely, the fall in the capital-labor ratio means that the marginal productivity of capital and the real returns to capital have risen in the production of both commodities.

In conclusion, as the country moves from point N to point M due to the imposition of a tariff, its national income decreases. The returns to the scarce factor, capital, increase, while the wages of the abundant factor, labor, decline both in relative and absolute terms due to the reallocation of resources.

#### **Criticisms:**

#### The Metzler Paradox:

Metzler, named after the American economist Lloyd Metzler, criticized the Stolper-Samuelson theorem for assuming that the terms of trade of the tariff-imposing country remain unchanged. He demonstrated that imposing a tariff can improve a country's terms of trade to such an extent that the price of imported goods falls while the relative price of exported goods rises domestically. As a result, producing exported goods becomes more profitable, leading to a shift of labor and capital from the importcompeting industry to the export industry. In this scenario, the reward of the factor used more intensively in the export industry increases, while the reward of the other factor declines. This outcome contradicts the Stolper-Samuelson theorem and is known as the Metzler Paradox.

The Lerner Paradox: The Lerner Paradox, similar to the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, examines the impact of tariffs on income distribution but arrives at a different conclusion. When a tariff is imposed while keeping the terms of trade constant, it leads to an increase in the domestic price of the taxed commodity. This occurs because the tariff generates excess demand for the imported good, given that domestic demand for it is relatively inelastic. Lerner considers both consumer and government demand as part of total domestic demand for imports. He assumes that the government spends the entire tariff revenue on imported goods. Consequently, the tariff redistributes income from consumers to the government in the form of tariff revenue. This shift reduces consumer demand for imported goods while increasing government demand. Since Lerner assumes that the government's marginal propensity to consume
imported goods is higher than that of consumers, this redistribution results in an overall rise in demand for imports. This conclusion contradicts the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, which asserts that imposing a tariff leads to a decline in import demand.

## 2.4 THE RYBCZYNSKI THEOREM

Both Heckscher-Ohlin's theory and the factor-price equalization theory assume that factor endowments remain constant. However, in 1955, T.M. Rybczynski published a paper analyzing how an increase in the quantity of a production factor affects production, consumption, and the terms of trade.

According to this theorem, when the supply of one factor of production increases while other factors remain constant, the output of the good that intensively uses the growing factor rises, whereas the output of the other good declines in absolute terms, assuming commodity and factor prices remain unchanged. For instance, in a labor-surplus country, an increase in labor supply would result in higher production of the labor-intensive good, such as cloth, while reducing the output of the capital-intensive good, like steel.

## Assumptions of the Rybczynski Theorem:

## The Rybczynski theorem is based upon the following main assumptions:

- 1. Trade occurs between two countries, but the analysis here focuses on only one of them.
- 2. The selected country has an abundance of labor but a scarcity of capital.
- 3. This country produces two goods: cloth and steel.
- 4. The production of both goods requires two factors: labor and capital.
- 5. Both capital and labor are fully mobile, perfectly divisible, and have some degree of substitutability.
- 6.
- 7. Cloth is a labor-intensive commodity, whereas steel is capitalintensive.
- 8. The markets for both products and factors operate under perfect competition.
- 9. The production functions for both commodities exhibit constant returns to scale, meaning they are homogeneous of the first degree.
- 10. Both factor prices and commodity prices remain unchanged.

11. The labor supply increases, whereas the capital supply remains constant.

Rybczynski's analysis diverges from the Heckscher-Ohlin theorem and the factor-price equalization theorem by discarding the assumption of fixed factor endowments. He examines how an increase in the supply of the abundant factor in a country impacts production, factor prices, commodity prices, and terms of trade. His theorem is illustrated using Figure 2.4.



Figure 2.4

In the context of the given country, the Edgeworth box is represented by ABCD, illustrating its labor abundance and capital scarcity. The origin for the labor-intensive good (cloth) is marked as A, while the origin for the capital-intensive good (steel) is denoted as C. The contract curve AC, which is nonlinear and bends downward, depicts the production relationship. Initially, production occurs at point R, where the capital-labor (K-L) ratio in cloth is determined by the slope of line AR, while the K-L ratio in steel is indicated by the slope of line RC.

Now, if the supply of labor increases by BE while the capital stock remains unchanged, the new Edgeworth box expands to AEFD. In this scenario, A and F serve as the new origins for cloth and steel, respectively, while AF represents the revised nonlinear contract curve. Production now shifts to point S, where the K-L ratio in cloth corresponds to the slope of AS, and in steel, it is reflected by the slope of SF.

Since points R and S lie on the same straight line AS, the K-L ratio in cloth remains unchanged. Similarly, RC and SF are parallel, implying that the K-L ratio in steel also remains constant. Because factor intensities in both goods remain the same, there is no alteration in factor prices, contradicting the possibility of factor price equalization.

This suggests that an increase in labor supply in a labor-abundant country or a rise in capital stock in a capital-abundant country does not influence factor prices, thereby preventing their equalization. Consequently, with no changes in the prices of factors of production, the prices of goods also remain unaffected. The most significant effect of an increase in the supply of factors will be upon the volume of production. The distance of the point of production equilibrium from the origin measures the quantity produced of a commodity. In the case of cloth, the original production is measured by the distance AR. Subsequently, it is measured by the distance AS. Since AS is greater than AR, it signifies an increase in the production of cloth after there is an increase in the supply of labour.

In the case of steel production, the initial output at point R was represented by the distance RC. After the expansion in labor supply, the new output is reflected by the distance SF. Since SF is shorter than RC, it indicates that the production of the capital-intensive good, steel, has declined following the increase in labor availability. This leads to the conclusion that an increase in the supply of one factor, while keeping the other constant, results in a higher absolute production of the good that uses the increasing factor intensively, whereas the output of the other good decreases in absolute terms.

The analysis above suggests that the prices of both commodities remain unchanged. This scenario is only possible if factor prices also remain constant, which implies that the capital-labor ratio in both industries does not change. However, this raises the question: How can all these factors remain unchanged when the quantity of one factor is continuously increasing?

To address this, it can be stated that an increase in labor supply leads to the entire additional labor being absorbed into the labor-intensive industry. Additionally, some labor from the capital-intensive steel industry is diverted to the cloth industry. Along with labor, a portion of capital also shifts from the steel sector to the labor-intensive cloth sector.

As a result, cloth production expands while steel production contracts, yet the capital-labor ratios in both industries, along with factor and commodity prices, remain constant. If labor continues to increase indefinitely, the country may eventually become fully specialized in cloth production.

Since commodity prices remain unchanged, the terms of trade also stay unaffected. However, achieving equilibrium under constant prices, despite an increase in one factor, is not entirely consistent with general equilibrium theory. Such a situation is theoretically possible if one of the two goods, particularly the capital-intensive one, is an inferior good. However, neither cloth nor steel can be classified as inferior goods.

For general equilibrium to hold under these conditions, the price of the good that is intensive in the expanding factor must decline. This implies that the terms of trade are likely to deteriorate for the country experiencing an increase in the supply of one factor. This concept is further illustrated in Figure 2.5.





Fig. 8.13

#### Figure 2.5

In Figure 2.5, the labor-intensive good (cloth) is represented on the horizontal axis, while the capital-intensive good (steel) is depicted on the vertical axis. The initial production possibility curve (AA<sub>-1</sub>) originates from box ABCD. The international terms of trade correspond to the slope of  $P_0P_0$ , with the production equilibrium occurring at point R.

When the labor supply increases, leading to a shift of resources from steel production to cloth production, the new production possibility curve  $(A_2A_3)$  emerges, derived from box AEFD. If the prices of both commodities remain unchanged, the new terms of trade line  $(P_1P_1)$  remains parallel to  $P_0P_0$ , and the new production equilibrium is established at point S, where  $P_1P_1$  is tangent to  $A_2A_3$ .

At point S, the economy produces more cloth and less steel. This scenario is only feasible if steel is an inferior good. The increase in labor supply and the rightward shift in the PPC signal an overall rise in national income.

In normal circumstances (excluding inferior goods), demand for both commodities should increase. As a result, the new equilibrium position should be situated on the section of  $A_2A_3$  that falls between RQ and RT. Since the slope of this segment is less steep than that of  $AA_1$  at R, it suggests that the price of cloth declines relative to steel. A drop in the price of the exportable good (cloth) and a rise in the price of the importable good (steel) indicate a deterioration in the country's terms of trade following the expansion of labor supply.

Rybczynski analyzed the pattern of consumption, emphasizing that it may remain unchanged or shift in favor of one good over another, even when the relative prices of the two goods change. If the marginal propensity to consume the good that intensively uses the expanding factor is equal to or greater than the average propensity to consume, then both production and consumption will move toward that good.

Conversely, if the marginal propensity to consume is lower than the average propensity to consume, the outcome can vary. The new production and consumption pattern might still favor the good utilizing the increased factor, remain unchanged, or even shift toward the other good. The direction of this shift is determined by the relative sizes of the average and marginal propensities to consume.

This analysis highlights several important implications of the Rybczynski theorem, particularly concerning production, factor and commodity prices, terms of trade, and consumption patterns. However, its clearest implication pertains to factor price equalization. When the supply of the abundant factor grows rapidly, the factor price ratio may remain constant, thereby preventing the equalization of factor prices across trading nations.

## Criticisms of the Rybczynski Theorem:

E.J. Mishan has raised two major objections against the theorem given by Rybczynski. Firstly, if the increase in the supply of one factor (labour) is accompanied by the increased supply of the other factor (capital), the results suggested by Rybczynski are not likely to follow. Secondly, there is technical difficulty in extending Rybczynski's two-factor model to a multi-factor system.

## **2.5 FACTOR-PRICE EQUALIZATION THEOREM**

#### The theorem

In the general equilibrium framework of the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) model, we previously established that factor prices and technology jointly determine the final commodity prices. Additionally, factor prices are influenced by their relative supply within a given country, assuming identical demand conditions in both nations.

This implies that, in the absence of trade, a labor-abundant country (Nation 1) will have a lower relative price for good A compared to Nation 2, since wages in Nation 1 are relatively lower due to its greater labor supply. Conversely, in the capital-abundant country (Nation 2), the returns to capital will be lower than in Nation 1, reflecting its higher capital supply.

Now as two nations decide to trade, they specialize in the production of goods of their comparative advantage and this causes a convergence in their factor prices and hence relative prices.

Trade Theories II

As Nation 1 shifts towards specializing in good A (the labor-intensive commodity), it will require additional resources—both labor and capital. These resources will be drawn away from the capital-intensive sector, leading to a decline in its production. However, since the capital-intensive sector releases more capital than labor, the expanding labor-intensive sector faces an excess demand for labor and an excess supply of capital. This imbalance causes wages to rise (due to higher labor demand) and capital prices to fall (due to surplus capital). As a result, in a labor-abundant nation, trade leads to an increase in wages and a decline in capital returns.

In contrast, in Nation 2 (the capital-abundant country), the reverse occurs. The demand for capital rises, while the demand for labor decreases, leading to an excess demand for capital and an oversupply of labor. Consequently, capital prices rise, and wages decline in Nation 2.

In summary, international trade narrows the wage gap between the two nations—wages increase in Nation 1 (the low-wage country) and decrease in Nation 2 (the high-wage country). Similarly, the return on capital (r or interest rate) rises in Nation 2 and falls in Nation 1, reducing the pre-trade differences in capital returns.

Moreover, trade does not merely reduce factor price differences but, over time, leads to complete equalization of relative factor prices across nations. As trade expands, commodity prices and factor prices continue adjusting until they reach parity across trading nations.

Thus, the theorem states: "International trade leads to the equalization of relative (and absolute) returns to homogeneous factors across countries." This means that homogeneous labor will earn the same wages in all trading nations, and homogeneous capital will earn the same interest rate globally.

Since this theorem extends from the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) model and was further developed by Paul Samuelson, it is also known as the Heckscher-Ohlin-Samuelson (H-O-S) theorem.





The relative price of labor (w/r) is plotted on the horizontal axis and the relative price of commodity 1 (P good A/P good B) is plotted on the vertical axis.

Here we assume that since both trading nations operate using same technology, the commodity prices are determined completely by factor prices and hence we can say that there is a one-to-one relation between relative commodity prices and relative factor prices i.e. at each price P good A / P good B there is a specific (w/r) ratio associated with it which is exactly equal to that price.

Prior to the trade, Nation 1 is at point A with (w/r)1 and (P good A/P good B)=PA. Nation 2 is at point PB with (w/r)2 and (P good A/P good B)=PB. Since we are measuring in terms of labor capital ratio, Nation 1 (w/r) is lower than Nation 2 and hence PA is lower than PB.

As Nation 1 specializes in the production of good A, it causes w to rise and r to fall causing (w/r) to rise. On the other hand, simultaneously in Nation 2, the specialization in good B will cause r to rise and w to fall causing (r/w) to rise or (w/r) to fall. The movement of (w/r) in both nations is shown through arrows. This movement continues till they reach point A'=B' at which PA'=PB' and (w/r) = (w/r)\* in both nations. At this point, w/r is identical in both nations and resources will have no incentive to move from one country to another.

Hence trade causes a convergence of relative prices. However not only relative but absolute prices also gets equalized. Given that trade equalizes relative factor prices, and perfect competition exists in both commodity and factor markets, and that both nations use same technology and face constant returns to scale, trade will also lead to equalization of the absolute returns to homogeneous factors. Hence not only (w/r)1=(w/r)2 but also w1=w2 and r1=r2.

## 2.6 EMPIRICAL VALIDITY, SPECIFIC-FACTOR MODEL AS A SHORT-RUN APPROXIMATION

Theoretical frameworks such as the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) model, the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, and the Factor-Price Equalization theorem offer significant insights into the long-term effects of international trade. However, these models rely on stringent assumptions, including the perfect mobility of production factors, identical technologies across nations, and constant returns to scale—conditions that are often unrealistic in practical scenarios. As a result, the empirical applicability of these models is frequently debated. To address these limitations, alternative models, such as the specific-factor model, are employed as short-run approximations that provide a more accurate representation of real-world trade dynamics.

## **Empirical Validity of Trade Models**

Although the Heckscher-Ohlin model and its related theorems present a theoretically sound explanation of trade patterns, empirical research has often revealed inconsistencies with these predictions. One of the most well-known contradictions is the Leontief Paradox. Wassily Leontief's study found that, despite being a capital-abundant country, the United States primarily exported labor-intensive goods while importing capitalintensive goods. This outcome was unexpected based on the model's assumptions and led to significant discussions, ultimately paving the way for alternative trade theories to better align with real-world trade dynamics.

Other empirical studies have highlighted issues with the Heckscher-Ohlin model's assumptions, such as:

- Factor price equalization does not fully occur because of differences in technologies, trade barriers, and imperfect mobility of factors.
- The Stolper-Samuelson theorem gives a stronger effect of trade on income distribution than what actually happens. In reality, wages and returns to capital are also affected by things like labor market rules and government policies, which can change or reduce the impact of trade.

These discrepancies have led economists to reconsider the empirical applicability of traditional trade models, especially in the short run.

## Specific-Factor Model as a Short-Run Approximation

To overcome the limitations of long-term models like Heckscher-Ohlin, economists use the specific-factor model (also called the Ricardo-Viner model) as a short-term approach. This model assumes that some factors of production—like capital or labor—are fixed in certain industries and cannot easily shift to others in the short run.

## Features of the specific-factor model:

- 1. Immobility of some factors: In the short run, certain factors (like capital or land) are tied to specific industries, while labor is assumed to be mobile across industries. For example, machinery in a factory cannot be easily converted to machinery in a different sector.
- 2. Short-run focus: It helps explain how countries adjust to trade shocks in the short term before factors become more mobile.
- 3. Impact on Different Industries : Because some factors of production cannot move easily between industries in the short run, trade affects industries and workers unequally. Industries that benefit from trade will see gains for their specific-factor owners, while industries that face competition from imports may experience losses in the short term.

## **Comparison to the Heckscher-Ohlin Model**

The specific-factor model is a short-run version of the Heckscher-Ohlin model. While the H-O model assumes that all factors of production can move freely between industries in the long run, the specific-factor model recognizes that in the short run, some factors, like capital, remain fixed in certain industries. This leads to partial adjustments and industry-specific effects, rather than full economic adjustment.

## For example:

- In the Heckscher-Ohlin framework, both labor and capital can move between industries freely in response to trade shocks, leading to factor-price equalization.
- In the specific-factor model, labor might move between industries, but capital remains tied to specific sectors, leading to uneven gains and losses in the short run.

## Conclusion

While the Heckscher-Ohlin and related models provide powerful insights into trade patterns in the long run, their empirical validity is limited by the assumptions they make about factor mobility and technology. The specific-factor model offers a more realistic approximation for understanding trade in the short run, where factors are not perfectly mobile, and the distributional effects of trade are more pronounced. This model helps explain why trade can have unequal impacts across industries and why short-term adjustments to trade liberalization may be difficult for certain sectors or workers.

## **2.7 LEONTIEF PARADOX**

The Heckscher-Ohlin theory suggests that the prices of factors of production are determined by their relative supply—meaning that abundant factors will have lower prices, while scarce factors will be more

expensive. This assumption implies that supply plays a dominant role in setting factor prices. However, if demand for factors is stronger than supply, this relationship may not hold.

For instance, even if a country has more capital and less labor in physical terms, the price of capital could still be higher if demand for capital is greater than demand for labor. In such cases, factor prices may not always reflect factor abundance as predicted by the Heckscher-Ohlin model.

In some cases, a country's trade patterns may not align with its relative factor endowments. Instead of exporting capital-intensive goods and importing labor-intensive ones, it might do the opposite—exporting labor-intensive products while importing capital-intensive goods.

This was evident in the Leontief Paradox, where economist Wassily Leontief discovered that despite the United States being rich in capital and relatively scarce in labor, its exports were more labor-intensive, whereas its imports were more capital-intensive. This finding contradicted the Heckscher-Ohlin model, suggesting that trade patterns are influenced by additional factors such as technology, productivity, and demand conditions, rather than just resource availability.

According to Leontief, the Heckscher-Ohlin model is essentially static and applies to a point in time, given factor endowments and techniques of production. It may not hold well in the real world subject to continuous changes.

For a model to be recognized as a theory, it must undergo multiple empirical tests. The Heckscher-Ohlin model was first tested empirically by Wassily Leontief in 1951, using U.S. trade data from 1947. Leontief hypothesized that since the United States was capital-abundant, it should export capital-intensive goods and import labor-intensive goods.

To analyze this, he developed an analytical tool known as the input-output table, which mapped the flow of goods and services within the economy. Using this technique, he calculated the capital and labor content in a \$1 million representative bundle of U.S. exports and import substitutes. His findings led to the famous Leontief Paradox, which challenged the predictions of the Heckscher-Ohlin model. For his contribution to economic analysis, Leontief was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1973.

Leontief studied U.S. import substitutes instead of actual imports. Import substitutes are goods like cars that are made in the U.S. but are also imported because the country does not produce them exclusively. He used import substitutes because data on foreign production of U.S. imports was not available.

Leontief explained that even though U.S. import substitutes might be more capital-intensive than actual imports, they should still be less capital-intensive than U.S. exports if the Heckscher-Ohlin model was correct.

This method also avoided including goods like coffee and bananas, which are not produced in the U.S.

When Leontief tested his idea using data from 1947, he found that U.S. import substitutes were about 30% more capital-intensive than U.S. exports. This meant that the U.S. was exporting labor-intensive goods and importing capital-intensive goods, which was the opposite of what the Heckscher-Ohlin model predicted. This surprising result became known as the Leontief Paradox, as it challenged traditional trade theories.

Mead did not believe that Leontief's findings disproved the Heckscher-Ohlin model. Instead, he argued that it was an illusion because, in 1947, U.S. workers were about three times more productive than foreign workers. This higher productivity made the U.S. a labor-abundant country in terms of efficiency, not just numbers. As a result, it made sense that the U.S. exported labor-intensive goods compared to its imports.

However, this explanation was later rejected, even by Leontief himself. The main issue was that while U.S. labor was indeed more productive than foreign labor, U.S. capital was also more productive. Since both factors—labor and capital—would have to be adjusted by the same productivity factor, the relative abundance of capital in the U.S. would remain unchanged.

Another argument suggested that American consumers preferred capitalintensive goods, which led to higher prices for such products in the U.S. Because of this, the U.S. would export relatively more labor-intensive goods. However, a 1957 study by Houthakker examined household spending patterns in different countries and found that demand for goods like food, clothing, and housing was similar worldwide. This meant that U.S. consumer preferences were not significantly different from other nations, so this explanation for the Leontief Paradox was also rejected.

## **2.8 SUMMARY**

This section has examined important trade models, including the Heckscher-Ohlin model, Stolper-Samuelson theorem, and Rybczynski theorem. While these theories help explain trade patterns and income distribution, real-world data, such as the Leontief paradox, sometimes contradicts their predictions. The specific-factor model serves as a useful short-run alternative, offering a more detailed view of trade dynamics. Together, these models help build a broader understanding of the complexities of international trade.

## **2.9 QUESTIONS**

- 1. What are the main assumptions of the Heckscher-Ohlin model?
- 2. How does the Stolper-Samuelson theorem explain the relationship between trade and income distribution?

- 3. Explain the Rybczynski theorem and its implications for changes in factor endowments.
- 4. What is the factor-price equalization theorem, and how does it relate to international trade?
- 5. Discuss the Leontief paradox and its implications for the Heckscher-Ohlin model.
- 6. How does the specific-factor model differ from the Heckscher-Ohlin model in explaining short-run trade dynamics?

## **2.10 REFERENCES**

Feenstra, R. C., & Taylor, A. M. (2014). International trade (3rd ed.). Worth Publishers.

Heckscher, E. F., & Ohlin, B. (1991). Heckscher-Ohlin trade theory. MIT Press.

Jones, R. W. (1956). Factor proportions and the Heckscher-Ohlin theorem. The Review of Economic Studies, 24(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.2307/2296232

Leontief, W. (1953). Domestic production and foreign trade: The American capital position re-examined. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, 97(4), 332-349.

Stolper, W. F., & Samuelson, P. A. (1941). Protection and real wages. The Review of Economic Studies, 9(1), 58-73. https://doi.org/10.2307/2967638

\*\*\*\*

## Module 2

# 3

## **TRADE POLICY I**

## **Unit Structure :**

- 3.0 Objectives
- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Normative issues of welfare
- 3.3 Policy interventions in terms of Tariffs: Metzler Paradox
- 3.4 Policy interventions in terms of Taxes
- 3.5 Policy interventions in terms of Subsidies
- 3.6 Summary
- 3.7 Questions
- 3.8 References

## **3.0 OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To examine the normative issues related to welfare, such as equity and efficiency, within the framework of global trade.
- 2. To investigate the implications of the Metzler Paradox in the context of tariff policies and their effect on domestic and international welfare.
- 3. To understand the Role of Taxes in Trade
- 4. To analyze the Partial Equilibrium Effects of Tariffs
- 5. To examine General Equilibrium Effects in a Small and Large Country
- 6. To understand the objectives and impact of Subsidies in Trade

## **3.1 INTRODUCTION**

International trade significantly impacts global economies and national welfare. It enables the exchange of goods and services, fosters competition, and allows countries to specialize based on comparative advantage. However, trade benefits are not evenly distributed, raising several normative concerns about welfare.

It is essential for policymakers to understand the effects of trade policies like tariffs, taxes, and subsidies on welfare. While these measures can support domestic industries and raise government revenue, they may also

create inefficiencies and unintended outcomes. This section examines key welfare issues in international trade, including the Metzler Paradox on tariffs, the impact of taxation, and the role of subsidies. The goal is to provide insights into designing trade policies that enhance welfare while balancing economic interests.

## 3.2 NORMATIVE ISSUES OF WELFARE IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE

The normative issues of welfare in international trade can be expanded by examining the underlying ethical questions, broader societal impacts, and detailed case examples in each area:

## 1. Distribution of Gains and Losses:

(I) Intra-National Distribution: Trade benefits are often unequally distributed within countries. For example, workers in industries that face competition from imports (such as manufacturing in developed countries) may experience job losses, while industries that gain access to new export markets (such as agriculture or tech sectors) may see increased profits.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should governments redistribute gains from trade to compensate those who lose out? Is it fair for certain groups to benefit more than others?

b. **Case Example**: In the U.S., trade with China (following China's entry into the WTO) has led to cheaper consumer goods, but also caused job losses in some sectors (e.g., the "China Shock"). The normative debate centers around how to address these displacements, and whether policies such as retraining or financial compensation are adequate.

(II)International Distribution: Developed countries with more diversified economies often gain more from trade than developing countries, which may rely on primary commodities or low-tech manufacturing. Rich countries also tend to have more negotiating power in trade agreements, which can lead to asymmetric benefits.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade agreements incorporate measures to ensure a fairer distribution of benefits, especially to support developing countries in progressing up the value chain?

b. **Case Example**: Trade agreements like NAFTA have benefitted corporations, but small farmers in Mexico, for example, struggled due to competition from subsidized U.S. agriculture.

## 2. Impact on Developing Countries:

(I) Dependence on Primary Commodities: Many developing countries are highly dependent on exporting raw materials or agricultural products. These commodities often have volatile prices and low value compared to

manufactured goods, leaving these nations vulnerable to global market fluctuations.

a. **Ethical Question**: Is it just for the global trade system to continue to perpetuate this dependency, or should there be more efforts to promote diversification and industrialization in these countries?

b. **Case Example**: African nations reliant on coffee exports face unstable incomes due to fluctuating global prices. Trade reforms aimed at stabilizing commodity prices or encouraging industrialization could address this normative issue.

(II) Exploitation of Labor: Developing countries may be pressured to keep labor costs low to remain competitive, leading to poor working conditions, low wages, and weak labor rights. Multinational corporations often take advantage of these conditions by outsourcing production to countries with weaker regulations.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade agreements enforce stronger labor standards globally? Does imposing such standards harm the competitive advantage of developing countries or protect vulnerable workers?

b. **Case Example**: The garment industry in Bangladesh has been criticized for low wages and poor safety conditions (e.g., the Rana Plaza factory collapse), raising questions about corporate responsibility and whether stronger global regulations should be imposed.

## **3. Poverty and Income Inequality:**

(I) Global vs. Local Impact: While trade has lifted millions out of poverty in countries like China and India, it can also exacerbate inequalities. In developing nations, trade often benefits those who are already in a position to take advantage of new markets (e.g., large landowners or multinational companies), while marginalized groups may remain excluded.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade policies focus solely on growth, or should they also target reductions in poverty and inequality? What kinds of complementary policies (e.g., social safety nets) should be put in place to mitigate trade-induced inequalities?

b. **Case Example**: In India, trade liberalization in the 1990s spurred economic growth but also widened the gap between the rural poor and urban elites. The normative debate concerns how much priority should be given to pro-poor policies versus overall growth.

## 4. Labor Standards and Workers' Rights:

(I) Race to the Bottom: Countries may compete to attract foreign investment by lowering labor standards, resulting in a "race to the bottom" where workers' rights, wages, and working conditions are compromised.

This issue is particularly pronounced in sectors like textiles, electronics, and agriculture.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should developed countries use trade agreements to enforce minimum labor standards in their trading partners? Or is this a form of economic imperialism that limits the sovereignty of developing nations?

b. **Case Example**: Trade agreements like the U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) include labor provisions to prevent unfair competition based on labor exploitation, but the debate continues over how far such provisions should go.

## 5. Environmental Concerns:

(I)Pollution Haven Hypothesis: The "pollution haven" hypothesis proposes that companies may move their operations to countries with weaker environmental regulations, resulting in environmental harm in developing nations. Additionally, trade can contribute to higher carbon emissions by promoting the long-distance transportation of goods.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade agreements include binding environmental clauses? How can the international trade system balance economic growth with environmental sustainability?

b. **Case Example**: Trade agreements such as the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) contain environmental provisions, but critics contend that these measures are often inadequate or poorly enforced. The global shipping industry's contribution to carbon emissions is also a growing concern in the trade-environment nexus.

**(II) Exploitation of Natural Resources**: In many developing countries, foreign companies exploit natural resources like timber, minerals, and oil, often with little regard for local environmental protection or the welfare of indigenous populations.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should international trade organizations hold companies accountable for environmental damage? How should the rights of indigenous peoples be protected in the face of resource extraction for trade?

b. **Case Example**: Deforestation in the Amazon, driven by demand for agricultural exports (e.g., soy, beef), has raised global concerns about trade's impact on the environment and local communities.

## 6. Cultural and Social Impacts:

(I) Cultural Homogenization: The expansion of global trade and the influence of multinational corporations can lead to cultural homogenization, where local traditions and industries are displaced by global brands and consumer products. Some argue that trade is eroding cultural diversity and local identities.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade policies aim to protect cultural industries (e.g., through subsidies or trade barriers), or is this protectionism that stifles innovation and competition?

b. **Case Example**: The global spread of fast food chains like McDonald's or fashion brands like H&M has sparked debates about the loss of local culinary traditions and artisanal industries.

(II)Social Dislocation: Trade-induced economic changes can lead to social dislocation, such as the migration of rural populations to urban areas, where traditional social structures may break down, leading to new challenges like urban poverty and crime.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should trade policy consider the social costs of rapid economic change? How can governments support communities in transition?

b. **Case Example**: The rise of export-oriented industrial zones in countries like Vietnam has led to rapid urbanization and the breakdown of rural family structures, sparking social challenges like overcrowding and inadequate infrastructure.

## 7. Trade and Global Governance:

(I)Representation and Fairness: Developing countries often have limited resources and influence in global trade negotiations, resulting in agreements that may primarily benefit wealthier nations. This raises questions about the fairness and inclusiveness of global trade governance structures.

a. **Ethical Question**: Should global trade institutions like the World Trade Organization (WTO) be restructured to amplify the voices of developing countries? What measures can be taken to make trade rules fairer for smaller, less influential economies?

b. **Case Example**: The Doha Round of WTO negotiations, which aimed to address issues important to developing countries (such as agricultural subsidies in the Global North), stalled, raising questions about the inclusiveness of the global trading system.

These normative issues challenge policymakers to balance the benefits of trade with concerns about fairness, equity, and long-term social and environmental impacts. They also underline the importance of complementary policies that address trade's negative externalities.

## 3.3 POLICY INTERVENTIONS IN TERMS OF TARIFFS: METZLER PARADOX

The **Metzler Paradox** is a counterintuitive result in international trade theory, first identified by economist Lloyd Metzler in 1949. It suggests that under certain conditions, imposing a tariff on imports could lead to a

decline in the domestic price of the imported good rather than an increase, which goes against the standard theory of tariffs.

The **Metzler Paradox** is a complex and fascinating anomaly in international trade theory. To understand it more deeply, let's explore its mechanics, theoretical foundation, and broader implications in the context of policy interventions through tariffs.

The **Metzler Paradox** is a complex and fascinating anomaly in international trade theory. To understand it more deeply, let's explore its mechanics, theoretical foundation, and broader implications in the context of policy interventions through tariffs.

## Theoretical Foundation of Tariffs and the Metzler Paradox:

According to classical trade theory, imposing a tariff on imported goods generally raises their price in the domestic market. The effects of a tariff can be understood through the following key aspects:

- Rise in Domestic Prices: Since a tariff functions as a tax on imports, the cost of the affected goods in the domestic market is expected to increase by the tariff amount.
- **Decline in Import Volume:** As prices rise, consumer demand for the imported goods decreases, leading to a reduction in the quantity imported.
- **Improvement in Terms of Trade:** If the country imposing the tariff is sufficiently large to impact global prices, foreign exporters may lower their prices to maintain market access, potentially benefiting the importing country.

## **Detailed Explanation of the Metzler Paradox:**

The Metzler Paradox occurs when, unexpectedly, a tariff causes the domestic price of an imported good to fall instead of rise. This happens if the foreign export price drops by more than the tariff amount, leading to a net decrease in the price paid by domestic consumers.

This paradox serves as an exception to the Stolper-Samuelson theorem. Economist Lloyd Metzler from the University of Chicago first identified and demonstrated this possibility, highlighting how tariffs and export subsidies could have counterintuitive effects on domestic prices. However, similar to immiserizing growth and cases where financial transfers harm the recipient, the Metzler Paradox is theoretically possible but requires extreme conditions, making it unlikely to occur in real-world scenarios.

The conclusion given in Stopler-Samuelson's theorem that tariff would hurt the abundant factor and benefit the scarce factor was contradicted by L.A. Metzler in his classic article published in 1949. Metzler stated that the imposition of tariffs would improve the terms of trade and raise the ratio of the price of export goods intensive in the abundant factor to the price of import goods intensive in the scarce factor.

The Metzler Paradox suggests that imposing a tariff can improve income distribution in favor of the abundant factor while disadvantaging the scarce factor. Metzler argued that the price of factors used more intensively in the export sector would rise relative to those used in importcompeting industries.

According to Metzler, the shift of resources from export industries to import-competing sectors, as described in the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, would only occur if the domestic price of export goods fell relative to import substitutes. However, a tariff improves the terms of trade, leading to an increase in the ratio of export prices to import prices (PX/PM). As a result, the price of the abundant factor is likely to increase compared to the scarce factor.

This suggests that tariffs, by enhancing the terms of trade, could lead to a more favorable income distribution for the tariff-imposing country. Metzler's argument challenges the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, and this contradiction is known as the Metzler Paradox, which can be further illustrated using a graphical representation.



Figure 3.1

In Figure 3.1, cloth represents the exported commodity, while steel is the imported commodity. The offer curve of the foreign country (B) is shown as OB, which becomes less elastic and negatively sloped beyond point  $\mathbf{P}\Box$ .

Under free trade conditions, trade occurs at point P, where the offer curve of the home country (A) intersects the offer curve of the foreign country (B). At this equilibrium point, the quantity of steel imported is PQ, while the quantity of cloth exported is OQ.

The terms of trade are measured by  $(Q_M/Q_X) = (PQ/OQ) =$ Slope of Line OP = Tan  $\alpha 1$ . The slope of line OP expresses the ratio of the price of exportable commodity cloth  $(P_X)$  to the price of importable commodity steel  $(P_M)$ . When tariff is imposed by country A and its offer curve shifts to the left, the exchange takes place at P<sub>1</sub> where the terms of trade are more favourable for the home country. It is measured by P<sub>1</sub>Q<sub>1</sub>/OQ<sub>1</sub> slope of line OP<sub>1</sub>.

After the imposition of the tariff, the quantity of imports has increased. Since the slope of  $OP\square$  is steeper than OP, the price ratio of the two commodities ( $P\square/P\square$ ) is higher post-tariff. This indicates an increase in the wage rate relative to the return on capital, meaning that the tariff benefits the abundant factor (labor) while negatively impacting the scarce factor (capital).

Metzler observed that, typically, a tariff raises the price of the importable good in the domestic market. However, he identified a special case where the relative price of imports could decline in the home market, which would occur if certain conditions were met.

 $\Box = (1 - k)$ 

Where  $\eta$  = demand elasticity of country B (foreign country) for the exports of country A (tariff imposing country), (1-k) = The marginal propensity to consume of its exports in country A.

If the demand elasticity of foreign country B for the export of country A is larger than the marginal propensity to consume of the exportables [ $\eta < (1-k)$ ], the price of import of country A will rise. If this condition does not apply and

 $[\eta > (1-k)]$ , In this scenario, the domestic price of imports will decrease rather than increase due to the tariff. As a result, Metzler's conclusion will hold, contradicting the Stolper-Samuelson theorem, which assumes that tariffs always raise the price of imported goods in the domestic market.

The higher a country's marginal propensity to consume its exportable goods, the more tariff revenue will be spent on these goods, leading to excess demand for exports. As a result, the price of exportable goods will increase. Additionally, if the foreign country has low demand elasticity for these exports, its demand will decline only slightly, even if the relative price rises.

Under these conditions, the excess demand for exportable goods caused by tariffs in the domestic market will lead to a relative decrease in the price of imports. As a result, the return to the abundant factor (which is used intensively in export production) will increase, while the return to the scarce factor (which is used intensively in import production) will decline. Consequently, income distribution will shift in favor of the abundant factor and against the scarce factor after the tariff is imposed.

## **Policy Implications:**

The Metzler Paradox has significant implications for trade policy:

1. Unexpected Consumer Benefits: If the paradox occurs, domestic consumers may benefit from lower prices, even though the government's intention was to protect domestic producers by raising prices. This could complicate tariff policies aimed at boosting local industries, as the outcome might contradict the intended effect.

2. **Trade Negotiations and Retaliation**: In trade negotiations, understanding the elasticities of demand and supply can be critical. A country that is considering imposing a tariff should carefully assess how foreign suppliers might react. If the Metzler Paradox occurs, foreign countries might retaliate with further price cuts or seek other markets, undermining the tariff's effectiveness.

3. **Optimal Tariff Theory**: In trade theory, there is a concept of an "optimal tariff" for large countries, where the tariff improves the terms of trade without excessively harming domestic welfare. The Metzler Paradox complicates this by introducing the possibility that the tariff could lead to unintended price effects.

4. **Complementary Policies**: If a government is concerned about domestic producers, simply imposing tariffs may not be enough. Complementary policies such as subsidies, tax relief, or investments in innovation and productivity may be required to help domestic industries if the tariff fails to raise prices due to the Metzler Paradox.

## **Conclusion:**

The Metzler Paradox is a rare situation in international trade where a tariff (a tax on imported goods) causes the price of imports to fall instead of rise. Normally, tariffs make imported goods more expensive to protect domestic industries, but in some cases, when foreign suppliers can easily adjust their prices and domestic demand is flexible, the tariff does not lead to higher prices. Instead, foreign suppliers may lower their prices enough to counter the tariff. While this paradox is uncommon, it shows that trade policies are complex and that supply and demand should be carefully considered when deciding to impose tariffs.

## **3.4 POLICY INTERVENTIONS IN TERMS OF TAXES**

Despite the strong arguments for free international trade, every country imposes at least some form of trade barriers. These restrictions are usually intended to protect domestic businesses and workers from competition with foreign companies. A protectionist policy involves a country limiting the importation of goods and services from abroad. This reduces the supply of foreign goods and services within the country that enforces the restrictions. Protectionism can take the form of an import tax (tariffs), restrictions on the quantity of imports, or even voluntary export limitations from foreign countries. Governments use these policies to shield local producers from foreign competition.

There are several kinds of trade barriers:

- 1. Tariffs are excise taxes on imports and may be used for revenue purposes, or more commonly today as protective tariffs.
- 2. Import quotas specify the maximum amounts of imports allowed in a certain period of time. Low import quotas may be a more effective protective device than tariffs, which do not limit the amount of goods entering a country.
- 3. Non-tariff barriers refer to licensing requirements, unreasonable standards, or bureaucratic red tape in customs procedures.
- 4. Voluntary export restrictions are agreements by foreign firms to voluntarily limit their exports to a particular country.

## Tariffs

A tariff is a tax or fee imposed on goods as they cross a country's border. An import tariff applies to goods brought into the country, whereas an export tariff applies to goods being sent out. Import tariffs tend to have more significance than export tariffs. These tariffs increase the cost of selling imported products within the domestic market.

Developing countries often depend on export tariffs as a source of revenue due to their simplicity in collection. In contrast, industrialized nations tend to implement tariffs or other trade restrictions to protect specific industries, particularly those that are labor-intensive, while relying more on income taxes for revenue generation.

Tariffs can take three main forms: ad valorem, specific, and compound. An ad valorem tariff is calculated as a percentage of the value of the traded goods. A specific tariff is a fixed amount charged per unit of the traded goods. A compound tariff combines both ad valorem and specific tariffs.

Since the end of World War II, global tariff rates have significantly decreased, with industrial nations now imposing an average tariff of about 3 percent on industrial products. However, tariffs in developing countries remain higher, particularly for agricultural commodities, which still face considerable trade barriers.

## The economic impacts of tariffs are as follows:

- 1. When a tariff is imposed, domestic consumption declines due to higher prices.
- 2. Domestic production will rise because of the higher price.
- 3. Imports will fall.

- 4. Government tariff revenue represents a shift of income from consumers to the government.
- 5. Indirect effects also may occur in that relatively inefficient industries are expanding and relatively efficient industries abroad have been made to contract.

First, we will examine the impact of a tariff on production, consumption, trade, and welfare in both the country imposing the tariff and its trading partner(s). Initially, we will use partial equilibrium analysis, which involves demand and supply curves. Then, we will move on to the more advanced general equilibrium analysis, which incorporates production possibility frontiers, community indifference curves, or offer curves.

## 1. Partial Equilibrium Analysis of a Tariff

When a small country imposes a tariff on imports of a product that competes with a domestic industry, the tariff does not affect international prices or the broader economy because the country and industry are too small to influence global markets. In such cases, partial equilibrium analysis, focusing on the market for a specific product, is the most suitable approach.

In Figure 3.2, let DX represent the demand curve and SX the supply curve of commodity X in Nation 2. Nation 2 is assumed to be small, as is Industry X. Without trade, the equilibrium is at point E, where the domestic demand and supply curves intersect, with a quantity of 30X demanded and supplied at PX =\$3.

Under free trade, with the world price of PX = \$1, Nation 2 will consume 70X (represented by AB), with 10X (AC) produced domestically and the remaining 60X (CB) imported. The dashed line SF indicates the infinitely elastic foreign supply curve at the world price.

If Nation 2 imposes a 100% ad valorem tariff, the domestic price of PX rises to \$2. At this price, the country will consume 50X (GH), of which 20X (GJ) is produced domestically and the remaining 30X (JH) is imported. The dashed line SF + T represents the new tariff-inclusive foreign supply curve.



## Figure 3.2 Partial Equilibrium Effects of Tariffs

The effects of the tariff in Nation 2 can be broken down as follows:

- **Consumption Effect**: The reduction in domestic consumption due to the tariff is 20X (BN).
- **Production Effect**: The increase in domestic production resulting from the tariff is 10X (CM).
- **Trade Effect**: The decline in imports due to the tariff is 30X (BN + CM).
  - **Revenue Effect**: The government collects revenue from the tariff, which equals \$30 (\$1 for each of the 30X imported, represented by MJHN).

The impact of the tariff depends on the elasticity of demand (DX) and supply (SX). When the demand curve (DX) is more elastic (flatter), the consumption effect is larger, meaning consumers reduce their consumption more. Similarly, the more elastic the supply curve (SX), the larger the production effect, meaning domestic producers increase their output more. Therefore, when both DX and SX are more elastic, the trade effect (the reduction in imports) becomes larger, while the revenue effect (the tariff revenue) becomes smaller.

#### 2. Effect of a Tariff on Consumer and Producer Surplus

The imposition of a 100 percent tariff on commodity X by Nation 2 results in an increase in its price from PX = \$1 to PX = \$2. This price rise causes a decrease in consumer surplus and an increase in producer surplus. As shown in the left panel of Figure 4.2, the loss of consumer surplus due to the tariff is represented by the shaded area AGHB, which equals \$60. Here's why: before the tariff, consumers in Nation 2 purchase 70X at PX = \$1. The price paid for each unit is determined by the price consumers are willing to pay for the 70th unit, indicated by point B on the demand curve (DX). However, consumers are willing to pay more for the earlier units of commodity X because they derive greater satisfaction from them. The height of the demand curve reflects the maximum price consumers are ready to pay for each unit, rather than forgoing the purchase. The difference between the maximum price consumers are willing to pay (shown by the height of DX) and the actual price they pay (the price of the last unit purchased) is known as consumer surplus. In essence, consumer surplus is the gap between the price consumers are willing to pay and the price they actually pay.



Figure.3.3 Partial Equilibrium Effects of Tariffs

Graphically, consumer surplus is the area under the demand curve that is above the price paid. For instance, in the left panel of Figure 3.3, consumers in Nation 2 would be willing to pay \$3 (LE) for the 30th unit of commodity X. Since the price they actually pay is \$1, they gain a consumer surplus of \$2 (KE) on the 30th unit. Similarly, for the 50th unit of commodity X, consumers would be willing to pay \$2 (ZH), but they only pay \$1 (ZN), so they receive a consumer surplus of \$1 (NH) on the 50th unit. For the 70th unit of commodity X, consumers would be willing to pay \$1 (WB), which is equal to the price they actually pay, meaning that the consumer surplus for the 70th unit is zero. In the absence of the import tariff, with 70 units being purchased at \$1 per unit, the total consumer surplus in Nation 2 is \$122.50 (ARB). This represents the difference between what consumers would have been willing to pay for the 70 units (ORBW = \$192.50) and what they actually pay for them (OABW = \$70).

When Nation 2 imposes a 100 percent import tariff, the price of commodity X rises from \$1 to \$2, and the quantity purchased decreases from 70X to 50X. With the tariff, consumers now pay a total of \$100 for 50 units of commodity X. As a result, consumer surplus decreases from \$122.50 (ARB) to \$62.50 (GRH), showing a loss of \$60 (the shaded area AGHB in the left panel of the figure). This reduction in consumer surplus occurs because consumers are now paying a higher price for fewer units.

#### Trade Policy I

On the other hand, the tariff leads to an increase in producer surplus, represented by the shaded area AGJC =\$15 in the right panel of the figure. This gain occurs because domestic producers can now sell more of their product at the higher price resulting from the tariff.

Under free trade, when PX = \$1, domestic producers manufacture 10X and generate revenue of OACV = \$10. However, when a tariff is imposed and PX increases to \$2, producers raise their output to 20X and earn revenue of OGJU = \$40. The total revenue increase of \$30 (AGJC + VCJU) is composed of two parts: VCJU = \$15, which accounts for the increased production costs, and AGJC = \$15, which reflects the increase in producer surplus or rent.

The \$15 increase in producer surplus (represented by the shaded area AGJC) is a payment that domestic producers do not need to receive in the long term to maintain their increased output of 10X under the tariff. This gain in producer surplus due to the tariff is often termed the "subsidy effect," as it reflects the additional surplus earned by producers without any extra long-term cost.

#### 3. Costs and Benefits of a Tariff

To evaluate the costs and benefits of a tariff, we can use the concepts of consumer and producer surplus. Figure 3.4 illustrates that when Nation 2 imposes a 100 percent import tariff, the price of commodity X rises from PX = \$1 to PX = \$2. As a result, consumption decreases from 70X (AB) to 50X (GH), production increases from 10X (AC) to 20X (GJ), and imports fall from 60X (CB) to 30X (JH). Additionally, the government of Nation 2 collects \$30 in import duties (MJHN).

In terms of economic welfare, consumer surplus decreases by \$60 (AGHB), while producer surplus increases by \$15 (AGJC).



Figure 3.4 Partial Equilibrium Costs and Benefits of Tariffs

Figure 3.4 demonstrates that the total reduction in consumer surplus of \$60 (AGHB) can be broken down into four components: a + b + c + d. Of this, \$30 (MJHN = c) is collected by the government as tariff revenue. The \$15 (AGJC = a) is transferred to domestic producers of commodity X in the form of increased producer surplus or rent. The remaining \$15, which is the sum of triangles CJM (b = \$5) and BHN (d = \$10), represents the protection cost or deadweight loss to the economy. The production component of this deadweight loss (CJM = b = \$5) occurs because, due to the tariff, some domestic resources are shifted from the more efficient production of exportable commodity Y to the less efficient production of importable commodity X in Nation 2.

The consumption component of the protection cost, or deadweight loss, represented by BHN (d = \$10), occurs because the tariff artificially increases the price of commodity X (PX) relative to commodity Y (PY), which distorts the consumption patterns in Nation 2. As a result, the tariff shifts income from domestic consumers, who now pay higher prices for the good, to domestic producers, who benefit from the increased price. Additionally, it redistributes income from the nation's abundant factor (which produces exportables) to its scarce factor (which produces importables). These shifts create inefficiencies, known as the protection cost or deadweight loss of the tariff. To assess the cost per domestic job saved by the tariff, we can divide the loss in consumer surplus by the number of jobs protected in the industry, or by the equivalent rate of protection.

## 4. General Equilibrium Analysis of a Tariff in a Small Country

When a very small country imposes a tariff, it does not affect world market prices. However, the domestic price of the importable commodity will increase by the full amount of the tariff for producers and consumers within the country. While the domestic price of the importable rises by the full tariff amount, the overall price in the global market remains unchanged because the country is too small to influence global prices.

For example, if the international price of importable commodity X is \$1 per unit, and the country imposes a 100 percent ad valorem tariff on the import of commodity X, domestic producers will only be able to compete with imports if they can produce and sell commodity X at a price no higher than \$2. Consumers within the country will have to pay \$2 per unit of commodity X, regardless of whether the commodity is imported or domestically produced.

In this case, we assume that imported and domestically produced commodities are identical. However, because the country collects the \$1 tariff on each unit of imported commodity X, the price of the commodity remains \$1 from the perspective of the country as a whole. The government of the small nation can then use the tariff revenue to fund public services (such as schools and police) or provide general income tax relief, effectively reducing the need for internal tax collection.

## 5. General Equilibrium Effects of a Tariff in a Large Country

To examine the general equilibrium effects of a tariff in a large nation, it's more useful to analyze offer curves. When a large country imposes a tariff, its offer curve shifts or rotates toward the axis representing its importable commodity by the amount of the tariff. This happens because, for any given amount of the export commodity, the country now demands more of the import commodity in order to compensate for the tariff.

The fact that the country is large means that its trade partner's offer curve will not be a straight line but will show some curvature, reflecting the country's influence on world prices.

As a result, when a large nation imposes a tariff, the volume of trade decreases, but its terms of trade improve. The reduction in trade volume generally harms the nation's welfare, while the improvement in terms of trade benefits it. Whether the nation's welfare increases or decreases depends on the net effect of these opposing factors. This contrasts with the case of a small country imposing a tariff, where the volume of trade declines without any change in terms of trade, leading to a decline in the small country's welfare.

## 6. The Optimum Tariff

The optimum tariff is the level of tariff that maximizes a nation's net benefit by balancing the improvement in its terms of trade with the negative effects caused by a reduction in trade volume. Starting from a free trade situation, as a nation increases its tariff rate, its welfare improves up to a certain point (the optimum tariff), but after reaching that point, further increases in the tariff cause its welfare to decline. If the tariff is set too high, the nation moves closer to an autarky situation with a prohibitive tariff.

However, as the nation imposing the tariff improves its terms of trade, the terms of trade for its trade partner worsen, since they are inversely related. As a result, the partner nation experiences a reduction in both trade volume and terms of trade, leading to a decline in its welfare.

In response, the trade partner is likely to retaliate by imposing its own optimum tariff. This retaliation helps the trade partner recover some of its losses through improved terms of trade, but it further reduces the overall trade volume. The original nation may then retaliate in turn. If this cycle continues, both countries, and possibly other nations involved, end up losing the benefits of trade.

Even in the absence of retaliation, the gains for the country imposing the optimum tariff are outweighed by the losses suffered by the trade partner, making the world worse off than it would be under free trade. In this way, free trade is considered the most beneficial system for global welfare.

## **3.5 POLICY INTERVENTIONS IN TERMS OF SUBSIDIES**

Subsidies in international trade are a common policy tool used by governments to support domestic industries and enhance their competitiveness in global markets. These interventions can take various forms, including direct financial assistance, tax breaks, and reduced input costs. However, subsidies in international trade are often controversial due to their impact on market competition and trade relations.

A subsidy is a form of financial support given by a government to a producer or seller of a good or service. Its purpose is to enhance the competitiveness of a specific industry, firm, or even an entire sector, by lowering production costs or encouraging the supply of certain goods or services. For example, agricultural products are frequently subsidized by national governments in an effort to increase domestically grown and

**Subsidies in international trade:** A subsidy is any financial aid provided by a government to a producer or seller of a good or service that is designed to increase the competitiveness of a particular industry firm or an entire industry. For example, agricultural products are frequently subsidized by national governments in an effort to increase domestically grown and raised food stock (among other reasons).

## What does the government subsidise?

Various governments subsidize different industries, depending on the national priorities and politics at play. Over time, industries as diverse as tobacco, steel, alcohol, agriculture, weapons, and textiles have all been subsidized. There is no inherent limit to the industries that any particular government will subsidize, although nations with different political leanings will tolerate different levels of subsidization.

## What are illegal subsidies?

Illegal subsidies are any subsidy that falls afoul of an international or domestic adjudicating body. A huge variety of subsidies could be considered "illegal" in various contexts. For example, a normally acceptable subsidy could be ruled illegal if it results in a firm "dumping" its products into a neighboring country contrary to anti-dumping legislation. Or, a policy that favors the use of domestic goods in the manufacture of automobiles could offend the WTO's rule against subsidies that distort international trade.

## What are the different types of subsidies?

There are countless forms of subsidies, including, but not limited to:

- 1. Export Subsidies
- 2. Production Subsidies
- 3. Agricultural Subsidies
- 4. Energy Subsidies

- 5. Tax Incentives and Subsidies
- 6. Consumer subsidies
- 7. Import subsidies
- 8. Transport subsidies

Their common feature is that they all seek to selectively provide a financial benefit to a producer, consumer, or user of a particular good or service. Here's a more in-depth look into the various forms of subsidies, their justifications, and their effects on international trade:

## 1. Export Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Export subsidies are direct financial aid or other forms of support provided by the government to domestic firms to make their products more competitive in foreign markets. This is typically done by lowering the price of exports or reducing the cost of production for goods that are sold internationally.

## **Objectives:**

• **Boost Exports:** The primary objective is to make domestic products cheaper and more attractive in global markets, thereby increasing export volumes.

• **Correct Trade Imbalances:** Some governments use export subsidies to improve their trade balance by promoting a higher export-to-import ratio.

• **Support Strategic Industries:** Governments may target subsidies to specific industries deemed essential for national economic growth (e.g., technology, defense, or agriculture).

## Impact:

• **Domestic Producers:** Export subsidies lower the cost for domestic producers, allowing them to sell products at competitive prices abroad, often undercutting foreign competitors.

• Global Market Distortion: These subsidies can distort international trade by giving unfair advantages to subsidized products, potentially driving non-subsidized competitors out of the market.

• WTO Regulation: Export subsidies are typically prohibited under the WTO's Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM) because they distort global competition. Countries can file complaints to the WTO, leading to countervailing duties and sanctions on the subsidizing country.

• **Example:** The European Union's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) has historically provided export subsidies to European farmers to promote

agricultural exports, sparking tensions with developing countries whose agricultural products could not compete.

## 2. Production Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Production subsidies are financial benefits provided by the government to encourage domestic production of goods. These subsidies lower production costs for local manufacturers, either by directly lowering input prices or providing other forms of financial assistance.

## **Objectives:**

• Enhance Domestic Output: Governments use these subsidies to boost the production capacity of key industries, reducing reliance on imports and increasing self-sufficiency.

• Encourage Employment: Production subsidies often aim to protect and create jobs in industries facing competition from cheaper foreign goods.

• **Promote Strategic Sectors:** Production subsidies are frequently given to industries considered critical to national interests, such as energy, technology, and defense.

## Impact:

• **Domestic Benefits:** Lower production costs can help firms remain competitive, sustain employment, and increase domestic economic activity.

• International Trade Impact: Like export subsidies, production subsidies can lead to trade imbalances and can be seen as trade-distorting by other countries, which might respond by imposing countervailing tariffs.

• WTO and SCM Agreement: Under the WTO, production subsidies are allowed to an extent, provided they do not significantly distort international trade. However, when these subsidies significantly harm foreign competitors, they may face legal challenges.

• **Example:** China's extensive subsidies to its steel and aluminum sectors have been criticized by the U.S. and the EU, leading to trade disputes and the imposition of countervailing duties.

## **3. Agricultural Subsidies**

## **Definition:**

Agricultural subsidies are financial aids provided to farmers to help stabilize the prices and supply of agricultural products. These subsidies

can come in various forms, such as price support, direct payments, or assistance with purchasing inputs like seeds, fertilizers, and irrigation.

## **Objectives:**

• Ensure Food Security: By supporting domestic agriculture, governments aim to maintain stable food supplies and prevent over-reliance on food imports.

• **Stabilize Prices:** Agricultural subsidies can help stabilize food prices, protecting farmers from the volatility of global markets and natural disasters.

• **Support Rural Economies:** These subsidies are often aimed at preserving rural communities, and ensuring livelihoods in regions heavily reliant on agriculture.

## Impact:

• **Domestic Agriculture**: Agricultural subsidies can help safeguard domestic farmers against global competition, sustain rural economies, and provide food security.

• Global Market Distortions: These subsidies frequently result in overproduction in wealthier nations, which lowers global agricultural prices and makes it more challenging for farmers in developing countries to compete.

• WTO and Agricultural Trade: The WTO has faced challenges in regulating agricultural subsidies, as many countries, particularly developing ones, advocate for cuts in the subsidies given by developed nations like the U.S. and EU.

• **Example:** The U.S. farm bill provides extensive subsidies for crops like corn, wheat, and soybeans. These subsidies have been controversial for distorting world prices and undermining the competitiveness of farmers in developing countries.

## 4. Energy Subsidies

#### **Definition:**

Energy subsidies are financial support measures aimed at reducing the cost of energy production or consumption. They can be granted to fossil fuels (e.g., coal, oil, gas) or renewable energy sources (e.g., solar, wind).

#### **Objectives:**

• **Promote Energy Security:** Subsidies can be used to ensure a stable energy supply by encouraging domestic energy production, especially for strategic resources.

Trade Policy I

• Encourage Green Energy: Governments provide subsidies to speed up the shift to renewable energy and decrease reliance on polluting fossil fuels.

• **Support Energy-Intensive Industries:** Energy subsidies are also used to protect industries that rely heavily on energy, such as manufacturing and heavy industries.

## Impact:

• Environmental Effects: While renewable energy subsidies can help address climate change, fossil fuel subsidies encourage carbon-intensive industries, worsening environmental degradation and delaying the transition to cleaner energy sources.

• **Market Distortions:** Subsidizing energy can lead to inefficiencies in energy use and distort international markets, particularly when fossil fuels are involved.

• International Responses: Fossil fuel subsidies are often criticized in international forums like the G20 and the WTO, as they run counter to global climate change goals.

• **Example:** India provides significant subsidies to fossil fuels to maintain affordable energy for its large population, but it also subsidizes solar energy to transition to greener energy.

## 5. Tax Incentives and Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Tax incentives are a form of subsidy where governments offer reduced tax rates, tax exemptions, or other tax benefits to specific industries or sectors to encourage investment, production, or exports.

## **Objectives:**

• Attract Foreign Investment: Tax subsidies are often used to create favorable conditions for foreign companies to invest in the domestic economy.

• **Boost Domestic Industries**: These incentives can stimulate growth in strategic sectors, helping them to scale operations and compete globally.

• Encourage R&D: Governments may offer tax incentives or deductions to businesses that invest in research and development (R&D) to encourage innovation and enhance their competitiveness.

## Impact:

• **Increased Investment:** Tax incentives can make certain industries more attractive for both domestic and international investors, spurring growth and job creation.

• International Competition: Other countries may perceive these incentives as unfair, leading to potential trade disputes or retaliatory tariffs.

• **Compliance with WTO Rules:** Although tax incentives are not strictly prohibited under WTO rules when they provide unfair advantages to domestic companies, they may result in trade disputes.

• **Example:** Ireland's favorable corporate tax rate has attracted multinational companies, but it has been accused of creating unfair competition within the European Union.

## 6. Consumer Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Consumer subsidies are government financial aids provided to reduce the cost of goods or services for consumers, making essential products more affordable.

## **Objectives:**

• **Improve Affordability**: Ensure access to basic goods (e.g., food, energy) by reducing their prices.

• **Poverty Reduction**: Help low-income households afford essential goods and services.

• **Stabilize Consumption**: Maintain steady consumption levels, especially in times of economic downturn or rising prices.

## Impact:

• **Distortion of Market Prices**: Consumer subsidies can lower prices artificially, affecting supply and demand.

• **Increased Demand for Imports**: Subsidized goods may increase demand for imported products, affecting trade balances.

• **Trade Tensions**: Other countries may argue that consumer subsidies provide unfair advantages to domestic consumers, leading to trade disputes.

## Example:

Egypt's bread subsidy program helps low-income households afford bread by subsidizing wheat prices, which in turn increases Egypt's wheat imports, affecting global wheat markets.

## 7. Import Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Import subsidies are government financial supports that reduce the cost of imported goods for domestic consumers or businesses, making imports more affordable.

## **Objectives:**

• **Encourage Imports**: Promote the import of specific goods, especially when domestic production is insufficient.

• Enhance Consumer Choice: Increase the availability of goods, often improving quality and lowering domestic prices.

• **Promote Economic Growth**: Stimulate industries that rely on imported raw materials or intermediate goods.

## Impact:

• **Trade Imbalances**: Import subsidies can result in a higher import bill for the country, which may contribute to a larger trade deficit.

• Harm to Domestic Producers: Subsidizing imports can harm local industries by reducing their competitiveness against cheaper foreign products.

• **Retaliation by Other Countries**: Countries may impose tariffs or file disputes if they feel unfairly disadvantaged by import subsidies.

## **Example:**

Some developing countries subsidize the import of agricultural machinery to modernize farming, making foreign equipment cheaper but potentially harming local manufacturers.

## 8. Transport Subsidies

## **Definition:**

Transport subsidies are government financial aids aimed at reducing the cost of transportation for consumers or businesses, either for moving goods or people.

## **Objectives:**

• Lower Distribution Costs: Make the transport of goods cheaper, thereby reducing the overall cost of products.

• **Encourage Trade**: Facilitate the movement of goods within a country or internationally, promoting export activities.

• **Support Remote Areas**: Ensure affordable access to markets for producers and consumers in geographically remote regions.

## Impact:

• **Increased Trade Volumes**: By reducing transport costs, these subsidies can boost trade by making exported and imported goods more affordable.

• Market Competitiveness: Domestic goods may become more competitive internationally if transport subsidies lower their export costs.

• Environmental Concerns: Excessive transport subsidies can encourage the overuse of fossil fuels, contributing to environmental degradation.

## Example:

China's subsidies for export logistics reduce transportation costs for exporters, helping Chinese products remain competitive globally by lowering shipping expenses.

## Conclusion

Subsidies are a powerful tool in shaping international trade dynamics, but they come with significant trade-offs. While they can support domestic industries, protect jobs, and promote strategic sectors, they can also lead to trade imbalances, market distortions, and international disputes. As international trade is governed by organizations like the WTO, ensuring compliance with rules on subsidies is critical to maintaining fair competition in the global market. Governments must carefully balance the benefits of subsidies against potential retaliation and long-term market inefficiencies.

## **3.6 SUMMARY**

This chapter explored the connection between international trade and welfare, emphasizing important normative concerns such as equity and efficiency. We discussed the Metzler Paradox, which shows how tariffs can have unintended negative effects on both domestic and international welfare.

We analyzed the role of taxes in trade through partial and general equilibrium effects, highlighting how tariffs impact consumer and producer surplus in both small and large countries. Finally, we explored subsidies, their objectives, and their effects on market dynamics and competitiveness.

Overall, this chapter underscores the importance of careful analysis in trade policy to ensure that interventions enhance welfare while balancing equity and efficiency.
#### **3.7 QUESTIONS**

- 1. Write about the normative issues of welfare in international trade.
- 2. Explain the general equilibrium effects of a tariff on both a small and a large country?
- 3. Examine the Metzler Paradox.
- 4. What are the different types of subsidies?

#### **3.8 REFERENCES**

- 1. Krugman, P. R., & Obstfeld, M. (2018). *International Economics: Theory and Policy* (10th ed.). Pearson.
- 2. Caves, R. E., Frankel, J. A., & Jones, R. W. (2007). World Trade and *Payments: An Introduction* (10th ed.). Pearson.
- 3. Salvatore, D. (2018). International Economics (11th ed.). Wiley.
- 4. Feenstra, R. C., & Taylor, A. M. (2017). *International Trade* (3rd ed.). Worth Publishers.
- 5. Helpman, E., & Krugman, P. R. (1985). *Market Structure and Foreign Trade: Increasing Returns, Imperfect Competition, and the International Economy*. MIT Press.
- 6. Bhagwati, J., & Srinivasan, T. N. (2002). *Trade and Poverty in the Developing World*. Oxford University Press.

\*\*\*\*

### **TRADE POLICY II**

#### Unit Structure :

- 4.0 Objectives
- 4.1 Different Views on 'Trade as an Engine of Growth'
  - 4.1.1 Haberler's Views
  - 4.1.2 Prebisch-Singer Thesis
  - 4.1.3 Immiserising Growth
  - 4.1.4 Beneficial Growth
  - 4.1.5 Export-led Growth
- 4.2 Multilateral trade agreements and Political Economy
- 4.3 World Trade Organization
- 4.4 Summary
- 4.5 Questions
- 4.6 References

#### 4.0**OBJECTIVES**

- To understand the role of trade in enhancing economic development and increasing market access.
- To analyze how competition promotes innovation and technology and facilitates economies' integration into the global market.
- To examine the impact of trade on improving living standards, generating employment, and boosting incomes.
- To identify the importance of establishing a common framework for international trade to reduce barriers and tariffs.
- To evaluate the role of economic cooperation and stability in fostering positive relationships between trading nations, balancing the interests of developed and developing countries.
- To understand how political and economic challenges influence trade agreements and the need for a rules-based system to manage international trade.
- To recognize the significance of promoting free and fair trade while preventing protectionist policies.

- To explore mechanisms to resolve trade disputes among member nations in a structured manner.
- To assess the support provided to developing countries to help them integrate into the global trading system.
- To understand the importance of monitoring trade policies to ensure compliance with international trade agreements.

#### 4.1 DIFFERENT VIEWS ON 'TRADE AS AN ENGINE OF GROWTH'

#### Introduction

International trade plays a crucial role in economic development, with many rapidly growing economies having a vibrant trade sector. Over the past decade, trade involving developing countries has expanded at a significant pace, contributing greatly to global economic growth. However, there are valid concerns regarding the direct relationship between trade and economic growth in these developing nations. Generally, the advantages of trade are derived from the static benefits of specialization and international labor division, which shift the production possibility curve outward. However, critics argue that growth is realized through various channels, and the lack of these channels could hinder the benefits of international trade from reaching developing countries. As a result, even with free trade, these nations may experience slower growth.

Supporters of international trade argue that trade influences a country's growth rate by enhancing access to critical resources such as capital, technology, and knowledge, which are essential for development. They assert that international trade is the key to facilitating the cross-border transfer of technology. In this context, proponents believe that trade is particularly advantageous for poorer nations, as they typically have a low base in research and development (R&D). Additionally, engaging in international trade allows a country to expand its market beyond national borders, potentially leading to better prices for its exports (Myint, 1958). Developing countries have long sought strategies that foster sustained high economic growth, create jobs, and alleviate poverty. Trade policies are being used as tools by these nations to achieve their development goals. The rapid economic growth experienced by several developing countries, especially in the Asia-Pacific region, through trade expansion serves as evidence of the benefits derived from greater participation in international trade (Ratnavake et al., 2013). Until recently, there was a general consensus that trade brings benefits to every country.

Recent empirical research has revealed that less developed countries have not reaped the same benefits from trade as their more developed counterparts. While trade has the potential to be a powerful driver of economic growth, poverty reduction, and development, it is increasingly recognized that fully harnessing its potential is challenging for developing countries, especially the least developed ones. This difficulty is largely

due to supply-side domestic constraints. These constraints vary across countries, leading to different impacts of trade on economic growth and, consequently, divergent perspectives on the relationship between trade and growth.

#### Different Views on 'Trade as an Engine of Growth'

The relationship between free trade and economic growth has been a subject of debate among economists like Nurkse, Haberler, Myrdal, and Johnson, who hold differing views on the impact of trade on economic development. Classical and neo-classical economists generally argue that trade has a positive effect on the economic growth of trading countries. provided it is free from any restrictions. However, Nurkse pointed out that trade does not act uniformly as an engine of growth across all countries. He argued that it tends to have a more significant positive impact on wealthier, central economies than on the poorer, peripheral ones. Similarly, Myrdal suggested that international trade could worsen global economic disparities. Both Nurkse and Myrdal agreed that the unequal distribution of growth benefits is primarily driven by the adverse terms of trade for underdeveloped economies. These economies face substantial import needs to fuel their growth but can only export primary goods, which tend to have inelastic demand in international markets. As a result, they often experience a persistent balance of payments deficit. In contrast, Haberler supported the idea that international trade positively contributes to economic growth.

#### 4.1.1. Haberler's Views:

Haberler (1971) advocates that the free trade is the best policy to register economic growth and apart from direct static gains there are other valuable indirect gains as well which push the economy on faster growth path. These are:

- 1. Trade provides the capital goods required by the underdeveloped countries for economic development;
- 2. It disseminates technical knowhow;
- 3. It facilitates international movement of capital; and
- 4. It is the best guarantee against the emergence of monopolistic conditions.

**4.1.2. Prebisch-Singer Thesis:** In an attempt to shed the euphoria around the international trade as being an engine of growth, Prebisch and Singer tried to show that the developing economies are not in position to gain from the trade due to secular trends of deteriorating terms of trade for these economies (Prebisch, 1950 and Singer, 1950). The net gains from trade, which can help developing economies increase their national income, depend not only on the volume of exports and imports but also on the prices of the exported and imported goods. Empirical evidence, particularly from the United Kingdom between 1870 and 1940, shows that

the terms of trade tend to move unfavorably for primary products while favoring manufactured and capital goods. Raul Prebisch highlighted this trend, demonstrating that the terms of trade for primary goods generally deteriorated over time. Since developing countries are largely dependent on primary activities, their trade composition typically involves exporting primary goods and importing manufactured and capital goods, which exacerbates the negative effects on their terms of trade.

As a result, developing countries struggle to convert the benefits of trade into actual economic growth. Primary goods typically have low income and price elasticity, while manufactured goods exhibit higher price elasticity. Consequently, as the prices of manufactured goods rise in the international market, the import expenditures of developing economies increase, requiring them to export more to cover these costs. The decline in relative prices of exports from developing countries, compared to imports from developed countries, forces these nations to shift their limited resources from more desirable domestic sectors to the export sector. This shift may not be the most optimal choice for fostering longterm growth or enhancing overall welfare.

However, Haberler raised some criticisms of this argument. He argued that the terms-of-trade deterioration theory relies on two key assumptions: first, that industrialized nations artificially maintain high prices through monopolistic practices; and second, that due to Engel's Law, as incomes rise in advanced economies, a smaller proportion is spent on food, which makes up a significant part of the exports from underdeveloped countries. According to Haberler, while a deterioration in terms of trade can be harmful to developing countries, what really matters is the depreciation of the single factoral terms of trade, not the commodity terms of trade. In other words, a drop in export prices due to inelastic demand or reduced foreign demand is problematic, but if it results from reduced production costs due to technological progress or increased efficiency, it is less concerning. Despite recent observations that the income elasticity of demand for food has been relatively high and stable, it remains true that as consumption patterns in the developed world shift from basic foodstuffs like cereals and grains to more processed foods, meats, eggs, and dairy products, developing countries, which do not export these products in significant quantities, may still miss out on the full benefits of trade.

The advocates of the Prebisch-Singer thesis say that due to secular deterioration in terms of trade, the developing economies have low capacity to import due to which they suffer from secular deficit in balance of payments leading them to debt trap and exploitative dependent relations with the developed central economies. This hampers their natural process of growth. As the prices of the primary goods remain lower relative to the prices of the manufactured goods, the capacity to import goods falls for the developing economies but to meet their requirements they have to continuously bear the deficit in their balance of payments and if these deficit stays there for a long period, they have to borrow which further adds to their woes as in subsequent time periods when these countries will

Trade Policy II

be repaying their debt, a high proportion of their export proceeds is not available for imports. This further increases their debt burden. This declining capacity to import, going deep in to the debt trap and deteriorating terms of trade have serious negative effects on economic growth of the developing economies.

#### 4.1.3. Immiserising Growth:

The concept of immiserising growth was introduced by Jagdish Bhagwati in 1958. He defined immiserising growth as a situation where economic growth, driven by technological progress and factor accumulation, leads to a significant worsening of the terms of trade. This deterioration in the terms of trade results in a loss of real income that exceeds the initial gains from trade, ultimately causing a net loss to the economy. The phenomenon of immiserising growth is illustrated in figure 4.1.



figure 4.1.

In Figure 4.1, we consider a scenario involving an underdeveloped economy that exports primary products and imports industrial goods. Initially, with the AD production possibility curve and PB as the international price line, the country produces at point B and consumes at point E along indifference curve IC1. Now, suppose there is an increase in the country's production capacity due to technological advancement or factor accumulation, which shifts the production possibility curve from AD to AE. This shift reflects a bias towards the production of primary products.

As the country increases its output of primary products, this change influences the international market, causing the international price line to shift from PB to PC. The line PC is flatter than PB because the increased supply of primary products leads to a decrease in their prices. Due to the relatively inelastic demand for these primary products, their terms of trade deteriorate. This implies that the country now faces lower prices for its primary exports compared to industrial goods.

As a result, the country shifts its production to point C but consumes at point G, located on the lower indifference curve IC2. This shows that, although production increases, the deterioration in terms of trade causes a reduction in the country's welfare, as the gains from increased production are offset by the fall in the terms of trade. Johnson argues that this welfare

loss would occur even in the presence of market imperfections or distortions within the economy.

**4.1.4. Beneficial Growth:** The concept of immiserising growth relies on several assumptions that may not always hold true. It assumes that the growth in a country is concentrated in the export sector, which produces commodities with low price elasticity. In this scenario, an increase in export supply leads to a significant drop in prices. However, immiserising growth may not apply to all developing countries. In many cases, developing nations may still experience positive gains, even if their terms of trade deteriorate.

In the long run, the benefits from increased production may outweigh the losses caused by a decline in terms of trade, potentially leading to a higher level of overall welfare for the economy. This is illustrated in Figure 4.2, which demonstrates how, despite a deterioration in terms of trade, the economy can reach a higher level of community welfare due to the long-term gains from increased production.



Source: Salvatore (2011).

Suppose that capital accumulation leads to a doubling of the capital stock "K" in Country 1, boosting the production of the capital-intensive commodity "Y." This increase in production reduces the country's need for imports, thereby decreasing the volume of trade. At the same time, the higher output of commodity Y enhances the country's terms of trade, as the price of its exports rises relative to the price of imports, improving the overall trade balance.

In Figure 4.2, before the capital accumulation, the price line reflecting the relative prices of commodities X and Y was PB = 1. Following the capital accumulation, the country's production capacity expands, allowing it to produce 130 units of commodity Y or 150 units of commodity X, both of which are higher than its initial production potential. With constant prices, the slope of the price line remains unchanged, represented by the parallel price line PR = PB = 1. The country achieves equilibrium at point 'R', producing approximately 110 units of X and 105 units of Y. At PB = 1,

the country trades 15 units of X for 15 units of Y, consuming at point 'U' on the community indifference curve 'V', which is higher than the initial indifference curve 'III'. However, after increasing its production of commodity Y, the country's volume of trade declines as it can now meet more of its needs domestically. This shift results in a change in its terms of trade, as the country is now willing to offer fewer units of X for each unit of Y. As a result, the price line becomes steeper, represented by PS = 2. At this price line, the country reaches production equilibrium at point 'S', exchanging 20 units of X for 40 units of Y, and consuming at point 'W' on the higher utility curve 'V1'. Consequently, the country's welfare increases due to both the wealth effect and the improvement in terms of trade.

#### 4.1.5. Export-led Growth:

For the past three decades, development policies have been largely shaped by the export-led growth paradigm. This approach gained prominence in the late 1970s, replacing the import-substitution strategy that had previously guided development thinking in many developing countries. The export-led growth model suggests that foreign trade allows developing economies to tap into extended markets and benefit from economies of scale. By accessing international markets, producers in these economies can overcome the constraints imposed by small domestic markets and establish viable production units. The export-led growth paradigm has evolved over time. During the 1950s and 1960s, many developing countries adhered to the strategy of self-sufficiency and were captivated by the idea of import substitution. However, countries like Germany and Japan adopted export-led growth strategies, which were later followed by East Asian economies such as South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Singapore in the 1970s and 1980s. With the waves of globalization and changes in global economic policies, many developing nations transitioned from import-substitution strategies to embracing export-led growth.

However, this strategy has differed from country to country. Germany and Japan had their own indigenous industrial base and their growth of exports was spurred by under-valuation of the domestic currency while the East Asian Tigers relied upon under-valued exchange rate along with the acquisition of foreign technology. On the other hand, the Latin American countries depended on foreign multinationals rather than developing their own indigenous industrial capacity. Then in the era of globalization, export-led growth is no longer a purely national strategy. Instead, it is a partnership between developing countries, multinational corporations, and developed countries. It aims at maximization of international gains in trade as well as production. It has promoted a new type of exportled growth based on relocating existing production and diverting new investment, that benefits emerging market economies by creating jobs, transferring technology, and relieving balance of payments constraints on growth. However, the economies may not be owning the industrial base or experience the process of industrialisation of their economies.

On the flip side, this stage has also been the cause of deindustrialisation in several economies, creation of international financial imbalances and undermines the demand generation process through diminishing levels of income (Palley, 2011). But China set a different trend in this type of growth. It followed this strategy with a combination of import controls, under-valuation of currency, development of indigenous technological and industrial base.

Thus, we can see that different countries have followed this strategy in a different manner. However, the benefits have also proved much more elusive. Though China had done well in terms of productivity growth and growth of income but countries like Mexico had been less successful. It is being felt that the initial

#### **Conclusion:**

There are different opinions on whether trade can drive growth. Supporters believe that trade helps developing countries by increasing their production capacity, giving them access to larger markets, improving resource use, and providing better technology, all of which can improve their welfare. However, critics point out that trade may not always benefit developing countries equally. They argue that because of the way these economies are structured, they may not fully benefit from trade. Instead, unfavorable terms of trade could actually reduce their welfare, making it harder for them to reap the gains of international trade.

While trade expansion has generally helped boost economic growth, create jobs, and reduce poverty, many developing countries have not fully benefited from this process. A major challenge for the international community is finding ways to help these countries integrate into the global economy and share in the benefits of expanding trade. The export-led growth strategy has shown that while opening up to trade can promote growth, stabilize prices, and increase competition, it doesn't always guarantee success. To achieve lasting development, countries need supportive policies, a strong macroeconomic framework, and investments in infrastructure and human resources.

# 4.2 MULTILATERAL TRADE AGREEMENTS AND POLITICAL ECONOMY

Multilateral trade agreements are international treaties that govern trade between several countries. Their goal is to promote free trade by lowering tariffs, quotas, and other trade restrictions, making it easier for goods and services to move between nations.

Multilateral trade agreements are agreements between three or more countries that aim to standardize commerce regulations, encourage trade, and reduce tariffs and quotas.

Multilateral trade agreements serve as foundational pillars of the global economic landscape, aiming to reduce trade barriers, promote market access, and foster economic growth.

Trade Policy II

However, their negotiation, implementation, and outcomes are inextricably linked to political economy. This intersection involves a complex interplay of economic objectives, political considerations, and domestic interests.

Multilateral trade agreements (MTAs) are trade deals that involve multiple countries, designed to lower trade barriers such as tariffs, quotas, and subsidies, to facilitate the unrestricted movement of goods and services. These agreements are typically negotiated through organizations like the World Trade Organization (WTO).

#### **Features of MTAs:**

- **1. Trade Liberalization:** The primary goal of MTAs is to minimize tariffs, quotas, and other trade barriers, making it easier for member countries to engage in trade.
- 2. Non-Discrimination Principle: A key rule in MTAs is the "most-favored-nation" (MFN) principle, which ensures that all member countries are treated equally—any benefits given to one country must be provided to all others.
- **3.** Comprehensive Coverage: MTAs often address a broad array of issues, including the trade of goods and services, intellectual property rights, investment, and mechanisms for resolving disputes.
- **4. Dispute Resolution:** MTAs typically include structured processes for settling trade disagreements between members, such as the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) within the WTO.

## Multilateral trade agreements have several advantages and disadvantages, including:

#### 1. Advantages

a. Market access: Multilateral trade agreements allow countries to access larger markets, which can help businesses reach more customers.

b. Technology sharing: Multilateral trade agreements can help spread skills, knowledge, and technology.

c. Consumer welfare: Multilateral trade agreements can lead to lower prices for consumers.

d. Collaboration: Multilateral trade agreements can show that collaboration between nations is possible and important for sustainable development.

#### Disadvantages

e. Diversion of trade: Multilateral trade agreements can divert trade from one country to another, which can hurt the economy of the losing country.

f. Domestic competition: Multilateral trade agreements can open domestic markets to foreign competition, which could negatively impact domestic industries.

#### **Challenges in Multilateral Trade Agreements:**

#### a. Divergent Economic Interests:

1. **Developed vs. Developing Countries:** Developing countries often face structural challenges (lack of industrial infrastructure, technological capabilities, etc.) that limit their ability to fully benefit from MTAs. The unequal economic capacities can result in agreements that disproportionately benefit wealthier nations, exacerbating global inequality.

2. Special and Differential Treatment (SDT): Many MTAs include provisions that give developing countries more time to adjust to trade rules or provide them with greater market access. However, the effectiveness of such measures has been debated, with some arguing they don't go far enough to level the playing field.

#### **b.** Sovereignty Concerns:

**1. Policy Autonomy:** Critics of MTAs argue that adhering to international trade rules can limit a country's ability to implement policies in areas like environmental protection, public health, or economic development. The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), for example, was criticized for potentially undermining national regulations in favor of corporate interests.

**2. Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS):** Some MTAs allow foreign investors to sue governments for actions that harm their business interests. Critics argue that this provision gives corporations undue influence over national laws, undermining democratic processes.

#### c. Environmental and Labor Implications:

**1. Environmental Damage:** Without proper regulation, trade liberalization can result in the overuse of natural resources and environmental harm. Countries might be incentivized to relax environmental protections to attract foreign investment.

**2. Labor Exploitation:** MTAs can also lead to a "race to the bottom," where nations weaken labor laws in an effort to stay competitive in global markets. This often results in poor working conditions and low wages in developing countries, as they face pressure to maintain their position in international trade.

#### The Future of Multilateral Trade Agreements:

1. **Shifting Global Power Balance:** With the rise of new global powers like China and India, the dynamics of multilateral trade negotiations are

changing. These countries are increasingly asserting themselves in global trade forums and shaping new trade rules.

2. **Regionalism vs. Multilateralism:** Recently, regional trade agreements like the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) have gained popularity, particularly as multilateral negotiations such as the Doha Round have slowed down. Some critics suggest that these regional deals may weaken the overall multilateral trading framework.

3. **Sustainability and Inclusive Trade:** There is growing recognition of the need for trade agreements that promote sustainable development and address issues like climate change, gender equality, and workers' rights. Future MTAs may increasingly focus on ensuring that global trade benefits all segments of society.

## Here are some notable examples of multilateral trade agreements (MTAs) that have shaped global trade:

- 1. World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreements
- 2. Doha Development Round (WTO)
- 3. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) → United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA)
- 4. Mercosur (Southern Common Market)
- 5. African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA)
- 6. Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP)
- 7. Central American-Dominican Republic Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR)
- 8. Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)
- 9. General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) (WTO)
- 10. Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA) (WTO)

#### **4.3 WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION**

The World Trade Organization (WTO) is the global organization responsible for overseeing international trade rules between countries. Central to its function are the WTO agreements, which are negotiated and signed by most of the world's trading nations and then ratified by their parliaments. The WTO's primary aim is to support producers, exporters, and importers in carrying out their business activities.

WTO – World Trade Organisation, was established in 1995 as the heir organisation to the GATT (General Agreement on Trade and Tariff). GATT was founded in 1948 with 23 nations as the global (international) trade organisation to serve all multilateral trade agreements by giving fair chances to all nations in the international exchange for trading prospects. WTO is required to build a rule-based trading government in which countries cannot place unreasonable constraints on trade.

In addition, its mission is to increase stock and trade of services, to assure maximum utilisation of world resources and to preserve the environment. The WTO deals include trade in commodities as well as services to promote international trade (bilateral and multilateral) through the elimination of the tax as well as non-tariff obstacles and implementing greater marketplace access to all member nations.

As an influential member of WTO, India is at the lead of building fair global laws, statutes and shields and supporting the concerns of the developing system. India has fulfilled its promises towards the liberalisation of trade, made in the WTO, by eliminating quantitative limitations on imports and decreasing tariff charges.

#### How did WTO came into Being?

- Trade has played an important role in economic growth and promoting peace between countries, from the early days of the Silk Road to the creation of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and the World Trade Organization (WTO).
- The GATT's origins go back to the 1944 Bretton Woods Conference, where world leaders set up the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank to support the global economy after World War II. At the same time, they suggested creating the International Trade Organization (ITO) to regulate international trade.
- In 1948, the United Nations' conference in Havana drafted the Havana Charter for the ITO, which would have set up rules for trade, investment, services, and business practices. However, the U.S. Senate did not approve the charter, so the ITO was never created. Instead, 23 countries signed the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in 1947, which started on January 1, 1948. The goal was to reduce tariffs and gradually eliminate import quotas on goods.
- Although GATT was not an official organization, it became the main global trade agreement and lasted until the WTO was formed in 1995. GATT oversaw eight rounds of trade negotiations and became the primary way international trade was governed. The Uruguay Round (1987-1994) led to the creation of the WTO through the Marrakesh Agreement.
- The WTO built on the GATT principles but provided a stronger, permanent structure for managing and enforcing trade rules. The GATT 1947 was replaced by GATT 1994, which is now part of the WTO. While GATT only covered trade in goods, the WTO also covers trade in services and intellectual property rights.
- The WTO replaced the GATT because the GATT did not have a solid structure and didn't cover services or intellectual property. Though GATT had some dispute-resolution methods, they were not clear or

efficient. The WTO provides a better system for solving trade disputes and managing global trade rules.

#### What is the Structure of Governance of WTO?

The World Trade Organization (WTO) has a hierarchical governance structure designed to facilitate global trade and resolve disputes among its members. At the apex of this structure is the Ministerial Conference, which convenes biennially and includes representatives from all WTO member nations and customs unions. This body holds the authority to make decisions on any aspect of the multilateral trade agreements.

Beneath the Ministerial Conference, the General Council serves as the primary day-to-day decision-making entity. Based in Geneva, it comprises representatives from all member governments and is empowered to act on behalf of the Ministerial Conference between its biennial meetings. The General Council also functions in two other capacities:

- 1. As the Trade Policy Review Body
- 2. As the Dispute Settlement Body

Three specialized councils report to the General Council, each overseeing a distinct area of trade:

- 1. The Council for Trade in Goods
- 2. The Council for Trade in Services

3. The Council for Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)

These councils, composed of all WTO members, are responsible for implementing and managing WTO agreements in their respective domains. This multi-tiered structure ensures comprehensive oversight of global trade matters while allowing for specialized focus on key areas of international commerce.

#### **Objectives of WTO**

The six key objectives of the World Trade Organization have been discussed below.

#### 1. Establishing and Enforcing Rules for International Trade

The WTO creates international trade rules through three key agreements. The rules governing trade in goods, the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement, and the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS).

To ensure these rules are followed, the WTO has a multilateral dispute settlement system. If a member country violates trade rules, the WTO facilitates the resolution of the issue. Member countries are required to

#### 2. Acting As A Global Apex Forum

World Trade organization is the global forum for monitoring and negotiating further trade liberalization. The premise of trade liberalization measures undertaken by WTO is based on the benefits of member countries to optimally utilize the position of comparative advantage due to a free and fair trade regime.

#### 3. Resolution Of Trade Disputes

Trade disputes, before the WTO, usually arise out of deviation from agreements between member countries. The resolution of such trade disputes does not take place unilaterally but through a multilateral system involving set rules and procedures before the dispute settlement body.

**4. Increasing Transparency in the Decision-Making Process** The World Trade Organization (WTO) works to improve transparency in its decision-making by encouraging greater participation and using a consensus-based approach. These actions help enhance the transparency of its processes and ensure that decisions are made openly and inclusively.

**5.** Collaboration Between International Economic Institutions The global economic landscape includes organizations such as the WTO, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), and the World Bank. As globalization has increased, closer cooperation among these institutions has become essential. Their joint efforts are crucial in shaping and implementing global economic policies. Without regular communication and collaboration, policymaking could face disruptions.

**6.** Safeguarding the Trading Interests of Developing Countries The WTO enforces strict regulations to protect the trade interests of developing countries. It helps these nations strengthen their capacity to meet the organization's requirements, handle disputes, and adopt the necessary technical standards for trade. This support ensures that developing countries can effectively participate in global trade

#### Features of WTO

#### The major features of the World Trade Organization are -

- 1. The scope of WTO is far more expensive than the erstwhile General Agreement on Trade and Tariff. For instance, GATT solely focused on goods while excluding textiles and agriculture. On the other hand, WTO covers all goods, services, and investment policies along with intellectual property.
- 2. WTO Secretariat has formalized and bolstered the mechanisms for the review of policies as well as the settlement of disputes. This aspect has

become crucial due to the proliferation of member countries and more goods and services being covered by the WTO. Another important consideration in this regard is the substantial increase in open access to different international markets.

- 3. There are rules implemented for the protection of small and weak countries against the discriminatory trade practices of developed countries.
- 4. National Treatment articles and Most Favored Nation (MFN) clause permits equal access to markets for just treatment of both domestic and foreign suppliers.
- 5. Each member country of the WTO carries a single voting right and all members enjoy privilege on the global scale.
- 6. The WTO agreements encompass all the member states and act as a common forum of deliberation for the members.

#### **Roles and Functions of WTO**

## The broad reach of WTO and its functions have been mentioned below.

- 1. **Enforcing and Reviewing Trade Policies** The WTO establishes international trade rules to ensure stability and predictability in global commerce. Regular policy reviews help maintain a strong multilateral trading system despite evolving market conditions. This also promotes a transparent and consistent framework for conducting trade.
- 2. **Providing a Platform for Trade Discussions** As a global forum, the WTO facilitates discussions among member nations to negotiate trade agreements and address emerging challenges. Without such discussions, economic growth could slow down, and issues like tariffs and dumping might remain unresolved. Continuous negotiations are crucial for advancing trade liberalization.
- 3. **Managing Bilateral and Multilateral Trade Agreements** Trade agreements between nations, whether bilateral or multilateral, require approval from respective governments before implementation. This ensures a fair and non-discriminatory trade system, allowing all members to benefit from equal market access.
- 4. **Resolving Trade Disputes** The WTO plays a key role in settling trade disputes among member nations. Independent panels of experts assess agreements, interpret trade commitments, and issue rulings. The organization also encourages members to resolve conflicts through direct consultations before resorting to formal procedures.

5. **Maximizing Global Resource Utilization** To promote the efficient use of global resources, the WTO supports developing economies by enhancing their trade potential. Special provisions for the least-developed nations include increased market access, extended timelines for implementing commitments, and assistance in building necessary trade infrastructure.

#### WTO Agreements and Negotiations

To ensure that the member countries engage in smooth, free, and fair global trade, WTO has set up several rules and negotiations in order to establish a common set of international trade laws to make the member countries abide by them.

#### 1. Goods:

It has specific laws related to agricultural goods, product standards, subsidies, etc. The most recent addition to this list of agreements is the Trade Facilitation Agreement in 2017.

#### 2. Services:

Service industries such as hotel chains, tour operators, telecommunications, and banking operate under a framework called the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS). The extent to which GATS applies depends on each member country's decision to open its markets for specific service sectors.

#### 3. Trade Monitoring:

The Trade Policy Review Mechanism of the WTO was made in order to promote transparency and develop a better understanding of the WTO members' trade policies. All the member countries are required to go through periodic supervision. Apart from that, the WTO regularly monitors global trading practices.

#### 4. Intellectual property:

The Intellectual Property Agreement of the WTO works with respect to patents, copyrights, trademarks, or some confidential information regarding trade secrets; all come under intellectual property.

#### 5. Settlement of Dispute:

To ensure smooth trade practices, the WTO has laid down several agreements related to resolving trade conflicts. The members bring forth their issues to the WTO if they think that their WTO agreement rights are being compromised in one way or the other. The settlement initially begins by encouraging the members to settle their differences through dialogue and consultation. If this system goes in vain, then the WTO goes

in accordance with a set procedure, headed by an expert panel and the members involved in the dispute can then appeal legally.

#### Pros and Cons of the WTO

With the advent of globalisation, the functioning of the WTO has been seen in both positive and negative ways. It has been in the middle of issues of free trade vs protectionism.

- 1. The efforts by the WTO have expanded global trade by a big margin. Multinational companies (MNCs) are the ones most benefited by the WTO trade agreements, as there have been free trade practices as well as a decrease in trade conflicts, seen as good signs of a growing global economy.
- 2. On the flip side though, the same agreements have adversely affected the local communities and poorer member countries. With the lowering of trade barriers and free trade policies, the local products face stiff competition from the overseas products, and as a result, the former mostly lose out. Protectionism from such practices is key to sustaining their economies. It has been also seen that these trade policies have made the gap between the rich and poor members wider- the rich becoming richer and the poor, poorer.

#### What about the Cooperation between WTO and India?

- 1. India has been a founding member of both the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1947 and its successor, the World Trade Organization (WTO). By participating in a structured, rule-based international trade system, India seeks to enhance stability and predictability, leading to increased trade and economic growth.
- 2. The services sector plays a vital role in India's economy, contributing over 55% to the country's GDP and accounting for 40% of its total exports. This sector, including both domestic and export-oriented services, provides employment to approximately 142 million people, making up 28% of India's workforce. The key areas of service exports include IT and IT-enabled services, travel and transport, and financial services, with major export destinations being the United States (33%), the European Union (15%), and other developed nations.
- 3. India actively advocates for the liberalization of services trade and seeks meaningful market access in developed countries. Since the Uruguay Round, India has undertaken extensive independent liberalization of its services trade policies.
- 4. Food and livelihood security remain critical concerns for India's large agrarian economy. India has consistently pushed for a permanent solution on public stockholding subsidies at the WTO. At the 2013 Ministerial Conference (MC9) in Bali, an interim agreement, known as

Trade Policy II

the "peace clause," was established to allow developing countries to stockpile agricultural products as a safeguard against food shortages.

- 5. India also strongly supports extending greater protection to its geographical indications (GIs) for products such as Basmati rice, Darjeeling tea, and Alphonso mangoes, similar to the level of protection granted to wines and spirits under the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement.
- 6. In WTO discussions, India opposes the inclusion of non-trade issues such as labor standards, environmental protection, human rights, investment rules, and competition policy, as developed nations often use these as pretexts for imposing protectionist measures. India has expressed concerns that such conditions could restrict exports from developing countries, such as textiles and processed food, under the guise of regulatory compliance.
- <sup>7.</sup> At the 12th Ministerial Conference (MC12) of the WTO, India opposed the continued moratorium on customs duties for electronic transmissions, arguing that it primarily benefits developed nations while negatively impacting the revenue of developing countries. Alongside South Africa, India has repeatedly called for a reassessment of the issue, emphasizing its adverse effects on emerging economies.
- 8. India has also urged the WTO to strengthen its work program on ecommerce. It has suggested that discussions on e-commerce be undertaken by the relevant WTO bodies, such as the Council for Trade in Goods, the Council for Trade in Services, the Council for TRIPS, and the Committee for Trade and Development, in line with their original mandates.

#### **Conclusion:**

The World Trade Organization (WTO) plays a crucial role in regulating global trade, promoting transparency, and ensuring that member nations engage in smooth and fair commerce. Since replacing GATT in 1995, the WTO has established a structured framework through various agreements covering goods, services, trade monitoring, intellectual property, and dispute resolution. While these policies have facilitated economic growth and strengthened international trade relations, their impact has been uneven. Wealthier nations and large corporations have reaped substantial benefits, whereas smaller economies and local communities have often faced challenges. Moving forward, a more inclusive approach is necessary to ensure that global trade policies support sustainable and equitable development for all.

#### 4.4 SUMMARY

This chapter provides a comprehensive analysis of various perspectives on trade as a driver of economic growth. It examines key trade theories, including Haberler's comparative advantage, the Prebisch-Singer thesis on deteriorating terms of trade for developing nations, and Bhagwati's concept of immiserizing growth. The discussion extends to the dynamics of beneficial and export-led growth, with a particular focus on East Asia's economic success. Furthermore, the chapter delves into the political economy of multilateral trade agreements (MTAs), highlighting the complexities of negotiations and the role of power dynamics in shaping global trade policies. The role of the World Trade Organization (WTO) is critically assessed, emphasizing its regulatory functions as well as the challenges and criticisms it faces, especially from developing economies.

#### **4.5 QUESTIONS**

- 1. What are Haberler's views on trade as an engine of growth?
- 2. Explain the Prebisch-Singer thesis and its implications for developing countries.
- 3. What is meant by immiserising growth, and how can it affect a country's welfare?
- 4. Discuss the concept of export-led growth and provide examples of countries that have successfully implemented this strategy.
- 5. Write a note on Multilateral Trade Agreements.
- 6. What are the roles and functions of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in regulating global trade?
- 7. Write the objectives of WTO?

#### **4.6 REFERENCES**

- 1. Bhagwati, J. (2020). International Trade and Economic Development: Lessons from Asia. MIT Press.
- 2. Krugman, P., & Obstfeld, M. (2022). *International Economics: Theory and Policy* (12th ed.). Pearson.
- 3. Prebisch, R., & Singer, H. (2021). *Development Economics Revisited: Critical Perspectives for the Present Era.* Routledge.
- 4. Rodrik, D. (2021). *Straight Talk on Trade: Insights for a Balanced Global Economy*. Princeton University Press.
- 5. World Trade Organization (WTO). (2023). *World Trade Report 2023: Addressing Global Trade Challenges in Uncertain Times.* WTO Publications.
- 6. Baldwin, R., & Evenett, S. (2022). *Strengthening Multilateral Trade Collaboration: Insights from Prominent Economists.* CEPR Press.

### Module 3

# 5

### TRADE UNDER IMPERFECT COMPETITION - I

#### **Unit Structure:**

- 5.0 Objectives
- 5.1 Monopolistic competition models of trade
- 5.2 Love-for-Variety preferences
- 5.3 Gains from trade
- 5.4 Tariff versus quota under monopoly
- 5.5 Summary
- 5.6 Questions

#### **5.0 OBJECTIVES**

By the end of this unit, you should be able to:

- Understand how monopolistic competition affects international trade.
- Explain the Love-for-Variety model and its role in trade.
- Identify the key sources of gains from trade under imperfect competition.
- Differentiate between tariffs and quotas in a monopoly setting.

# 5.1 MONOPOLISTIC COMPETITION MODELS OF TRADE

#### 5.1.1 Introduction to Monopolistic Competition in Trade:

In international trade, the assumption of perfect competition (all firms are price takers) often does not hold, especially in the industries where product differentiation exists. Many commodities like as cars, electronics, and clothing are not homogeneous, but instead differ by brand, quality, and features.

#### Monopolistic competition is a type of market structure where:

• Firms compete to each other, but sell the differentiated products.

- Each firm has some degree of market power, it means that it can set prices above the marginal cost.
- Free entry and free exit ensure that firms cannot earn long-run economic profit.

This market structure is particularly important in explaining intra-industry trade (IIT). Intra industry trade means the exchange of similar but differentiated goods between countries. Traditional trade theories, like as Ricardian Comparative Advantage Theory or Heckscher-Ohlin Theory (Modern Theory) fail to explain why countries with the similar endowments trade heavily with each other.

New Trade Theory (NTT) pioneered by Paul Krugman (1980), integrates the increasing returns to scale (IRS) and product differentiation to explain such trade patterns.

#### 5.1.2 Features of Monopolistic Competition Models in Trade:

Important characteristics or features of the monopolistic competition models in trade are as follows:

#### a) Product Differentiation:

Unlike in the perfect competition, where all goods are identical, firms in monopolistic competition sell unique variations of a product.

For examples: several brands of smartphones, soft drinks, automobiles etc.

#### b) Many Firms earn no profit in the long run:

The market is competitive, it means that firms enter when they see the profit opportunities in the market and exit when the losses occur.

In the long run, zero economic profit occurs because new entrants erode monopoly-like profits.

#### c) Increasing Returns to Scale (IRS) and Fixed Costs:

In the monopolistic competition, firms have high fixed costs (e.g., R & D, branding) but low marginal costs, which leads to the economies of scale.

Production of more units spreads the fixed cost over a larger output which reduces average cost per unit.

#### d) Trade Expands Market Size:

International trade allows firms to serve a larger customer base, leading to lower costs and greater efficiency. Without trade, small national markets may not sustain many firms, leading to higher prices and fewer choices.

#### d) Trade Driven by Variety and Scale Economies:

Consumers gain from trade not just due to lower prices, but also from access to a wider variety of products.

For example: A consumer in France gets access to Japanese, German, and American cars which are distinct features.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - I

#### 5.1.3 Krugman's Model (1980): Core of New Trade Theory

Paul Krugman's 1980 model laid the foundation for New Trade Theory (NTT) by introducing the role of economies of scale, product differentiation, and monopolistic competition in shaping international trade patterns. It challenges traditional trade theories like Ricardian and Heckscher-Ohlin models, which rely on comparative advantage and factor endowments to explain trade.

Key Assumptions of Krugman's 1980 Model:

Krugman's model is based on real-world trade patterns, particularly intraindustry trade among the developed nations. The key assumptions are as follows:

#### a) Increasing Returns to Scale (Economies of Scale):

- Unlike classical models that assume constant returns to scale, Krugman's model assumes that firms experience declining average costs as production increases.
- It means that larger-scale production reduces costs, making large firms more competitive internationally.

#### b) Monopolistic Competition & Product Differentiation:

- Unlike perfect competition, where all goods are homogeneous, Krugman assumes firms produce differentiated goods in the same industry.
- Consumers prefer variety, leading to demand for different brands, even within the same product category (e.g., cars, electronics).

#### c) Trade Between Similar Countries (Intra-Industry Trade)

- Traditional trade models focus on inter-industry trade (e.g., agricultural goods for manufactured goods).
- Krugman's model explains why similar countries with similar factor endowments trade with each other (e.g., the US and Germany both export cars to each other).

#### d) Home Market Effect:

- Countries with a large domestic market for a product tend to develop larger industries in that sector and export more.
- For example: The US has a large domestic demand for technological products, leading to the growth of Silicon Valley, which then exports globally.

#### **Implications of Krugman's Model:**

Krugman's 1980 model changed the way of economists and policymakers think about trade in various ways:

#### a) Justification of Trade Between Similar Countries:

- It explains why developed economies trade extensively with each other despite having similar factor endowments.
- For example: The US and Europe both produce and trade high-technology products, pharmaceuticals, and cars.

#### b) Encourages Economic Integration:

- Larger markets (e.g., the European Union) enable firms to achieve greater economies of scale, reducing costs and making industries more competitive.
- Trade agreements help facilitate this expansion.

#### c) Industrial Policy & First-Mover Advantage:

- The model suggests that early investment and market dominance in an industry can lead to long-term global advantages.
- This supports government intervention in strategic industries (e.g., subsidies for tech firms).

#### d) Role of Multinational Corporations (MNCs):

- Firms expand globally to capture the larger markets, benefiting from economies of scale.
- Many global companies operate in monopolistic competition, offering differentiated but competing products (e.g., Apple vs. Samsung).

#### Limitations of Krugman's Model:

While Krugman's 1980 model is ground-breaking, but it has some limitations which are as follows:

#### a) Neglects Comparative Advantage:

- The model focuses on economies of scale, but does not fully explain why some industries develop in specific countries beyond the market size effects.
- Traditional comparative advantage still plays a role in trade.

#### b) Ignores Political and Institutional Factors:

• Trade policies, tariffs, and geopolitical issues can influence trade patterns, but Krugman's model does not explicitly account for these.

#### c) Limited Applicability to Developing Countries:

- Most intra-industry trade occurs between developed nations with strong industrial bases.
- Developing nations still largely engage in inter-industry trade based on comparative advantage (e.g., raw materials vs. manufactured goods).

#### **Conclusion:**

Krugman's 1980 model revolutionized trade theory by explaining why similar countries trade extensively and how economies of scale and product differentiation drive international trade. It remains highly relevant in understanding global supply chains, multinational corporations, and trade agreements in today's economy.

However, while the model provides new insights, it works best alongside traditional trade theories rather than replacing them. The combination of comparative advantage and economies of scale gives a more complete picture of international trade.

Krugman's work later earned him the Nobel Prize in Economics in 2008, highlighting its importance in shaping modern trade policy and economic thought.

#### 5.1.4 Extensions of the Krugman Model:

#### (A) Helpman-Krugman Model (1985):

#### Introduction:

The Helpman-Krugman Model (1985) is an extension of the New Trade Theory (NTT) developed by Elhanan Helpman and Paul Krugman in their book "Market Structure and Foreign Trade" (1985). It builds upon the earlier Krugman (1980) model of monopolistic competition and trade but introduces factor endowments into the analysis.

The Helpman-Krugman model seeks to combine two classical approaches to international trade as bellows:

- 1. **Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) Theory** (Comparative Advantage due to Factor Endowments)
- 2. **New Trade Theory** (Trade due to Increasing Returns to Scale and Product Differentiation)

By integrating these elements, the model explains how both **comparative advantage** (due to differences in resources) and **economies of scale**(due to increasing returns and monopolistic competition) simultaneously shape trade patterns.

#### Key Features of the Helpman-Krugman Model:

1. Incorporating Factor Endowments (Heckscher-Ohlin):

- Unlike Krugman's 1980 model (which assumed identical factor endowments across countries), Helpman and Krugman introduced differences in factor endowments.
- This means countries specialize in goods that align with their relative **abundance of factors** (like labor or capital), consistent with the H-O model.
- The model shows that factor proportions influence intra-industry and inter-industry trade.
- 2. Monopolistic Competition and Increasing Returns to Scale:
- As in Krugman's original model, firms operate under monopolistic competition, producing differentiated products.
- Firms experience increasing returns to scale, meaning production is more efficient at larger volumes.
- These economies of scale lead to **intra-industry trade**, where countries exchange different varieties of the same good.
- 3. Intra-Industry vs. Inter-Industry Trade
- The model predicts that **intra-industry trade** (exchange of similar goods) is more prevalent when countries have **similar factor endowments.**
- Inter-industry trade (exchange of different goods, like capitalintensive vs. labour-intensive goods) occurs when factor endowments differ significantly.
- The more similar two countries are in factor endowments, the greater the share of intra-industry trade.
- 4. Impact of Country Size and Trade Costs
- Larger economies tend to have **more product variety** due to scale economies.
- Trade costs (like tariffs or transportation costs) affect the extent to which economies of scale benefit firms, influencing trade patterns.

#### Implications of the Helpman-Krugman Model:

- 1. Explains Why Both Heckscher-Ohlin and New Trade Theory Are Relevant
  - Trade can be driven by both **factor differences** (H-O model) and **increasing returns & monopolistic competition** (Krugman model).

• This helps explain why both inter-industry (classical) and intraindustry (modern) trade exist.

#### 2. Predicts More Intra-Industry Trade Among Similar Economies

- Countries with similar capital-labour ratios will engage in more intra-industry trade.
- Countries with very different factor endowments will trade based on comparative advantage.

## 3. Helps Understand Trade Patterns in Developed vs. Developing Countries

- Developed countries (which have similar capital-labour ratios) tend to trade **similar goods** (e.g., German and French cars).
- Developed and developing countries often engage in**interindustry trade** (e.g., U.S. exports high-tech goods, while India exports textiles).

#### **Conclusion:**

The Helpman-Krugman Model (1985) bridges the gap between **traditional trade theories (comparative advantage)** and **modern trade theories (increasing returns & monopolistic competition).** It explains why countries trade similar goods when they have similar endowments and why they specialize in different goods when their endowments differ.

#### (B) Melitz Model (2003): Firm Heterogeneity in Trade:

Unlike Krugman's model, which assumes identical firms, Melitz introduces the productivity differences among the firms.

#### Key Insights of Melitz Model (2003):

- Only the most productive firms export, while less productive firms serve only the domestic market.
- Trade liberalization forces the least productive firms to exit, improving overall industry productivity.
- Helps explain why not all firms export, even in industries with substantial trade.

The **Melitz Model (2003)** is a foundational framework in international trade theory that incorporates **firm heterogeneity** into trade models. Developed by **Marc J. Melitz** in his seminal paper "*The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity*," this model revolutionized trade theory by explaining why some firms engage in exporting while others do not, despite operating in the same industry.

Background: Traditional Trade Theory vs. Melitz Model

Before Melitz (2003), most trade models, such as the **Ricardian model**and the**Heckscher-Ohlin model**, focused on differences across countries (comparative advantage) rather than differences among firms within a country. Even the**Krugman (1980) model**, which introduced monopolistic competition and economies of scale, treated firms as **identical** and assumed all firms would participate in international trade.

Melitz (2003) extended **Krugman's monopolistic competition framework** by introducing **firm heterogeneity** in productivity, showing that only the most productive firms export, while less productive firms serve only the domestic market or exit.

#### **Core Assumptions of the Melitz Model:**

The model is based on the following key assumptions:

- 1. **Firms Differ in Productivity:** Firms have different levels of productivity, represented by a draw from a probability distribution (often Pareto or log-normal). Productivity determines firm performance, higher productivity means lower marginal costs and higher profits.
- 2. **Monopolistic Competition:** Each firm produces a differentiated good and faces **downward-sloping demand.** Firms set prices with a mark-up over marginal cost.
- 3. **Fixed Costs of Exporting:** Firms must pay a **fixed cost** to enter export markets (e.g., marketing, distribution, regulatory compliance). This fixed cost creates a threshold, only firms with sufficiently high productivity can profitably export.
- 4. **Trade Liberalization Effects:** When trade costs fall (e.g., lower tariffs, better infrastructure), more productive firms enter export markets, while unproductive firms may exit.
- 5. Endogenous Firm Selection: New firms enter the market by paying an entry cost, but they do not know their productivity in advance. Once they discover their productivity, firms make a decision:
  - Highly productive firms export.
  - Moderately productive firms serve only the domestic market.
  - **Low-productivity firms** exit the market.

Key Predictions of the Model:

The Melitz Model makes several important predictions:

1. Trade Liberalization Increases Aggregate Productivity:

- As trade barriers fall, the least productive firms exit due to increased competition.
- More productive firms expand and gain market share, increasing overall industry productivity.
- This **reallocation effect** leads to productivity growth in the economy.

#### 2. Only the Most Productive Firms Export:

- Since exporting involves fixed costs, only firms with high enough productivity can cover these costs and make positive profits from exporting.
- This explains why **most firms do not export**, a well-documented empirical fact.

# **3.** Exporting Firms Are Larger, More Productive, and Pay Higher Wages:

- Exporters tend to be **bigger**, have **higher sales**, and employ **more workers** than non-exporters.
- They also pay **higher wages**since they are more productive and generate higher revenues.

#### 4. Trade Increases Firm Turnover (Entry and Exit):

- The opening of trade forces less productive firms to exit due to increased competition.
- Simultaneously, new entrants appear, hoping to achieve high productivity and enter export markets.

#### Implications of the Melitz Model:

The Melitz Model has influenced both academic research and policy discussions in trade economics. Some key implications include:

- Policy Design:
  - Reducing trade costs helps productive firms expand globally but may also cause inefficient firms to exit.
  - Governments may provide **export promotion policies** (e.g., subsidies, tax incentives) to help firms overcome fixed export costs.
- Firm-Level Trade Data Analysis:
  - The model aligns with empirical data showing that only a small fraction of firms export.

• Trade liberalization leads to reallocation of resources toward **more efficient firms.** 

#### Globalization and Wage Inequality:

• If only high-productivity firms benefit from trade, wage disparities between workers in exporting and non-exporting firms may grow.

#### **Extensions of the Melitz Model:**

Since its introduction, the Melitz Model has been extended in various ways:

- 1. Multi-Country and Multi-Sector Models:
  - Introduces comparative advantage and cross-country firm heterogeneity.

#### 2. Firm Dynamics and Innovation:

• Explores how firms **invest in R&D and technology** to improve productivity.

#### 3. Global Value Chains and Input Trade:

• Examines how trade in **intermediate goods** affects firm productivity and exporting decisions.

#### 4. Heterogeneous Labor Markets:

• Incorporates differences in **skilled vs. unskilled workers** and wage dynamics.

#### Conclusion

The **Melitz Model (2003)** fundamentally changed how economists understand international trade. By incorporating **firm heterogeneity**, it explains why only some firms export and how trade leads to productivity gains through firm selection and reallocation. The model provides a **realistic microeconomic foundation** for understanding the effects of globalization and has been widely used in both research and policymaking.

#### **5.1.5 Implications for Trade Policy:**

#### (A) Effects of Trade Liberalization:

- More competition: Inefficient firms exit, while efficient firms expand.
- More variety for consumers: Access to diverse products boosts welfare.

• Lower prices: Larger markets lead to economies of scale, reducing costs.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - I

#### (B) Role of Tariffs and Protectionism:

- Tariffs increase costs, reduce variety, and lower efficiency.
- Protectionism harms consumers by restricting access to foreign goods.

#### (C) Importance of Market Size and Trade Agreements:

- Larger markets (e.g., EU, US) can sustain more firms and lower prices.
- Trade agreements (e.g., NAFTA, EU) foster greater efficiency and specialization.

#### 5.1.6 Empirical Evidence and Real-World Applications:

#### (A) Prevalence of Intra-Industry Trade

- Countries with similar levels of development (e.g., the US and EU) engage in high levels of intra-industry trade.
- Example: Germany exports BMWs to France while importing Renaults.

#### (B) Firm-Level Evidence on Trade and Productivity

- Exporters tend to be more productive than non-exporters.
- Trade liberalization leads to firm selection, where only the strongest survive.

#### (C) Globalization and Its Impact

- Market integration allows firms to scale up, reducing costs.
- Outsourcing and offshoring become viable due to cost advantages.

#### 5.1.7 Conclusion:

- Monopolistic competition models better explain real-world trade than traditional comparative advantage models.
- Paul Krugman's model of monopolistic competition shows how trade increases variety, efficiency, and intra-industry trade.
- Extensions like the Melitz model incorporate firm-level differences, making modern trade theory more dynamic and realistic.
- Trade policy should focus on reducing barriers to enhance market efficiency and consumer welfare.

#### **5.2 LOVE-FOR-VARIETY PREFERENCES**

#### **Definition:**

The Love-for-Variety (LV) preference refers to the idea that consumers derive greater satisfaction from consuming a wider variety of goods, rather than just increasing the quantity of a single good. This concept plays a key role in New Trade Theory (NTT) and explains why trade between similar countries (intra-industry trade) is beneficial under imperfect competition.

#### Key Characteristics of LV Preferences:

- Consumers prefer a wider selection of brands and products within a given industry (e.g., different types of cars, smartphones, clothing).
- Firms compete on product differentiation rather than price alone.
- Demand for variety leads to **intra-industry trade**, where similar goods are exchanged between similar economies.
- The presence of **monopolistic competition** allows firms to specialize in different varieties, ensuring that no single firm dominates the market.

#### Love-for-Variety and Trade Under Imperfect Competition:

Under **imperfect competition**, markets are characterized by **differentiated products and increasing returns to scale**. The **Love-for-Variety framework** interacts with trade in the following ways:

A. Monopolistic Competition and Product Differentiation:

- In monopolistic competition, firms produce **unique varieties** of a product rather than homogeneous goods.
- Consumers value different brands (e.g., Mercedes vs. BMW vs. Ford), leading to a **proliferation of brands in global markets.**
- Trade expands the range of available products, improving consumer welfare.

#### **B. Intra-Industry Trade:**

- LV preferences help explain why similar countries trade extensively within the same industry.
- Example: Germany exports luxury cars (BMW, Mercedes), while the US exports muscle cars (Ford, Dodge).
- Unlike classical trade theories, which focus on inter-industry trade (e.g., textiles for machinery), Krugman's model explains why countries with similar industries trade differentiated versions of the same product.

#### C. Economies of Scale and Trade Expansion:

- As firms expand production due to trade liberalization, they achieve lower average costs.
- Consumers benefit from lower prices and more variety.
- Firms in larger markets (e.g., EU, US) produce and export more varieties than firms in smaller economies.

#### D. Home Market Effect and Trade Patterns:

- Countries with **larger domestic markets for a product** will host more firms in that industry.
- These countries become **net exporters** of differentiated goods.
- Example: The US, with a massive domestic tech market, exports a variety of high-tech products globally.

Mathematical Representation of Love-for-Variety Preferences

A standard way to model Love-for-Variety preferences is through the Dixit-Stiglitz (CES) utility function:

$$U = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1$$

where:

- xi represents the quantity of variety iii consumed.
- ρ determines the elasticity of substitution between varieties.
- A higher number of varieties (N) increases consumer welfare.

This function shows that utility increases with variety, meaning trade enables consumers to access more product types, improving overall satisfaction.

The Love-for-Variety preference helps explain modern trade patterns, particularly intra-industry trade and the benefits of economic integration. It highlights how consumer preferences and firm strategies drive international trade beyond traditional comparative advantage, shaping trade policies and global business dynamics.

### **5.3 GAINS FROM TRADE**

The concept of **Gains from Trade** refers to the net benefits that countries derive from engaging in international trade. These benefits occur because trade allows for specialization, increased efficiency, and better resource allocation, ultimately leading to higher productivity and economic growth.

Countries have different resources, technologies, and labour productivity. When they engage in trade, they can **specialize** in producing goods where they have a comparative advantage and import goods that they produce less efficiently. This results in a **mutual increase in overall economic welfare.** 

Key Economic Theories Behind Gains from Trade:

- 1. Absolute Advantage (Adam Smith, 1776):
  - A country has an absolute advantage when it can produce a good using fewer resources than another country.
  - Example: If the U.S. can produce 1 ton of wheat using fewer inputs than Japan, it has an absolute advantage in wheat production.

#### 2. Comparative Advantage (David Ricardo, 1817):

- A country benefits by specializing in goods where it has the lowest opportunity cost.
- Example: Even if Country A is better at producing both wheat and cars, if it is relatively better at producing wheat and trades wheat for cars from Country B, both nations benefit.

#### 3. Heckscher-Ohlin Model (Factor Endowment Theory):

- Countries export goods that use their abundant factors of production and import goods that require scarce resources.
- Example: A labour-rich country exports labour-intensive goods (like textiles), while a capital-rich country exports capital-intensive goods (like machinery).

#### Types of Gains from Trade:

#### A. Static Gains from Trade:

#### These are the immediate benefits obtained from trade, including:

- **Better Resource Allocation** Countries allocate resources more efficiently based on comparative advantage.
- **Increased Consumption Choices** Consumers access a wider variety of goods at lower prices.
- Lower Costs and Higher Productivity Firms benefit from economies of scale and global competition.

#### **B.** Dynamic Gains from Trade:

#### These benefits occur over time and include:

- **Economic Growth**: Trade fosters investment, innovation, and technological progress.
- **Knowledge and Technology Transfer**: Exposure to international markets leads to adoption of better technologies.
- **Enhanced Competition**: Firms become more efficient due to global competition.

#### Sources of Gains from Trade:

- 1. **Specialization and Efficiency**: Countries produce goods they are best at, leading to higher overall output.
- 2. **Trade Expansion and Market Size**: Access to international markets helps firms grow and reduce costs.
- 3. **Improved Resource Utilization**: Trade encourages better use of labour, capital, and natural resources.
- 4. **Innovation and Knowledge Spillovers**: Companies gain insights from foreign partners and competitors.

#### **Practical Examples of Gains from Trade:**

#### Example 1: The U.S. and China Trade Relationship

- The U.S. imports electronics from China, where production costs are lower.
- China imports agricultural products from the U.S., which has vast farmland and advanced farming technology.
- Both countries benefit from lower costs and increased efficiency.

#### **Example 2: The European Union (EU) and Free Trade Agreements**

- The EU's single market allows member countries to trade freely, reducing costs and increasing economic integration.
- Countries like Germany specialize in automobiles, while Spain excels in tourism.

#### Conclusion:

Gains from trade arise when countries specialize based on comparative advantage, leading to **higher efficiency**, lower costs, and better economic growth. While trade brings numerous benefits, countries must manage risks through policies like trade diversification, worker retraining, and fair-trade agreements.

#### 5.4 TARIFF VS. QUOTA UNDER MONOPOLY

#### Introduction:

In international economics, **tariffs** and **quotas** are two common trade policy tools used to restrict imports and protect domestic industries. When a monopoly exists in the market (either domestic or foreign), the impact of tariffs and quotas differs significantly from the case of perfect competition.

#### **Definitions:**

- **Tariff**: A tax imposed on imported goods, which raises the price of imports and provides revenue to the government.
- **Quota**: A restriction on the quantity of goods that can be imported, limiting supply without generating direct government revenue.

Under **monopoly**, the effects of these policies depend on how they influence market behaviour, pricing, and overall welfare.

#### **Comparisons:**

Under a monopoly, the impact of tariffs and quotas differs from a competitive market due to the monopolist's ability to influence prices and output. Here's a comparison:

Factor	Tariff	Quota
Definition	A tax imposed on imported goods, increasing their price.	A direct restriction on the quantity of imports.
Effect on Price	Increases the price of imported goods, reducing competition for the domestic monopolist.	Limits supply, which can drive up prices due to scarcity.
Effect on Output	The monopolist may reduce output but not as drastically as under a quota.	More restrictive on output since a quota fixes the maximum imports.
Revenue Generation	The government collects tariff revenue.	No direct government revenue, but import licenses may be sold.
Consumer Impact	Higher prices due to tariff-induced price increase but with some level of imports still allowed.	Higher prices due to supply constraints, possibly even higher than with a tariff.
Monopolist's Profit	Gains from reduced foreign competition but may not extract all surplus.	Gains more since the quota can give the monopolist full control over the price.
- 1. **Monopoly Price Effects:** A **quota** can be worse for consumers because the monopolist can raise prices more than under a **tariff** since there is an absolute limit on imports.
- 2. **Revenue Distribution:** A **tariff** benefits the government, while a **quota** mostly benefits domestic monopolists or foreign exporters who get the limited import slots.
- 3. **Output Differences:** A **quota** is more restrictive because it limits quantity directly, while a **tariff** allows imports but at a higher price.

#### Which Is More Harmful Under Monopoly?

- A quota often leads to higher consumer prices and larger monopoly profits since the monopolist can restrict supply more effectively.
- A **tariff** allows for some government revenue and a more predictable price effect.

#### **Conclusion:**

In a monopolistic market, tariffs and quotas have different economic impacts. While both restrict trade, **tariffs are generally more efficient** because they allow price adjustments and generate government revenue. **Quotas**, on the other hand, tend to raise prices more sharply, benefit monopolists, and create greater welfare losses. Policymakers must carefully evaluate these effects when designing trade policies in markets dominated by monopolistic suppliers.

## **5.5 SUMMARY**

- Monopolistic competition allows firms to trade differentiated goods, leading to variety and scale economies.
- Love-for-Variety preferences explain why consumers gain from trade by accessing diverse product choices.
- Trade under imperfect competition leads to lower prices, innovation, and greater efficiency.
- Tariffs and quotas affect monopolies differently, with tariffs generating revenue and quotas limiting import quantities.

#### **5.6 QUESTIONS**

- Q1. What are the features of monopolistic competition models in trade?
- Q2. Briefly explain the Krugman's Model (1980).
- Q3. Briefly explain Helpman-Krugman model (1985).
- Q4. Briefly explain the Melitz Model (2003) of firm heterogeneity in trade.
- Q5. Explain the term 'Love-for-Variety preferences.'
- Q6. Write a note on Gains from Trade.
- Q7. Compare between tariff vs. quota under monopoly.



# 6

## TRADE UNDER IMPERFECT COMPETITION - II

#### **Unit Structure:**

- 6.0 Objectives
- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Strategic trade policy: Cournot and Bertrand competition
- 6.3 Voluntary import expansion and export restrictions
- 6.4 Summary
- 6.5 Questions

#### 6.0 OBJECTIVES

- To understand the concept of strategic trade policy and its role in international trade.
- To analyse the differences between Cournot and Bertrand competition in trade policy.
- To study the terms voluntary import expansion (VIEs) and export restrictions.

## 6.1 INTRODUCTION

International trade often takes place under the conditions of imperfect competition, where firms have market power and strategic interactions which influence trade outcomes. Unlike the traditional models of perfect competition, imperfect competition considers scenarios where firms can set prices or quantities, leading to different trade policies and market dynamics.

This unit explores the key concepts related to trade under imperfect competition and focusing on strategic trade policy and its implications. Governments may intervene in markets through policies such as subsidies, tariffs, and quotas to support domestic firms and enhance national welfare. There are two major models such as Cournot and Bertrand competition which help to explain how firms compete in international markets and how governments can design policies to maximize their country's economic advantage.

Additionally, the unit examines voluntary import expansion (VIE) and export restrictions (VERs), which are trade policies often negotiated between countries to manage trade imbalances and political pressures.

These policies influence market access, firm profitability, and global trade relations.

## 6.2 STRATEGIC TRADE POLICY: COURNOT AND BERTRAND COMPETITION

#### 6.2.1 Strategic trade policy: Cournot competition:

#### Introduction:

Cournot competition is a market structure in which firms compete by choosing the quantity of output they will produce, rather than setting prices directly. Each firm assumes that its competitors will keep their output constant when making production decisions. The final market price is determined by the total quantity supplied by the all firms.

In industries with oligopolistic competition (where only a few large firms dominate), firms must anticipate how their competitors will react to changes in production. Since prices depend on total output, firms engage in strategic decision-making to maximize their profits while considering competitors' production choices.

#### **Application of Strategic Trade Policy in Cournot Competition:**

Governments use strategic trade policy to influence firm behaviour in Cournot competition by providing advantages to domestic firms over foreign competitors. The main tools of strategic trade policy in this context are as follows:

#### **1. Production Subsidies:**

The Government provides financial support to domestic firms, enabling them to produce more at lower costs. By increasing domestic output, the total market supply rises, leading to lower prices and higher market share for domestic firms. It can drive foreign competitors out of the market or reduce their profitability.

#### For Example:

- The European Union (EU) has provided subsidies to Airbus, helping it compete against Boeing in the global aircraft market.
- By lowering production costs, Airbus has been able to produce more aircraft and gain a competitive edge.

#### 2. Tariffs on Imported Goods:

Imposing tariffs on foreign products increases their price, making domestic goods relatively cheaper. It reduces foreign competition and allows domestic firms to expand their market share.

#### For Example:

• The U.S. imposed tariffs on Chinese steel imports to protect domestic steel producers from foreign competition.

#### 3. Export Incentives:

The Governments encourage firms to increase their exports by offering tax breaks, subsidies, or direct financial support. It increases global competitiveness and boosts domestic employment.

#### For example:

• South Korea's government provided export incentives to Samsung and Hyundai, enabling them to expand their global presence.

#### Effects of Strategic Trade Policy in Cournot Competition:

Strategic trade policy in a **Cournot competition** framework influences domestic and international markets by altering firm behaviour, production levels, and market outcomes. Governments intervene through **subsidies**, **tariffs, and export incentives** to support domestic firms against foreign competitors. The key effects of these policies include:

Positive Effects (Advantages) of Strategic Trade Policy in Cournot Competition:

#### 1. Increased Domestic Market Share:

By providing **subsidies** or **tax incentives**, the government lowers production costs for domestic firms. This allows domestic firms to **expand output**, gaining a larger share of the market while pushing foreign competitors to reduce their production. As a result, domestic firms become more dominant in both local and global markets.

For Example: The European Union's subsidies to Airbus helped it compete against **Boeing**, increasing its share in the global aviation market.

#### 2. Lower Production Costs and Increased Output:

Government support, such as **research and development (R&D) grants** or **subsidized inputs**, allows firms to operate at lower costs. This encourages higher production, benefiting both businesses and consumers.

For Example: South Korea's government support for Samsung and Hyundai helped them achieve economies of scale, making them global leaders.

#### 3. Higher Domestic Employment and Economic Growth:

Increased production due to strategic trade policies leads to **more jobs** in manufacturing, technology, and related industries. The **multiplier effect** boosts economic growth, improving national income and living standards.

**For Example:** China's **subsidies to its steel industry** led to large-scale job creation and dominance in global steel exports.

#### 4. Competitive Advantage in Global Markets:

By helping to domestic firms, countries can develop industries that might not have been competitive otherwise. It can create **national champions** that dominate international trade.

**For Example:** Japan's strategic support for **Toyota** helped it become the world's largest car manufacturer.

Negative Effects (Disadvantages and Risks)of Strategic Trade Policy in Cournot Competition:

#### **1. Retaliatory Trade Policies:**

Other countries may view subsidies and trade restrictions as **unfair advantages**, leading to **retaliatory tariffs or trade disputes**. It can escalate into **trade wars**, harming both domestic and foreign industries.

For Example: The U.S.-China trade war resulted in heavy tariffs on both sides, affecting global supply chains.

#### 2. Market Distortion and Inefficiency:

The Government intervention may lead to **overproduction**, causing **price dumping** or inefficiencies in the market. It disrupts the natural balance of supply and demand, sometimes leading to **waste of resources**.

**For Example: EU agricultural subsidies** led to excess food production, forcing governments to store or destroy surplus goods.

#### 3. Higher Prices for Consumers:

**Tariffs on imports** reduce competition, allowing domestic firms to charge **higher prices** than they would in a free market. Consumers may face **higher costs** and **fewer product choices**.

For Example: The U.S. tariffs on Chinese electronics increased prices for American consumers, affecting industries that rely on imported components.

#### 4. Dependence on Government Support:

The firms that **rely heavily on subsidies** may become inefficient over time, failing to innovate or compete without government aid. This can lead to **long-term inefficiency**, making industries dependent on government intervention rather than market forces.

For Example: Some state-owned enterprises (SOEs) in developing countries continue to operate despite heavy losses due to government support.

In a Cournot competition model, strategic trade policy plays a crucial role in shaping market dynamics. By subsidizing domestic firms, restricting imports, and incentivizing exports, governments can shift competitive advantages in favour of domestic producers. However, such policies must be carefully designed to avoid trade disputes and inefficiencies in the global market.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

#### 6.2.2 Strategic trade policy: Bertrand competition:

**Strategic trade policy** refers to government interventions, such as subsidies or tariffs, aimed at giving domestic firms a competitive advantage in international markets. This concept is particularly relevant in industries where firms operate in an **oligopolistic market** and where governments can manipulate market outcomes to benefit their national economies.

#### **Overview of Bertrand Competition:**

In **Bertrand competition**, firms compete by setting the prices rather than the output levels. The firm that offers the lowest price captures the entire market (we are assuming there are identical products), leading to a pricedriven rivalry. Unlike **Cournot competition** (where firms compete in quantities), Bertrand competition tends to push prices down toward marginal costs, often resulting in **zero economic profits** in its simplest form.

#### **Role of Strategic Trade Policy in Bertrand Competition:**

In a Bertrand setting, **strategic trade policy** can help a domestic firm compete against a foreign rival by altering cost structures, improving pricing power, or deterring aggressive price undercutting. Key mechanisms include:

#### 1. Subsidies to Domestic Firms:

Governments can provide **production subsidies** or **R&D incentives** to lower domestic firms' marginal costs, enabling them to undercut foreign competitors and dominate the market.

For example, if a domestic firm receives a subsidy, it can set a lower price while maintaining profitability, forcing the foreign competitor either to match the price (and earn lower profits) or exit the market.

#### 2. Import Tariffs and Export Subsidies:

Tariffs on foreign goods make imported products more expensive, allowing domestic firms to set higher prices without losing market share.

Export subsidies enhance the competitiveness of domestic firms in international markets by reducing effective costs.

#### 3. Commitment to Aggressive Pricing:

If the domestic government commits to subsidizing its firm in the long run, it signals to foreign firms that price competition will remain intense. This might **deter foreign firms** from entering the market or push them to exit.

#### 4. Market Expansion Through R&D Support:

In **differentiated Bertrand competition**, where firms compete on price with slightly differentiated products, government-backed R&D funding can help domestic firms develop superior products and charge higher prices while maintaining demand.

**Strategic trade policy** refers to government intervention in international trade to give domestic firms a competitive advantage. In a **Bertrand competition** setting, firms compete by setting prices, and the firm with the lower price captures most or all of the market.

Advantages of Strategic Trade Policy in Bertrand Competition:

#### 1. Enhancing Domestic Firm's Competitiveness:

Government subsidies or tax incentives can lower domestic firms' costs, allowing them to set lower prices and outcompete foreign firms.

#### 2. Market Power and Profitability:

By supporting domestic firms, the government can help them achieve **first-mover advantages** or **limit pricing**, discouraging foreign competitors from entering.

#### 3. Increasing National Welfare:

If the domestic firm successfully undercuts international rivals and dominates the market, this can lead to increased profits, job creation, and economic growth.

#### 4. Deterring Foreign Entry:

If the government provides subsidies, the domestic firm may price aggressively, making it unprofitable for foreign firms to enter or remain in the market.

#### 5. Knowledge Spillovers & Innovation:

Government support can promote R&D investment, leading to innovation that **benefits the broader economy.** 

Disadvantages of Strategic Trade Policy in Bertrand Competition:

#### 1. Risk of Trade Wars and Retaliation:

Other countries may respond with their own subsidies or tariffs, leading to **counter-subsidization** and trade disputes that harm all parties.

#### 2. Government Failure & Misallocation of Resources:

Policies may benefit inefficient firms at the expense of taxpayers, leading to **welfare losses** instead of economic gains.

#### 3. Encouraging Price Wars & Lower Profits:

Bertrand competition already leads to aggressive pricing. If both domestic and foreign firms receive government support, prices could be driven so low that profits become unsustainable.

#### 4. High Fiscal Cost:

Subsidies and financial support require public funds, which could be used for other crucial sectors like education or healthcare.

#### 5. Potential for Rent-Seeking & Corruption:

Firms may **lobby** for government assistance, leading to favouritism and inefficient allocation of support.

#### 6. Short-Term Gains vs. Long-Term Sustainability:

While strategic trade policy may give temporary advantages, it does not always guarantee long-term competitiveness, especially if firms become dependent on subsidies.

**Strategic trade policy** refers to government intervention in international trade to give domestic firms a competitive advantage. In a **Bertrand competition** setting, firms compete by setting prices, and the firm with the lower price captures most or all of the market.

Strategic trade policy in Bertrand competition can be a powerful tool for fostering domestic firms, but it also has risks such as inefficiency, retaliation, and fiscal burdens. Whether it is beneficial depends on **industry characteristics, government execution, and international responses**.

## **6.2.3** Key Differences Between Bertrand and Cournot Competition in Strategic Trade Policy:

Feature	Bertrand Competition	Cournot Competition
Competition Variable	Price	Quantity
Market Outcome	Firms undercut each other's prices, potentially leading to marginal cost pricing (zero economic profits in homogeneous goods).	Firms adjust output levels, leading to higher prices and positive markups.
Effect of Strategic	Government interventions (e.g., subsidies, tariffs) aim to	Policies focus on increasing domestic

#### Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

Trade Policy	reduce domestic firms' costs to enable lower pricing.	firm output to shift reaction curves and gain market share.
Role of Subsidies	Cost-reducing subsidies (e.g., R&D support) allow firms to price more competitively. Direct price subsidies may lead to price wars.	Production subsidies increase output and shift profits toward domestic firms.
Government Strategy	Focus on policies that prevent foreign firms from undercutting domestic prices (e.g., tariffs, cost-reduction incentives).	Encourage domestic firms to expand production, increasing total output and decreasing global prices.
Reaction to Rival Actions	More aggressive pricing responses; a price decrease by one firm forces others to follow.	Quantity adjustments are more gradual; firms take into account how rivals will respond.
Profitability	Intense price competition may drive profits to zero unless firms differentiate their products.	Firms retain some market power, leading to positive profits.
Risk of Trade Policy Backfiring	Price-based competition can lead to destructive price wars if multiple governments subsidize firms.	Output expansion can lead to oversupply and declining global prices, reducing profits for all firms.

**In the Bertrand competition**, strategic trade policy focuses on reducing costs (e.g., R&D subsidies) to maintain a pricing advantage.

In the Cournot competition, strategic trade policy aims to expand domestic firms' output and shift market share.

### 6.3 VOLUNTARY IMPORT EXPANSION AND EXPORT RESTRICTIONS

In international trade, countries often engage in strategic trade policies to protect domestic industries or to gain better access to foreign markets. Under conditions of imperfect competition, where firms have market power and do not operate under pure free-market conditions, governments may use Voluntary Import Expansion (VIEs) and Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs) as tools to influence trade flows.

Both VIEs and VERs are negotiated agreements between countries rather than unilateral trade policies like tariffs or quotas. These agreements

International Trade Theory and Policy

#### 6.3.1 Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE):

What is a Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE)?

A Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE) is an agreement in which a country agrees to increase its imports of a particular product from another country, often under political or economic pressure. Unlike natural increases in imports driven by market demand, a VIE is a government-negotiated policy aimed at reducing trade tensions and addressing concerns about trade imbalances.

Why Are VIEs Used?

VIEs are typically used when a country with a large trade surplus (exporting more than it imports) is pressured by its trade partners to **buy more goods from them** to create a fairer trade balance. Instead of facing **trade barriers like tariffs or quotas**, the country agrees to voluntarily **import more foreign products**.

#### Example of VIEs: The U.S.-Japan Trade Agreements:

- In the **1980s**, Japan had a massive **trade surplus** with the United States because it exported large amounts of cars, electronics, and other goods to the U.S., while importing fewer American products.
- To reduce trade tensions, Japan agreed to increase its imports of American goods, such as agricultural products, semiconductors, and automobiles.
- This helped American firms gain better market access in Japan, though critics argued it was an artificial way to increase trade.

Impact of VIEs:

Effect	Impact on the Importing Country (VIE Implementer)	Impact on the Exporting Country (Beneficiary)
Market Access	Increased access for foreign goods, leading to more competition for domestic producers.	Domestic firms get new market opportunities, potentially increasing sales.
Consumer Impact	More variety and lower prices due to increased competition.	Higher demand from abroad can boost production and employment.
Trade Balance	Helps reduce trade imbalances but may hurt domestic industries.	Improves the trade position by increasing exports.

#### **Potential Drawbacks of VIEs:**

- i) **Resistance from Domestic Firms:** Local industries in the importing country may struggle with increased foreign competition.
- ii) **Short-Term Fix:** VIEs do not necessarily address fundamental competitiveness issues in the importing country.
- iii) **Distorted Market Outcomes:** If imports are artificially increased rather than driven by real demand, it may lead to inefficiencies.

#### 6.3.2 Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs):

What is a Voluntary Export Restraint (VER)?

A Voluntary Export Restraint (VER) is an agreement in which an exporting country voluntarily limits the amount of a specific good it sells to another country. This is typically done to avoid stricter trade barriers, such as tariffs or import quotas, which the importing country might impose if exports remain too high.

Why Are VERs Used?

Governments may use VERs to ease trade tensions and protect diplomatic relations with major trading partners. Instead of facing severe trade restrictions, the exporting country self-imposes a limit on exports to maintain access to the foreign market.

Example of VERs: The U.S.-Japan Auto Agreement:

- In the early **1980s**, the U.S. auto industry was struggling due to **high competition from Japanese carmakers** like Toyota and Honda.
- Instead of imposing heavy tariffs, the U.S. pressured Japan to voluntarily limit the number of cars it exported to the U.S.
- Japan agreed to restrict car exports, which reduced competition for American automakers but also led to higher prices for Japanese cars in the U.S.
- Japanese companies **shifted their strategy** by exporting fewer but more expensive, high-quality vehicles (e.g., luxury models like Lexus).

Impact of VERs :

Effect	Impact on the Exporting Country(VERImplementer)	Impact on the Importing Country (Beneficiary)
Domestic Firms	Domestic firms in the exporting country may lose market share but can shift to higher-value products.	Domestic producers get relief from intense foreign competition.

Market Prices	Reduced exports can drive up the prices of the exported goods.	Less competition may lead to higher domestic prices for consumers.	Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II
Long-Term Competitiveness	Firms may be forced to upgrade their product quality or invest in new markets.	May protect inefficient domestic industries from competition.	

#### Potential Drawbacks of VERs :

- **Higher Consumer Prices:** Because fewer foreign goods are available, prices may rise.
- **Encourages Foreign Investment:** Exporting firms may move production directly to the importing country to bypass the restriction (e.g., Japanese car companies built factories in the U.S. after VERs).
- Market Manipulation: Firms may exploit restricted supply to charge higher prices.

Aspect	Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE)	Voluntary Export Restraint (VER)
Definition	Importing country agrees to increase imports of certain goods.	Exporting country agrees to limit exports of certain goods.
Purpose	Reduce trade imbalances, avoid protectionist measures.	Protect domestic industries in the importing country, avoid tariffs/quotas.
Who Benefits?	Exporters in the foreign country gain access to new markets.	Domestic producers in the importing country face less competition.
Consumer Impact	Greater variety, potentially lower prices.	Higher prices due to reduced supply.
Example	Japan increasing imports of U.S. semiconductors.	Japan limiting car exports to the U.S. in the 1980s.

**6.3.3** Comparison of VIEs and VERs :

Both Voluntary Import Expansions (VIEs) and Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs) are strategic trade policies that governments use in imperfectly competitive markets to balance trade relations.

• VIEs benefit exporters by giving them greater access to foreign markets but may disrupt domestic industries in the importing country.

• VERs benefit importers by limiting foreign competition, protecting domestic firms, but often leading to higher prices and reduced efficiency.

These policies highlight the **political nature of trade negotiations**, where countries use strategic agreements to **avoid direct trade wars while still protecting domestic economic interests**. However, they can sometimes **distort markets**, lead to **higher prices**, and encourage **less competitive domestic industries** to survive on artificial trade restrictions.

## 6.4 SUMMARY

This unit explores trade policies and market structures under imperfect competition, particularly focusing on strategic trade policies and voluntary trade agreements.

1. Strategic Trade Policy: Cournot and Bertrand Competition

• **Cournot Competition:** Involves firms choosing output levels strategically, assuming competitors' output remains constant. Governments may intervene through subsidies or tariffs to enhance domestic firms' competitiveness.

• **Bertrand Competition:** Firms compete by setting prices instead of output. In cases of product differentiation, price competition may not drive prices down to marginal cost, allowing for strategic pricing policies.

• Strategic trade policy can create advantages for domestic firms by influencing market outcomes through subsidies, tariffs, or other interventions.

2. Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE) and Export Restrictions (VER)

• Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE): A country agrees to import more of a product, often under political or economic pressure. This can benefit exporters but might harm domestic producers.

• Voluntary Export Restrictions (VER): A country limits its exports to another country to avoid stricter trade barriers. These restrictions can benefit foreign producers while reducing competition for domestic firms.

Overall, strategic trade policies and voluntary trade agreements shape international markets, impacting firms' competitive behaviour, domestic economies, and global trade dynamics.

## 6.5 QUESTIONS

#### Subjective Questions:

- Q1. Write down the effects of strategic trade policy in Cournot competition.
- Q2. Discuss the role of strategic trade policy in Bertrand competition.

Q3. Explain the advantages and disadvantages of strategic trade policy in Bertrand competition.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

- Q4. Explain the key differences between Bertrand and Cournot competition in strategic trade policy.
- Q5. Write a note on Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE).
- Q6. Write a note on Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs).
- Q7. Compare between Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE) and Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs).

#### Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs) with Answers:

- 1) What is a key characteristic of international trade under imperfect competition?
- a) Firms have no market power
- b) Firms have market power and influence trade outcomes
- c) Prices are always determined by supply and demand equilibrium
- d) Governments do not intervene in markets

Answer: b) Firms have market power and influence trade outcomes

- 2) Which of the following is not a common trade policy used by governments to support domestic firms?
- a) Subsidies
- b) Tariffs
- c) Quotas
- d) Free trade agreements

Answer: d) Free trade agreements

3) What is the primary characteristic of Cournot competition?

- a) Firms compete by setting prices directly
- b) Firms compete by choosing the quantity of output
- c) Firms operate in a monopoly market
- d) Firms do not consider their competitors' actions

Answer: B) Firms compete by choosing the quantity of output

4) In Bertrand competition, firms compete by setting:

- a) Output levels
- b) Product quality
- c) Prices
- d) Advertising budgets

Answer: c) Prices

- 5) In Cournot competition, firms make strategic decisions based on:
- a) Setting the lowest price
- b) Adjusting their output levels
- c) Controlling consumer demand
- d) Increasing the number of competitors

Answer: b) Adjusting their output levels

6) What is a Voluntary Import Expansion (VIE)?

- a) A trade agreement where a country agrees to decrease imports
- b) A policy where a country voluntarily increases imports from another country
- c) A type of trade embargo
- d) A government ban on foreign products

Answer: b) A policy where a country voluntarily increases imports from another country

7) What is a potential drawback of VIEs?

- a) They lead to complete trade liberalization
- b) They may distort market outcomes
- c) They always strengthen domestic industries
- d) They prevent trade agreements

Answer: b) They may distort market outcomes

8) Why do countries agree to VERs?

- a) To avoid stricter trade barriers like tariffs and quotas
- b) To increase their exports to foreign markets
- c) To promote domestic monopolies
- d) To discourage foreign direct investment

Answer: a) To avoid stricter trade barriers like tariffs and quotas

9) What is a Voluntary Export Restraint (VER)?

- a) A mandatory restriction on exports imposed by the government
- b) A self-imposed limit on exports by an exporting country to another country
- c) A free trade agreement between two nations
- d) A ban on all imports from a specific country

Answer: b) A self-imposed limit on exports by an exporting country to another country

10) What does "VIE" stand for in international trade?

- Voluntary Import Expansion a)
- b)
- Voluntary Import Elimination Voluntary Investment Expansion c)
- d) Voluntary Industrial Exchange

Answer: a) Voluntary Import Expansion

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II



## Module 4

## **TRADE AND TECHNOLOGY I**

#### **Unit Structure:**

- 7.1 Objectives
- 7.2 Introduction
- 7.3 Product Life Cycle
- 7.4 Technology Gap Models
- 7.5 Intra-Industry Trade
- 7.6 Summary
- 7.7 Questions
- 7.8 References

## 7.1 OBJECTIVES

Upon completing chapter 7, students will be able to:

- Recognize alternative trade theories, such as intra-industry trade models, the technology gap, and product life cycle.
- Analyze how trade and globalization relate to each other in the context of India.

## 7.2 INTRODUCTION

This subject elaborates the crucial relationship between global trade and technological progress, going beyond traditional conceptions of trade. In order to understand how innovation, product differentiation, and economies of scale have shaped modern trade patterns, it looks at alternate theories such as the Product Life Cycle, Technology Gap Models, and Intra-Industry Trade.

## 7.3 PRODUCT LIFE CYCLE (PLC) THEORY

#### Introduction:

Traditional trade theories like Heckscher-Ohlin models and the Ricardian theory have an alternative in Raymond Vernon's 1966 Product Life Cycle (PLC) theory. The PLC theory highlights how technological innovation and product life cycle stages influence international trade patterns, while

the classical models concentrate on the comparative advantage based on factor endowments like labour and capital.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II



#### Figure 7.1 Stages of Product Life Cycle



#### Main Theory:

The Product Life Cycle (PLC) is the concept describing the stages a product goes through from its introduction to the market until it is eventually phased out. It is a useful framework for understanding how a product evolves over time and helps businesses manage their product strategies effectively. The PLC model divides the life cycle of a product into four stages—Introduction, Growth, Maturity, and Decline. This theory explains how the location of production and export patterns change over time as the product evolves through these stages.

#### Figure 7.2 Product Life Cycle Model



Source: Internet

#### Introduction Stage:

This is an introductory stage where a new product is developed in an advanced, high-income country due to its access to skilled labour, R&D capabilities, and has strong demand for innovation. The product is initially produced and sold domestically, with little or no export to other countries.

Ex: Mobile phones were initially developed and manufactured in advanced economies like the U.S., Japan, and South Korea. Companies like Apple and Samsung innovated and produced high-end smartphones in their home countries, targeting high-income consumers.

#### • Growth Stage:

In the second stage ie a growth stage as the product gains popularity, demand rises not only domestically but also in other developed countries. To capture these markets, the innovating country begins exporting. As sales grow, the production facilities may be established in some of these foreign markets to reduce costs and mitigate trade barriers.

Ex: As demand for smartphones grew globally, these companies began exporting to developing countries, including India. For a time, India was primarily an importer of these high-tech devices.

#### • Maturity Stage:

In the stage of maturity the product becomes standardized, and the technology for its production becomes widely known. Production begins to shift to developing countries that have lower labour costs and less stringent regulations. Exports from the original innovating country decline as developing countries start exporting the standardized product back to the original market and to other countries.

Ex: Recognizing India's large and growing market, as well as its potential for cost-effective manufacturing, companies began shifting parts of their production to India. Initiatives like "Make in India" encouraged global smartphone companies (e.g., Samsung, Xiaomi, Apple) to set up assembly and manufacturing units in India. India moved from being a net importer to a significant manufacturer and exporter of smartphones, with a growing share of the global market.

#### • Decline Stage:

In the decline stage the market for the product becomes saturated, and the demand declines. Production is almost entirely shifted to developing countries due to cost advantages, while the original innovating country focuses on newer, innovative products.

Ex: As smartphones become more standardized and the market saturates, the competitive focus has shifted towards newer technologies (e.g., 5G-enabled phones and AI-integrated devices). Indian firms are now investing in these newer technologies to compete globally.

#### **Advantages and Disadvantages:**

#### • Advantages:

- 1. Dynamic Perspective: Provides a more dynamic view of international trade by considering how technology, innovation, and cost factors evolve over time.
- **2.** Explains FDI: Helps explain foreign direct investment (FDI) as firms move production facilities to other countries during different stages of the product life cycle.
- **3. Relevance to Modern Trade Patterns:** Captures the shifts in global manufacturing from advanced to developing countries, which is a significant feature of today's global economy.

#### • Disadvantages:

- **1. Assumes a Specific Path:** Assumes that all products follow a similar life cycle path, which may not always be the case. Some products may skip stages or have different trajectories.
- **2. Ignores Services Trade:** The model primarily focuses on goods and does not adequately account for services trade, which is increasingly significant in the modern economy.
- **3. Overlooks Other Factors:** Factors such as government policy, strategic alliances, and non-tariff barriers are not adequately considered, which can also influence trade patterns.

#### **Implications:**

- For Developing Countries: Countries like India, China, and Brazil have become important players in the later stages of the product life cycle. They have capitalized on low-cost manufacturing and have started exporting standardized products to global markets.
- For Policy-Makers: Encourages investment in education, innovation, and R&D to move up the value chain and capture early stages of the product cycle.
- For Businesses: Highlights the need for firms to adapt to changing market dynamics and potentially relocate production to maintain competitiveness.

#### **Examples in Indian Context: Examples:**

- **Pharmaceutical Industry:** India initially imported patented medicines from developed countries. Over time, it developed a strong generics industry, which now exports to those same markets.
- **Textile Industry:** India moved from exporting raw materials (e.g., cotton) to exporting higher value-added goods (e.g., readymade garments).

#### 7.4 TECHNOLOGY GAP THEORY

#### Introduction:

The Technology Gap theory, introduced by Michael Posner in 1961, explains international trade based on technological differences between countries. Unlike classical trade theories that focus on resource endowments, this theory emphasizes that a country with advanced technology can export products that embody these advancements until other countries catch up. The theory assumes that the two countries have similar factor endowments, demand conditions, and factor price ratios before trade. The only difference is the technique. The technology gap exists between the time the new products are imported from external markets and the substitutes are created by domestic producers. Posner maintains that technological change is a continuous process. According to him, even if the countries have similar factor proportions and tastes, the continuous process of inventions and innovations can give rise to trade.

According to this model, as a firm develops a new product, its first test is in the home market. After it is proved to be successful in the home market, efforts are made to introduce it in the foreign markets. The new products confer a temporary monopoly position upon the producing firm or exporting country in world trade. This monopoly position is often protected by patents and copyrights. The exporting country enjoys comparative advantage over the rest of the world until the foreign producers imitate the new varieties of products or learn new processes of production.

#### Main assumptions:

The main assumptions in Posner's theory are as follows:

- (i) There are two countries, A and B.
- (ii) The factor endowments are similar in these both countries.
- (iii) Both countries A and B have similar demand conditions.
- (iv) The factor price ratios in the countries are similar before trade.
- (v) There are different techniques in the two countries.

The lag existing between the appearance of new products and introduction of their substitutes by the foreign producer manifests the technological gap or imitation gap. Posner has decomposed the technological gap into three components—the foreign reaction lag, domestic reaction lag and the demand lag.

The foreign reaction lag is the time taken by the first foreign firm to produce the new variety of product. The domestic reaction lag signifies the time required by the domestic producers to introduce newer varieties in order to establish their hold on the domestic market and sustain it in the foreign market. The demand lag means the time taken by the domestic consumers to acquire a taste for the new product.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

Posner referred to the integration of innovation and imitation lag as 'dynamism'. According to him, a dynamic country in international trade is one which innovates at a greater rate and which imitates the foreign innovations at a greater speed. If one of the two trading countries has a greater degree of dynamism than the other, the latter will find the erosion of its markets and consequent deficit in trade balance.

According to Posner, if the two countries are otherwise identical, whether trade between them will be generated by technological innovation, will depend on the net effect of the demand and imitation lags. If the demand lag is longer than the imitation lag, the producers in the imitating country would adopt the new technology before the consumers in their home market had started demanding the new goods. In this case, technological innovation would not generate trade.

On the other hand, if the imitation lag is longer than the demand lag, international trade is likely to be generated by innovation. So the pattern of trade between the two countries will depend upon the relative duration of the two lags.

The trade theory given by Posner can be explained through Fig 1. In fig 1. time is measured along the horizontal scale and the trade balance of country A, the innovating country, is measured along the vertical scale. Therefore until the point  $t_0$  no trade takes place between the two countries. At this point, the innovating country A introduces the new product. As the consumers in imitating country B become aware of the product, they start consuming it. Country A, therefore, starts exporting it.

#### Figure 7. 3 Technology Gap Model



Source : Internet

In the case of country B was unable to adopt the new technology, the exports from country A would continue to rise until they reached the maximum level in time  $t_3$ .

The period,  $t_0t_3$  can be identified as demand lag. If new technology could be adopted by country B by the time  $t_1$ , the imports of the product in their

market could be contained before they reached the maximum level. Country B then reversed them with trade ceasing at time  $t_2$ . If the imitation gap were longer and the producers in country B could not adopt the new technology until time  $t_4$ , exports from country A to country B would have continued at the maximum level until  $t_4$ .

As country B started imitating the new technology, there would have been a decline in exports from A to B and these would fall down to zero in time  $t_6$ . In this connection, two other possibilities can be discussed. If producers in country A fail to introduce new innovation in time  $t_6$  and country B makes further innovations, country B will start penetrating the domestic market of country A indicated by the arrow in the lower part of the Fig. The second possibility is that producers in country A may introduce new innovation in time  $t_6$  leading to increase in its exports to country B. That is shown in the Fig by the arrow in the upward direction.

#### Main Theory:

The Technology Gap theory is built on the concept of innovation and the lag in imitation among countries, which creates a temporary competitive advantage.

#### • Innovation Advantage:

Countries that develop new technologies or products have a temporary monopoly on these goods. During this period, they can export these goods to other countries, creating a trade surplus.

Ex: In the 1980s and early 1990s, developed countries like the U.S. were leaders in software development and IT services. India, at that time, was largely a consumer of imported software technologies.

#### • Imitation Lag Hypothesis:

There is a time lag (imitation lag) before other countries are able to replicate the new technology or product. The length of this lag is determined by factors such as technological capabilities, skills, and R&D investment in the lagging country.

Ex: India gradually developed its own capabilities by leveraging its large pool of English-speaking, technically skilled graduates. Companies like Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Infosys, and Wipro began offering outsourced IT services to global firms. The initial "technology gap" was bridged through investments in training, infrastructure, and technology partnerships.

#### • Demand Lag:

Even if other countries manage to imitate the new technology, there is also a demand lag—the time it takes for consumers in these countries to develop a preference for the new product. This further extends the period of competitive advantage for the innovating country. Ex: Over time, Indian companies began not just imitating but innovating in IT and software solutions. The demand for "Made in India" IT services grew globally, and Indian firms started exporting their own software products, IT solutions, and services worldwide. India emerged as a global IT hub, significantly reducing the technology gap with developed countries.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

#### Advantages and Disadvantages:

#### • Advantages:

**1. Explains Technological Trade Patterns:** The model is effective in explaining trade patterns that are driven by technological innovation rather than factor endowments.

**2. Focus on Dynamic Competitive Advantage:** Highlights the temporary nature of competitive advantage, emphasizing the importance of continuous innovation.

**3.** Applicable to Modern Contexts: Relevant in today's knowledgedriven economy where technology and innovation are key drivers of international trade.

#### • Disadvantages:

**1. Limited Scope:** Does not account for factors like economies of scale, market size, or consumer preferences, which can also impact trade patterns.

2. Assumes Unidirectional Flow: Assumes that technology flows from developed to developing countries, which is increasingly less accurate as countries like India and China also innovate.

3. **Ignores Strategic Trade Policies:** Does not consider how government policies, trade agreements, and intellectual property laws impact technology transfer and trade.

#### **Implications:**

- For Developing Countries: Countries like India need to invest in R&D, education, and skills development to shorten the technology gap and reduce imitation lag.
- For Multinational Corporations: Emphasizes the need for continuous innovation and adaptation to maintain a technological edge in global markets.
- For Trade Policy: Suggests the need for policies that protect intellectual property rights while also encouraging technology transfer and collaboration.

#### **Examples in Indian Context:**

- **Renewable Energy:** Initially, India lagged in renewable energy technologies. However, with government policies and investments in solar and wind energy, India is now becoming a leader in renewable energy production, reducing the technology gap with developed countries.
- Automobile Sector: India initially imported automobile technology from Japan and Europe. Now, with increased R&D, companies like Tata Motors and Mahindra & Mahindra are developing their own electric vehicles and exporting them.

## 7.5 INTRA-INDUSTRY TRADE (IIT) THEORY

#### Introduction:

Intra-Industry Trade (IIT) theory explains the simultaneous export and import of similar goods within the same industry. This model emerged as a response to the limitations of classical trade theories, which could not explain why countries with similar factor endowments engage in trade of similar goods. It contrasts with inter-industry trade, where countries exchange goods from different industries (e.g., exporting cars and importing wheat). Intra-industry trade typically occurs in economies with similar levels of development, technological advancements, or resource availability. It is common in industries with differentiated products, economies of scale, or when countries specialize in different stages of production.

Examples of Intra-Industry Trade: India

## 1. Diamonds: Import of Raw Diamonds and Export of Polished Diamonds:

India imports rough or uncut diamonds mainly from countries like Russia, South Africa, Canada, and Botswana. These raw diamonds are not ready for end-use and require substantial processing. Also, India is one of the world's largest centers for diamond cutting and polishing. The country has a skilled workforce and advanced machinery for diamond polishing, especially in cities like Surat. After importing the raw diamonds, India processes them and exports polished diamonds to markets like the United States, Hong Kong, Belgium, and the United Arab Emirates.

Both imports (raw diamonds) and exports (polished diamonds) fall within the same industry—diamonds. India has developed expertise in a particular part of the diamond value chain (polishing) and leverages this capability by importing raw diamonds, adding value, and then exporting the finished products. This is a classic example of intra-industry trade driven by the vertical differentiation of goods within the diamond industry.

#### 2. Oil: Import of Crude Oil and Export of Refined Oil

India is heavily dependent on imported crude oil to meet its energy needs. It imports crude oil from countries such as Saudi Arabia, Iraq, and the United Arab Emirates, among others. Crude oil is unrefined petroleum that requires processing in refineries to be converted into usable products like gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, and petrochemicals. Also, India has become a major exporter of refined petroleum products. With significant refining capacity in places like Jamnagar (home to the world's largest oil refinery), India processes the imported crude oil and exports refined products to countries across Asia, Africa, and Europe.

In this case, both the imports (crude oil) and exports (refined oil) belong to the petroleum industry. India's strategic advantage lies in its refining capacity and technical expertise in refining crude oil into higher-value products. This leads to intra-industry trade, where India imports crude oil (a lower-value product) and exports refined petroleum (a higher-value product) within the same industry.

Key Drivers of Intra-Industry Trade:

- Vertical Specialization: In both examples, India is specializing in a particular stage of the production process (polishing diamonds or refining oil) rather than the entire value chain. This vertical specialization allows India to benefit from economies of scale and trade efficiencies.
- Value Addition: The difference between the imported raw material (diamonds or crude oil) and the exported final product (polished diamonds or refined oil) represents significant value addition, which enhances trade profitability.
- Skilled Labor and Technology: India's diamond industry benefits from a skilled workforce specializing in diamond cutting and polishing. Similarly, the oil refining industry benefits from advanced technology and significant investments in refinery infrastructure.
- **Market Demand:** There is global demand for both polished diamonds and refined petroleum products, which drives India to engage in this form of trade.

#### Main Theory:

The IIT model focuses on economies of scale, product differentiation, and consumer preferences for variety as the main drivers of trade within the same industry.

#### • Horizontal Intra-Industry Trade:

Involves trade in similar goods of the same quality but different varieties (e.g., different brands or models of cars). This is driven by consumer demand for variety and product differentiation.

- Ex: India exports small, fuel-efficient cars to markets in Europe, Africa, and Latin America while simultaneously importing luxury cars from Germany, Japan, and the U.S. This is a clear example of horizontal IIT, where different varieties of cars are traded within the same industry.
- Companies like Maruti Suzuki, Hyundai India, and Tata Motors export compact cars that cater to the mid and low segments of the global market while luxury brands like Mercedes-Benz, BMW, and Audi import high-end models into India.

#### • Vertical Intra-Industry Trade:

Involves trade in similar goods of different quality levels and price points (e.g., high-end vs. budget smartphones). This is driven by differences in production technology, quality standards, or brand value.

Ex: The Indian auto industry also engages in vertical IIT by exporting parts and components for assembly abroad and importing higher-quality components or more technologically advanced parts. For example, India exports low-cost automotive parts to markets like the U.S. and Europe while importing advanced safety features or electronics that are not domestically produced.

#### • Economies of Scale:

IIT allows countries to specialize in particular varieties of a product, achieving economies of scale in production while still providing consumers with a wide range of choices.

#### Advantages and Disadvantages:

#### • Advantages:

- o **Explains Trade Between Similar Economies:** Provides a framework for understanding trade between countries with similar factor endowments, such as trade between the U.S. and the EU.
- o **Reflects Modern Trade Realities:** IIT is particularly relevant in today's global economy, where differentiated products and consumer choice play a significant role in trade.
- o **Supports Market Expansion:** By trading differentiated products, firms can expand their market reach and benefit from larger economies of scale.
- Disadvantages:
- o Less Relevant for Developing Countries: IIT is more common among developed countries with similar economic structures. It may not fully explain trade patterns in developing countries, where comparative advantage often plays a larger role.

- o **Overlooks Non-Market Factors:** Factors like government policies, trade barriers, and geopolitical dynamics are not explicitly considered in the IIT framework.
- o **Assumes Perfect Competition:** IIT models often assume monopolistic competition, which may not always reflect the realities of global markets where oligopolies and monopolies can dominate.

#### **Implications:**

- For Developed Economies: Encourages specialization in differentiated products and promotes innovation to maintain a competitive edge.
- For Emerging Markets: Countries like India can use IIT to expand their markets by focusing on differentiated products (e.g., auto components, pharmaceuticals).
- For Trade Policy: Policies that reduce trade barriers and support market access can enhance IIT, benefiting both producers and consumers through increased variety and competition.

#### **Examples in Indian context:**

- **Textile and Apparel Industry:** India exports a variety of textiles, from low-cost cotton garments to high-quality, hand-crafted apparel, while importing synthetic fibers and branded clothing. This shows both horizontal (different styles) and vertical (quality differences) IIT.
- **Pharmaceutical Industry:** India exports generic medicines to developed countries while importing patented drugs that are not yet off-patent or require advanced research capabilities. This demonstrates vertical IIT, where the quality and price of the goods differ within the same industry.

## 7.6 SUMMARY

This module investigates the interplay between international trade and technological advancements, exploring beyond classical trade theories to include alternative models such as the Product Life Cycle (PLC), Technology Gap, and Intra-Industry Trade (IIT). It provides insights to students into how these theories explain modern trade patterns influenced by innovation, product differentiation, and economies of scale.

## 7.7 QUESTIONS

- 1. How does the Product Life Cycle (PLC) theory explain the evolution of production and trade patterns?
- 2. What are the key components of the Technology Gap Theory, and how do they explain international trade based on technological differences between countries? Discuss how India has navigated its technology gap in sectors such as renewable energy and the automobile industry.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

3. In what ways does Intra-Industry Trade (IIT) theory account for the simultaneous export and import of similar goods within the same industry?

## **7.8 REFERENCES**

- **1. Krugman, Paul R., and Maurice Obstfeld.** International Economics: Theory and Policy. Pearson Education, 2018.
- 2. S. P. Jain. International Economics. Vikas Publishing House, 2014.
- **3. M. K. Tiwari.** Environmental Economics. Himalaya Publishing House, 2015.



## **TRADE AND TECHNOLOGY II**

#### **Unit Structure:**

- 8.1 Introduction
- 8.2 Objectives
- 8.3 Impact of trade on environment
- 8.4 Globalization and Trade- Pollution as an issue in an open economy
- 8.5 Problem of Pollution in an Open Economy
- 8.6 Endogenous Pollution policy
- 8.7 Summary
- 8.8 Questions
- 8.9 References

#### **8.1 INTRODUCTION**

This module is also dedicated to explore how commerce and globalization affect the environment, with a particular emphasis on the issue of pollution in open economies and the creation of endogenous pollution controls. Gaining an understanding of these aspects is essential to understanding how trade dynamics and environmental regulations are shaped by technology advancement and global economic integration.

#### **8.2 OBJECTIVES**

Upon completing chapter 8, students will be able to:

- Examine how trade affects the environment and how an open economy handles the pollution issue.
- Assess how well endogenous pollution policy addresses environmental issues.
- Analyze how trade, globalization, and environmental sustainability relate to each other in the context of India.

#### **8.3 IMPACT OF TRADE ON THE ENVIRONMENT**

#### Introduction:

The relationship between trade and the environment is a complex and multifaceted issue, especially in the context of globalization and increasing economic integration making it more dynamic and

multidimensional. Trade leads to both positive and negative environmental impacts. While it can promote economic growth, technological innovation, and efficient resource use, it can also result in possibilities of environmental degradation, various types of pollution, and overexploitation of natural resources. This topic explores the environmental consequences of trade, particularly focusing on the problem of pollution in an open economy and how globalization shapes this dynamics.

#### Impact of Trade on the Environment:

Trade and environmental issues are interconnected through various channels:

#### 1. Scale Effect:

Trade expansion leads to economic growth, which increases production and consumption. This "scale effect" often results in higher use of resources, higher energy consumption, and increased waste generation, thereby increasing pressure on the environment and pollution. For example, the expansion of the manufacturing sector in developing countries like India and China has led to significant industrial pollution.

#### 2. Composition Effect:

Trade can change the economic structure of a country by influencing its industrial composition. Developing countries might specialize in pollution-intensive industries due to their comparative advantage in lowcost production, leading to the phenomenon known as the "pollution haven hypothesis." For instance, countries with lax environmental regulations may attract more polluting industries, further degrading the environment.

#### 3. Technique Effect:

Trade can lead to the adoption of more cleaner technologies and production techniques through technology transfer from developed to developing countries. For example, multinational companies operating in developing nations often introduce advanced technologies that are more energy-efficient and less polluting, potentially reducing the environmental impact over time.

#### 4. Regulatory Effect:

Trade openness can lead to stronger environmental regulations in response to international pressure and demand for sustainable practices by the consumers. However, the "race to the bottom" hypothesis suggests that some countries may lower their environmental standards to attract foreign investment and remain competitive, which can exacerbate environmental problems.

#### 5. Transportation and Environmental Impact:

Global trade often involves the movement of goods across long distances, contributing to greenhouse gas emissions and pollution through

transportation. Shipping, aviation, and road transport are significant contributors to carbon dioxide (CO2) and other harmful emissions, impacting air quality and climate change.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

## 8.4 GLOBALIZATION, TRADE, AND POLLUTION IN AN OPEN ECONOMY

The concept of an "open economy" refers to an economy that engages in international trade without restrictive barriers. While globalization and trade liberalization bring economic benefits, they also pose significant environmental challenges:

#### 1. Globalization and Industrial Pollution:

As countries integrate into the global economy, they often expand their industrial base to take advantage of export opportunities. This expansion can lead to increased air, water, and soil pollution, particularly in countries with weak environmental regulations. For instance, the rapid industrialization in China and India has led to the environmetal issues like the severe air quality problems in major cities, largely driven by exportoriented industries.

#### 2. Cross-Border Pollution and Environmental Spillovers:

Pollution does not recognize the national boundaries. Transboundary pollution issues, such as acid rain, water pollution, and deforestation, can result from the production of goods for the exports. For example, the production of palm oil in Indonesia for global markets has led to deforestation and habitat destruction, impacting neighbouring countries through haze and air pollution.

#### 3. Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) Hypothesis:

The EKC hypothesis suggests that as an economy develops, environmental degradation initially increases but then eventually decreases as higher income levels lead to greater environmental awareness and stronger regulations. However, this relationship is not guaranteed, and many developing countries may face significant environmental challenges before reaching this turning point.





Source: https://www.intelligenteconomist.com/kuznets-curve/

#### 4. Waste and E-Waste Trade:

Globalization has also led to an increase in the trade of waste, including electronic waste (e-waste). Developing countries often import e-waste from developed nations due to the lesser recycling costs, leading to severe environmental and health problems. Informal recycling in countries like India exposes workers to hazardous materials, contaminates soil and water, and contributes to pollution.

## 8.5 PROBLEM OF POLLUTION IN AN OPEN ECONOMY:

#### 1. Types of Pollution and Their Causes:

Open economies may face multiple types of pollution, including air, water, and soil pollution. These are often caused by industrial activities, transportation, deforestation, and energy consumption associated with increased trade. For instance, in India, air pollution from vehicle emissions, coal-based power plants, and manufacturing industries has become a critical environmental issue due to rapid urbanization and trade-related industrial growth.

#### 2. Challenges in Addressing Pollution:

Open economies face challenges in balancing economic growth and environmental sustainability. Stricter environmental regulations can raise production costs and reduce competitiveness, while lax regulations can lead to pollution and environmental degradation. This trade-off gives rise to the significant policy challenges, particularly for developing countries striving to boost exports and attract foreign investment.

#### 3. Role of International Agreements and Cooperation:

Addressing pollution in an open economy often requires international cooperation. Multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), such as the Paris Agreement, aim to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable practices. However, the effectiveness of these agreements depends on their enforcement and the willingness of countries to align trade and environmental policies.

## **8.6 ENDOGENOUS POLLUTION POLICY**

Endogenous pollution policy refers to the development of environmental policies that are shaped by a country's economic structure, trade patterns, and level of integration into the global economy. In an open economy, such policies are crucial to managing the trade-environment nexus:

#### 1. Designing Effective Environmental Policies:

Governments need to design policies that internalize the environmental costs of production and trade. This includes implementing pollution taxes,

emission trading systems, and subsidies for clean technologies. For example, India's National Clean Air Programme (NCAP) aims to reduce air pollution through comprehensive measures at the national and regional levels.

Trade Under Imperfect Competition - II

#### 2. Balancing Economic and Environmental Goals:

Effective pollution policies should balance economic growth and environmental protection. This can involve promoting green trade practices, supporting sustainable industries, and encouraging innovation in environmentally friendly technologies.

#### 3. Public Awareness and Participation:

Public awareness and participation are critical in developing and enforcing endogenous pollution policies. Civil society, environmental NGOs, and local communities play an essential role in advocating for sustainable trade practices and holding governments accountable for environmental protection.

#### **8.7 SUMMARY**

This chapter addresses the environmental impacts of trade and globalization, focusing on pollution challenges in open economies and the development of endogenous pollution policies. This comprehensive analysis in this chapter helps students to understand the complex dynamics of global economic integration and its effects on trade and environmental sustainability, with a particular emphasis on the Indian context.

#### **8.8 QUESTIONS**

1. What are the environmental challenges associated with trade in an open economy, and how does globalization exacerbate these issues?

2. Discuss the impacts of scale, composition, and technique effects on the environment, and consider the role of international cooperation and policies in addressing these challenges.

#### **8.9 REFERENCES**

- 1. R. G. D. Allen and D. B. Usha. Environmental Economics and Policy. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- 2. M. K. Tiwari. Environmental Economics. Himalaya Publishing House, 2015.
- **3.** S. R. M. K. Rajasekhar. Environmental Policy and Sustainable Development. Pearson Education India, 2013.
- **4.** N. K. Sinha. Environmental Economics and Policy: Theory and Practice. Routledge India, 2019.

\*\*\*\*